

TABLE A: CLUSTERS

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Unrestricted Liberty	119 12.0%	42 9.4%	74 14.2%	17 13.0%	30 12.3%	31 12.6%	19 11.0%	22 11.8%	17 21.8%	27 16.5%	35 9.3%	16 8.4%	10 9.8%	9 15.3%	12 10.6%	34 10.7%	24 21.2%	3 7.0%	31 11.0%
Individualist	160 16.2%	71 15.8%	85 16.3%	20 15.3%	46 18.9%	45 18.3%	22 12.7%	24 12.9%	15 19.2%	31 18.9%	67 17.8%	23 12.0%	6 5.9%	8 13.6%	16 14.2%	39 12.3%	37 32.7%	11 25.6%	35 12.4%
Ambivalent	222 22.4%	95 21.2%	120 23.0%	30 22.9%	49 20.2%	43 17.5%	34 19.7%	62 33.3%	23 29.5%	41 25.0%	88 23.4%	28 14.7%	21 20.6%	14 23.7%	24 21.2%	61 19.2%	24 21.2%	13 30.2%	71 25.2%
Govt Control / No Growth	258 26.1%	121 27.0%	133 25.5%	31 23.7%	66 27.2%	76 30.9%	43 24.9%	40 21.5%	13 16.7%	34 20.7%	99 26.3%	59 30.9%	36 35.3%	12 20.3%	27 23.9%	101 31.9%	20 17.7%	8 18.6%	74 26.2%
Govt Control / Stimulate Growth	231 23.3%	119 26.6%	109 20.9%	33 25.2%	52 21.4%	51 20.7%	55 31.8%	38 20.4%	10 12.8%	31 18.9%	87 23.1%	65 34.0%	29 28.4%	16 27.1%	34 30.1%	82 25.9%	8 7.1%	8 18.6%	71 25.2%

TABLE 01: 1. Prior to participating in this survey, had you heard or read anything about Boise County's Comprehensive Plan, or not?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Yes	573 57.9%	246 54.9%	313 60.1%	33 25.2%	142 58.4%	157 63.8%	114 65.9%	119 64.0%	33 42.3%	104 63.4%	217 57.7%	114 59.7%	57 55.9%	39 66.1%	70 61.9%	164 51.7%	64 56.6%	24 55.8%	178 63.1%
No	406 41.0%	198 44.2%	201 38.6%	97 74.0%	101 41.6%	87 35.4%	58 33.5%	60 32.3%	42 53.8%	57 34.8%	157 41.8%	76 39.8%	45 44.1%	19 32.2%	43 38.1%	151 47.6%	48 42.5%	19 44.2%	97 34.4%
NO RESPONSE	11 1.1%	4 .9%	7 1.3%	1 .8%		2 .8%	1 .6%	7 3.8%	3 3.8%	3 1.8%	2 .5%	1 .5%		1 1.7%		2 .6%	1 .9%		7 2.5%

TABLE 02: 2. Based on what you have heard or read, how do you rate the overall job the Boise County Commissioners did in developing this Comprehensive Plan?

BASE: Heard of Comprehensive Plan	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(573)	(246)	(313)	(33)	(142)	(157)	(114)	(119)	(33)	(104)	(217)	(114)	(57)	(39)	(70)	(164)	(64)	(24)	(178)
Excellent	31 5.4%	15 6.1%	15 4.8%	3 9.1%	5 3.5%	6 3.8%	6 5.3%	11 9.2%	2 6.1%	7 6.7%	17 7.8%	4 3.5%			3 4.3%	7 4.3%	3 4.7%	1 4.2%	13 7.3%
Good	238 41.5%	105 42.7%	127 40.6%	10 30.3%	45 31.7%	54 34.4%	60 52.6%	66 55.5%	14 42.4%	44 42.3%	91 41.9%	42 36.8%	22 38.6%	16 41.0%	27 38.6%	57 34.8%	26 40.6%	5 20.8%	93 52.2%
Only fair	221 38.6%	93 37.8%	123 39.3%	14 42.4%	59 41.5%	74 47.1%	32 28.1%	38 31.9%	12 36.4%	37 35.6%	82 37.8%	53 46.5%	23 40.4%	16 41.0%	30 42.9%	77 47.0%	18 28.1%	14 58.3%	55 30.9%
Poor	55 9.6%	19 7.7%	35 11.2%	4 12.1%	22 15.5%	14 8.9%	13 11.4%	2 1.7%	5 15.2%	12 11.5%	17 7.8%	7 6.1%	8 14.0%	6 15.4%	4 5.7%	15 9.1%	13 20.3%	2 8.3%	12 6.7%
NO RESPONSE	28 4.9%	14 5.7%	13 4.2%	2 6.1%	11 7.7%	9 5.7%	3 2.6%	2 1.7%		4 3.8%	10 4.6%	8 7.0%	4 7.0%	1 2.6%	6 8.6%	8 4.9%	4 6.3%	2 8.3%	5 2.8%

TABLE 03: 3. One of the elements of the Comprehensive Plan is a Zoning and Development Ordinance. In 1995, the County Commissioners appointed a Planning and Zoning Commission to draft this ordinance. Prior to participating in this survey, had you heard or read anything about this Planning & Zoning Commission's efforts, or not?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Yes	593 59.9%	258 57.6%	321 61.6%	44 33.6%	157 64.6%	160 65.0%	108 62.4%	116 62.4%	31 39.7%	106 64.6%	229 60.9%	118 61.8%	59 57.8%	42 71.2%	74 65.5%	183 57.7%	66 58.4%	25 58.1%	167 59.2%
No	378 38.2%	180 40.2%	191 36.7%	86 65.6%	83 34.2%	83 33.7%	61 35.3%	62 33.3%	43 55.1%	53 32.3%	144 38.3%	71 37.2%	41 40.2%	17 28.8%	38 33.6%	131 41.3%	43 38.1%	17 39.5%	105 37.2%
NO RESPONSE	19 1.9%	10 2.2%	9 1.7%	1 .8%	3 1.2%	3 1.2%	4 2.3%	8 4.3%	4 5.1%	5 3.0%	3 .8%	2 1.0%	2 2.0%		1 .9%	3 .9%	4 3.5%	1 2.3%	10 3.5%

TABLE 04: 4. Based on what you have heard or read, how do you rate the overall job the Boise County Planning and Zoning Commission is doing in developing this ordinance?

BASE: Heard of Plannin & Zoning Commissions' Efforts	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(593)	(258)	(321)	(44)	(157)	(160)	(108)	(116)	(31)	(106)	(229)	(118)	(59)	(42)	(74)	(183)	(66)	(25)	(167)
Excellent	34 5.7%	16 6.2%	17 5.3%	1 2.3%	3 1.9%	12 7.5%	7 6.5%	11 9.5%	3 9.7%	9 8.5%	12 5.2%	8 6.8%		2 4.8%	8 10.8%	6 3.3%	2 3.0%		14 8.4%
Good	209 35.2%	96 37.2%	109 34.0%	13 29.5%	51 32.5%	46 28.8%	46 42.6%	50 43.1%	8 25.8%	36 34.0%	85 37.1%	41 34.7%	23 39.0%	15 35.7%	31 41.9%	58 31.7%	20 30.3%	9 36.0%	67 40.1%
Only fair	234 39.5%	102 39.5%	125 38.9%	18 40.9%	61 38.9%	74 46.3%	33 30.6%	44 37.9%	13 41.9%	41 38.7%	87 38.0%	47 39.8%	23 39.0%	17 40.5%	26 35.1%	81 44.3%	21 31.8%	11 44.0%	63 37.7%
Poor	87 14.7%	29 11.2%	57 17.8%	9 20.5%	32 20.4%	20 12.5%	17 15.7%	9 7.8%	7 22.6%	17 16.0%	35 15.3%	12 10.2%	11 18.6%	7 16.7%	6 8.1%	25 13.7%	21 31.8%	4 16.0%	18 10.8%
NO RESPONSE	29 4.9%	15 5.8%	13 4.0%	3 6.8%	10 6.4%	8 5.0%	5 4.6%	2 1.7%		3 2.8%	10 4.4%	10 8.5%	2 3.4%	1 2.4%	3 4.1%	13 7.1%	2 3.0%	1 4.0%	5 3.0%

TABLE 05: 5. Which, if any, of the following activities have you done personally?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Attended a Boise Cty Commissioners' meeting or public hrng	232 23.4%	101 22.5%	124 23.8%	12 9.2%	64 26.3%	75 30.5%	36 20.8%	41 22.0%	20 25.6%	41 25.0%	87 23.1%	41 21.5%	21 20.6%	15 25.4%	27 23.9%	72 22.7%	28 24.8%	15 34.9%	61 21.6%
Attended a P & Z Commissioners' meeting or public hearing	185 18.7%	72 16.1%	108 20.7%	10 7.6%	48 19.8%	55 22.4%	31 17.9%	37 19.9%	18 23.1%	29 17.7%	73 19.4%	32 16.8%	19 18.6%	18 30.5%	18 15.9%	56 17.7%	20 17.7%	10 23.3%	54 19.1%
Written a letter to one or more of the Boise County Comm	68 6.9%	34 7.6%	32 6.1%	6 4.6%	24 9.9%	25 10.2%	7 4.0%	5 2.7%	2 2.6%	11 6.7%	29 7.7%	12 6.3%	11 10.8%	7 11.9%	8 7.1%	25 7.9%	11 9.7%	6 14.0%	8 2.8%
Written a letter to one or more of the P & Z Commissioners	35 3.5%	15 3.3%	20 3.8%	1 .8%	14 5.8%	9 3.7%	5 2.9%	6 3.2%	1 1.3%	5 3.0%	17 4.5%	8 4.2%	4 3.9%	4 6.8%	4 3.5%	13 4.1%	4 3.5%	3 7.0%	5 1.8%
Written a letter to the editor of 'The Idaho World' re:P&Z	15 1.5%	5 1.1%	10 1.9%	1 .8%	3 1.2%	6 2.4%	3 1.7%	2 1.1%	3 3.8%	1 .6%	7 1.9%	2 1.0%	1 1.0%			7 2.2%	3 2.7%	1 2.3%	4 1.4%
Called to discuss an issue with a County Commissioner	121 12.2%	42 9.4%	77 14.8%	7 5.3%	31 12.8%	32 13.0%	23 13.3%	26 14.0%	7 9.0%	13 7.9%	55 14.6%	25 13.1%	14 13.7%	13 22.0%	6 5.3%	39 12.3%	14 12.4%	6 14.0%	37 13.1%
Called to discuss an issue with a Planning & Zoning Comm	86 8.7%	29 6.5%	54 10.4%	7 5.3%	23 9.5%	24 9.8%	15 8.7%	16 8.6%	6 7.7%	15 9.1%	32 8.5%	15 7.9%	9 8.8%	8 13.6%	9 8.0%	32 10.1%	7 6.2%	2 4.7%	23 8.2%
Have done none of these activities	592 59.8%	281 62.7%	298 57.2%	104 79.4%	136 56.0%	127 51.6%	105 60.7%	114 61.3%	48 61.5%	98 59.8%	224 59.6%	112 58.6%	67 65.7%	29 49.2%	64 56.6%	186 58.7%	68 60.2%	27 62.8%	176 62.4%
NO RESPONSE	29 2.9%	14 3.1%	15 2.9%	2 1.5%	6 2.5%	5 2.0%	5 2.9%	11 5.9%	3 3.8%	6 3.7%	9 2.4%	5 2.6%	1 1.0%	1 1.7%	3 2.7%	6 1.9%	5 4.4%		12 4.3%

POPULUS, Inc. Wilderness Way Boise, ID 83706
Research for Understanding the American People

TABLE 06: 6. Have you read Boise County's Comprehensive Plan, or not?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Yes	285 28.8%	121 27.0%	156 29.9%	16 12.2%	70 28.8%	78 31.7%	55 31.8%	63 33.9%	20 25.6%	55 33.5%	111 29.5%	49 25.7%	24 23.5%	23 39.0%	24 21.2%	85 26.8%	37 32.7%	13 30.2%	87 30.9%
No	683 69.0%	315 70.3%	356 68.3%	115 87.8%	171 70.4%	161 65.4%	113 65.3%	115 61.8%	54 69.2%	103 62.8%	260 69.1%	140 73.3%	76 74.5%	35 59.3%	88 77.9%	229 72.2%	72 63.7%	29 67.4%	183 64.9%
NO RESPONSE	22 2.2%	12 2.7%	9 1.7%		2 .8%	7 2.8%	5 2.9%	8 4.3%	4 5.1%	6 3.7%	5 1.3%	2 1.0%	2 2.0%	1 1.7%	1 .9%	3 .9%	4 3.5%	1 2.3%	12 4.3%

POPULUS, Inc. Wilderness Way Boise, ID 83706
 Research for Understanding the American People

TABLE 07: 7. What is the best way to keep you informed about Boise County government, particularly on issues such as planning and zoning?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Articles in 'The Idaho World'	695 70.2%	328 73.2%	351 67.4%	70 53.4%	170 70.0%	188 76.4%	122 70.5%	135 72.6%	55 70.5%	115 70.1%	266 70.7%	130 68.1%	66 64.7%	40 67.8%	84 74.3%	207 65.3%	78 69.0%	31 72.1%	208 73.8%
Articles in 'The Idaho Statesman'	275 27.8%	139 31.0%	133 25.5%	42 32.1%	56 23.0%	63 25.6%	54 31.2%	55 29.6%	20 25.6%	37 22.6%	102 27.1%	56 29.3%	35 34.3%	12 20.3%	33 29.2%	95 30.0%	22 19.5%	15 34.9%	85 30.1%
Town hall meetings at which Commissioners Q/A	387 39.1%	168 37.5%	210 40.3%	32 24.4%	92 37.9%	115 46.7%	72 41.6%	72 38.7%	29 37.2%	69 42.1%	152 40.4%	65 34.0%	41 40.2%	27 45.8%	38 33.6%	123 38.8%	45 39.8%	20 46.5%	112 39.7%
An Internet home page	95 9.6%	42 9.4%	50 9.6%	21 16.0%	33 13.6%	29 11.8%	10 5.8%	2 1.1%	1 1.3%	8 4.9%	32 8.5%	28 14.7%	24 23.5%	3 5.1%	14 12.4%	59 18.6%	6 5.3%	4 9.3%	4 1.4%
News broadcasts by Treasure Valley television stations	205 20.7%	106 23.7%	94 18.0%	40 30.5%	34 14.0%	52 21.1%	38 22.0%	39 21.0%	18 23.1%	26 15.9%	73 19.4%	49 25.7%	23 22.5%	9 15.3%	28 24.8%	63 19.9%	24 21.2%	7 16.3%	58 20.6%
News broadcasts by Treasure Valley radio stations	104 10.5%	41 9.2%	59 11.3%	23 17.6%	31 12.8%	24 9.8%	14 8.1%	12 6.5%	8 10.3%	18 11.0%	42 11.2%	22 11.5%	14 13.7%	5 8.5%	13 11.5%	44 13.9%	10 8.8%	4 9.3%	21 7.4%
Mailings	169 17.1%	71 15.8%	97 18.6%	24 18.3%	62 25.5%	39 15.9%	24 13.9%	19 10.2%	10 12.8%	29 17.7%	64 17.0%	35 18.3%	26 25.5%	15 25.4%	20 17.7%	65 20.5%	20 17.7%	7 16.3%	31 11.0%
Other	39 3.9%	13 2.9%	25 4.8%	5 3.8%	11 4.5%	7 2.8%	9 5.2%	7 3.8%	4 5.1%	7 4.3%	19 5.1%	3 1.6%	3 2.9%	2 3.4%	1 .9%	14 4.4%	6 5.3%	4 9.3%	12 4.3%
NO RESPONSE	13 1.3%	6 1.3%	7 1.3%	1 .8%	1 .4%	4 1.6%	1 .6%	6 3.2%	2 2.6%	5 3.0%	3 .8%	2 1.0%			1 .9%	3 .9%	4 3.5%		5 1.8%

TABLE 08: 8. Which of the following statements best describes your resident status in Boise County?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Property owner	892 90.1%	400 89.3%	473 90.8%	89 67.9%	220 90.5%	231 93.9%	162 93.6%	179 96.2%	63 80.8%	142 86.6%	341 90.7%	175 91.6%	100 98.0%	56 94.9%	92 81.4%	297 93.7%	92 81.4%	40 93.0%	268 95.0%
Do not currently own property in Boise County	90 9.1%	44 9.8%	44 8.4%	41 31.3%	22 9.1%	13 5.3%	10 5.8%	4 2.2%	13 16.7%	21 12.8%	33 8.8%	15 7.9%	1 1.0%	3 5.1%	19 16.8%	18 5.7%	19 16.8%	3 7.0%	12 4.3%
NO RESPONSE	8 .8%	4 .9%	4 .8%	1 .8%	1 .4%	2 .8%	1 .6%	3 1.6%	2 2.6%	1 .6%	2 .5%	1 .5%	1 1.0%		2 1.8%	2 .6%	2 1.8%		2 .7%

TABLE 09: 9. Which one of the following best describes your current residence?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Within city limits	167 16.9%	76 17.0%	84 16.1%	29 22.1%	30 12.3%	39 15.9%	28 16.2%	34 18.3%	22 28.2%	43 26.2%	54 14.4%	23 12.0%	9 8.8%	9 15.3%	26 23.0%	36 11.4%	23 20.4%	8 18.6%	51 18.1%
Within subdivision w/ (CC&Rs)	422 42.6%	191 42.6%	224 43.0%	57 43.5%	99 40.7%	107 43.5%	90 52.0%	69 37.1%	25 32.1%	46 28.0%	166 44.1%	102 53.4%	62 60.8%	26 44.1%	38 33.6%	167 52.7%	26 23.0%	14 32.6%	129 45.7%
Within subdivision w/o (CC&Rs)	99 10.0%	45 10.0%	53 10.2%	7 5.3%	27 11.1%	27 11.0%	11 6.4%	26 14.0%	12 15.4%	15 9.1%	43 11.4%	19 9.9%	1 1.0%	3 5.1%	8 7.1%	29 9.1%	14 12.4%	6 14.0%	34 12.1%
Not in a city or subdivision	237 23.9%	116 25.9%	115 22.1%	29 22.1%	67 27.6%	60 24.4%	36 20.8%	42 22.6%	16 20.5%	49 29.9%	88 23.4%	38 19.9%	22 21.6%	18 30.5%	33 29.2%	66 20.8%	30 26.5%	13 30.2%	57 20.2%
On agricultural-exempted property	51 5.2%	14 3.1%	37 7.1%	7 5.3%	17 7.0%	11 4.5%	6 3.5%	10 5.4%	1 1.3%	9 5.5%	19 5.1%	8 4.2%	8 7.8%	2 3.4%	6 5.3%	15 4.7%	18 15.9%	2 4.7%	7 2.5%
NO RESPONSE	14 1.4%	6 1.3%	8 1.5%	2 1.5%	3 1.2%	2 .8%	2 1.2%	5 2.7%	2 2.6%	2 1.2%	6 1.6%	1 .5%		1 1.7%	2 1.8%	4 1.3%	2 1.8%		4 1.4%

TABLE 10: 10. How long have you lived in Boise County?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Less than 2 years	116 11.7%	51 11.4%	62 11.9%	30 22.9%	28 11.5%	32 13.0%	18 10.4%	7 3.8%	9 11.5%	14 8.5%	49 13.0%	20 10.5%	20 19.6%	7 11.9%	12 10.6%	48 15.1%	10 8.8%	8 18.6%	23 8.2%
At least 2 but less than 5 years	201 20.3%	91 20.3%	105 20.2%	36 27.5%	54 22.2%	48 19.5%	42 24.3%	19 10.2%	13 16.7%	31 18.9%	79 21.0%	36 18.8%	29 28.4%	14 23.7%	14 12.4%	80 25.2%	14 12.4%	8 18.6%	57 20.2%
At least 5 but less than 10 years	200 20.2%	95 21.2%	103 19.8%	24 18.3%	64 26.3%	52 21.1%	34 19.7%	25 13.4%	12 15.4%	29 17.7%	76 20.2%	53 27.7%	19 18.6%	9 15.3%	25 22.1%	79 24.9%	15 13.3%	8 18.6%	54 19.1%
At least 10 but less than 20 years	225 22.7%	97 21.7%	123 23.6%	18 13.7%	57 23.5%	51 20.7%	41 23.7%	55 29.6%	17 21.8%	36 22.0%	79 21.0%	52 27.2%	25 24.5%	15 25.4%	30 26.5%	66 20.8%	26 23.0%	9 20.9%	67 23.8%
20 years or more	244 24.6%	113 25.2%	125 24.0%	23 17.6%	40 16.5%	63 25.6%	35 20.2%	79 42.5%	26 33.3%	53 32.3%	92 24.5%	29 15.2%	9 8.8%	14 23.7%	32 28.3%	42 13.2%	47 41.6%	10 23.3%	81 28.7%
NO RESPONSE	4 .4%	1 .2%	3 .6%					3 1.7%	1 .5%	1 1.3%	1 .6%	1 .3%	1 .5%			2 .6%	1 .9%		

TABLE 11: 11. Which one of these statements best describes your work and commuting situation?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Work at home	116 11.7%	73 16.3%	41 7.9%	18 13.7%	43 17.7%	29 11.8%	16 9.2%	9 4.8%	9 11.5%	17 10.4%	41 10.9%	22 11.5%	14 13.7%	4 6.8%	3 2.7%	48 15.1%	17 15.0%	12 27.9%	10 3.5%
Work outside home; commute within Boise County	175 17.7%	90 20.1%	78 15.0%	28 21.4%	65 26.7%	58 23.6%	18 10.4%	2 1.1%	10 12.8%	31 18.9%	75 19.9%	38 19.9%	10 9.8%	12 20.3%	55 48.7%	53 16.7%	35 31.0%	7 16.3%	3 1.1%
Work outside home; commute outside Boise County	364 36.8%	142 31.7%	215 41.3%	72 55.0%	120 49.4%	119 48.4%	45 26.0%	6 3.2%	7 9.0%	25 15.2%	154 41.0%	101 52.9%	69 67.6%	35 59.3%	54 47.8%	204 64.4%	49 43.4%	9 20.9%	5 1.8%
Retired	275 27.8%	115 25.7%	155 29.8%	1 .8%	1 .4%	23 9.3%	83 48.0%	163 87.6%	44 56.4%	74 45.1%	88 23.4%	23 12.0%	5 4.9%	6 10.2%		2 .6%	3 2.7%	1 2.3%	257 91.1%
Other	25 2.5%	7 1.6%	18 3.5%	2 1.5%	12 4.9%	5 2.0%	3 1.7%	3 1.6%	2 2.6%	7 4.3%	8 2.1%	4 2.1%	3 2.9%	2 3.4%	1 .9%	6 1.9%	5 4.4%	7 16.3%	2 .7%
Do not work	29 2.9%	20 4.5%	9 1.7%	10 7.6%	2 .8%	11 4.5%	5 2.9%	1 .5%	5 6.4%	9 5.5%	9 2.4%	2 1.0%	1 1.0%			1 .3%	3 2.7%	7 16.3%	3 1.1%
NO RESPONSE	6 .6%	1 .2%	5 1.0%			1 .4%	3 1.7%	2 1.1%	1 1.3%	1 .6%	1 .3%	1 .5%				3 .9%	1 .9%		2 .7%

TABLE 12: 12. If you could make one change or improvement in the way Boise County handles growth, what would it be?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Control Growth / Limit Subdivisions	143	71	69	19	44	39	15	24	11	22	58	21	18	7	18	48	19	8	32
	14.4%	15.8%	13.2%	14.5%	18.1%	15.9%	8.7%	12.9%	14.1%	13.4%	15.4%	11.0%	17.6%	11.9%	15.9%	15.1%	16.8%	18.6%	11.3%
Limit Lot Size	36	18	18	2	14	11	6	3		2	20	7	6	1	5	12	5	1	7
	3.6%	4.0%	3.5%	1.5%	5.8%	4.5%	3.5%	1.6%		1.2%	5.3%	3.7%	5.9%	1.7%	4.4%	3.8%	4.4%	2.3%	2.5%
More / Better Planning and Zoning	67	32	34	7	18	22	13	6	2	9	25	18	8	2	7	32	8	4	12
	6.8%	7.1%	6.5%	5.3%	7.4%	8.9%	7.5%	3.2%	2.6%	5.5%	6.6%	9.4%	7.8%	3.4%	6.2%	10.1%	7.1%	9.3%	4.3%
Enforce / Stricter Building Codes	43	16	26	7	12	7	14	3	1	5	18	11	8	6	6	16	2		11
	4.3%	3.6%	5.0%	5.3%	4.9%	2.8%	8.1%	1.6%	1.3%	3.0%	4.8%	5.8%	7.8%	10.2%	5.3%	5.0%	1.8%		3.9%
Clean Up Trash / Junk	17	8	9	5	4	3	3	2		2	7	4	4			12			3
	1.7%	1.8%	1.7%	3.8%	1.6%	1.2%	1.7%	1.1%		1.2%	1.9%	2.1%	3.9%			3.8%			1.1%
Enforce Ordinances	14	9	5	3	3	1	2	5	2	3	5		3		1	4	1	1	5
	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%	2.3%	1.2%	.4%	1.2%	2.7%	2.6%	1.8%	1.3%		2.9%		.9%	1.3%	.9%	2.3%	1.8%
Establish Minimum Standards for Dwellings	12	6	6	5	3	3	1				5	6	1	1	2	8	1		
	1.2%	1.3%	1.2%	3.8%	1.2%	1.2%	.6%				1.3%	3.1%	1.0%	1.7%	1.8%	2.5%	.9%		
Charge Impact Fees	57	22	35	3	10	20	12	11	2	9	30	10	3	4	3	19	5	6	19
	5.8%	4.9%	6.7%	2.3%	4.1%	8.1%	6.9%	5.9%	2.6%	5.5%	8.0%	5.2%	2.9%	6.8%	2.7%	6.0%	4.4%	14.0%	6.7%
Let Growth Pay for Itself	22	5	15		6	12	1	1	1	2	6	7	2	2	3	7	4	2	2
	2.2%	1.1%	2.9%		2.5%	4.9%	.6%	.5%	1.3%	1.2%	1.6%	3.7%	2.0%	3.4%	2.7%	2.2%	3.5%	4.7%	.7%
Listen and Work with Residents	24	8	16	6	2	9	3	4	3	3	9	4	4	2		8	6	1	6
	2.4%	1.8%	3.1%	4.6%	.8%	3.7%	1.7%	2.2%	3.8%	1.8%	2.4%	2.1%	3.9%	3.4%		2.5%	5.3%	2.3%	2.1%
Change / Improve Commission	12	4	7	1	2	5	2		1	2	3	1	2	1	2	4	1		3
	1.2%	.9%	1.3%	.8%	.8%	2.0%	1.2%		1.3%	1.2%	.8%	.5%	2.0%	1.7%	1.8%	1.3%	.9%		1.1%
Consider Newcomers	5	2	3	1	2	1		1			1	2	1		2	2			1
	.5%	.4%	.6%	.8%	.8%	.4%		.5%			.3%	1.0%	1.0%		1.8%	.6%			.4%
Maintain/Upgrade Water, Roads, Waste and Protect Environment	61	28	33	11	17	15	4	14	10	11	21	7	7	4	9	20	2	3	18
	6.2%	6.3%	6.3%	8.4%	7.0%	6.1%	2.3%	7.5%	12.8%	6.7%	5.6%	3.7%	6.9%	6.8%	8.0%	6.3%	1.8%	7.0%	6.4%
Increase / Improve Law Enforcement	14	9	4	4	3	2	3	2		2	6	3			4	1	1		6
	1.4%	2.0%	.8%	3.1%	1.2%	.8%	1.7%	1.1%		1.2%	1.6%	1.6%		3.5%	.3%	.9%			2.1%
School Concerns	7	5	2	2	5					2	3	2		1		6			
	.7%	1.1%	.4%	1.5%	2.1%					1.2%	.8%	1.0%		1.7%		1.9%			
Don't Regulate Land Use / Allow Personal Freedom	20	11	9	2	3	7	5	3	4	3	6	3	4	2	1	9	3		5

(continued)

TABLE 12: 12. If you could make one change or improvement in the way Boise County handles growth, what would it be?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
Other	2.0%	2.5%	1.7%	1.5%	1.2%	2.8%	2.9%	1.6%	5.1%	1.8%	1.6%	1.6%	3.9%	3.4%	.9%	2.8%	2.7%		1.8%
	37	15	21	5	9	12	6	5	2	10	13	9	1	6	5	12	3	3	6
	3.7%	3.3%	4.0%	3.8%	3.7%	4.9%	3.5%	2.7%	2.6%	6.1%	3.5%	4.7%	1.0%	10.2%	4.4%	3.8%	2.7%	7.0%	2.1%
Maintain Boise County Character / Heritage	9	2	7	1	3	1	3	1	1	3	2	2	1			2	4		3
	.9%	.4%	1.3%	.8%	1.2%	.4%	1.7%	.5%	1.3%	1.8%	.5%	1.0%	1.0%			.6%	3.5%		1.1%
Do Not Change	8	4	4					1	7	2	1	3	1					1	7
	.8%	.9%	.8%					.6%	3.8%	2.6%	.6%	.8%	.5%					2.3%	2.5%
Adjust Property Tax	7	3	4	1		2	4			3	2	1	1			2	1		4
	.7%	.7%	.8%	.8%		.8%	2.3%			1.8%	.5%	.5%	1.0%			.6%	.9%		1.4%
Improve Economic Employment Opportunity	7		7	2	3	1	1			2	5			1		3	2		1
	.7%		1.3%	1.5%	1.2%	.4%	.6%			1.2%	1.3%			1.7%		.9%	1.8%		.4%
Encourage Growth	5	2	3		2	1	2			1	1	2	1		1	3		1	7
	.5%	.4%	.6%		.8%	.4%	1.2%			.6%	.3%	1.0%	1.0%		.9%	.9%		2.3%	2.5%
DON'T KNOW / NO RESPONSE	363	168	184	44	78	72	72	94	36	67	127	70	27	19	44	87	45	12	131
	36.7%	37.5%	35.3%	33.6%	32.1%	29.3%	41.6%	50.5%	46.2%	40.9%	33.8%	36.6%	26.5%	32.2%	38.9%	27.4%	39.8%	27.9%	46.5%

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
a. Continued population growth is good for Boise County.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	491 49.6%	213 47.5%	267 51.2%	65 49.6%	121 49.8%	137 55.7%	77 44.5%	87 46.8%	37 47.4%	81 49.4%	194 51.6%	88 46.1%	46 45.1%	25 42.4%	57 50.4%	142 44.8%	71 62.8%	24 55.8%	133 47.2%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	335 33.8%	155 34.6%	175 33.6%	49 37.4%	78 32.1%	76 30.9%	68 39.3%	62 33.3%	30 38.5%	51 31.1%	121 32.2%	71 37.2%	40 39.2%	25 42.4%	35 31.0%	123 38.8%	28 24.8%	11 25.6%	98 34.8%
b. Property owners should be able to do what they want with their property without regard to the impact on...																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	742 74.9%	346 77.2%	387 74.3%	91 69.5%	174 71.6%	187 76.0%	145 83.8%	140 75.3%	48 61.5%	112 68.3%	295 78.5%	152 79.6%	83 81.4%	38 64.4%	83 73.5%	258 81.4%	73 64.6%	28 65.1%	222 78.7%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	201 20.3%	81 18.1%	111 21.3%	36 27.5%	59 24.3%	52 21.1%	24 13.9%	27 14.5%	22 28.2%	41 25.0%	68 18.1%	35 18.3%	17 16.7%	20 33.9%	25 22.1%	54 17.0%	36 31.9%	11 25.6%	37 13.1%
c. There is no reason to compensate private land owners for placing restrictions on the use of their land if those...																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	485 49.0%	204 45.5%	273 52.4%	66 50.4%	132 54.3%	131 53.3%	77 44.5%	73 39.2%	44 56.4%	74 45.1%	179 47.6%	89 46.6%	56 54.9%	30 50.8%	58 51.3%	164 51.7%	69 61.1%	24 55.8%	112 39.7%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	362 36.6%	174 38.8%	180 34.5%	35 26.7%	80 32.9%	96 39.0%	72 41.6%	77 41.4%	25 32.1%	68 41.5%	141 37.5%	68 35.6%	35 34.3%	19 32.2%	43 38.1%	115 36.3%	30 26.5%	12 27.9%	121 42.9%
d. To allow maximum freedom to residents, Boise County's Planning & Zoning Ordinance should just meet...																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	269 27.2%	132 29.5%	133 25.5%	38 29.0%	77 31.7%	66 26.8%	42 24.3%	43 23.1%	17 21.8%	44 26.8%	101 26.9%	52 27.2%	40 39.2%	10 16.9%	39 34.5%	97 30.6%	25 22.1%	12 27.9%	71 25.2%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	531 53.6%	216 48.2%	300 57.6%	49 37.4%	120 49.4%	127 51.6%	110 63.6%	118 63.4%	46 59.0%	101 61.6%	201 53.5%	94 49.2%	42 41.2%	36 61.0%	54 47.8%	155 48.9%	64 56.6%	17 39.5%	171 60.6%

(continued)

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
e. The Boise County Commissioners should now stop asking for public input on Planning & Zoning and just adopt the plan.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	695	312	371	110	189	174	111	105	65	106	274	130	68	37	76	246	87	31	171
	70.2%	69.6%	71.2%	84.0%	77.8%	70.7%	64.2%	56.5%	83.3%	64.6%	72.9%	68.1%	66.7%	62.7%	67.3%	77.6%	77.0%	72.1%	60.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	185	87	94	9	28	49	41	57	7	42	62	42	19	18	22	39	12	9	77
	18.7%	19.4%	18.0%	6.9%	11.5%	19.9%	23.7%	30.6%	9.0%	25.6%	16.5%	22.0%	18.6%	30.5%	19.5%	12.3%	10.6%	20.9%	27.3%
f. Boise County should have a building code that establishes at least minimum standards of construction and safety.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	166	69	94	22	50	39	25	29	17	36	71	21	6	12	15	44	35	9	38
	16.8%	15.4%	18.0%	16.8%	20.6%	15.9%	14.5%	15.6%	21.8%	22.0%	18.9%	11.0%	5.9%	20.3%	13.3%	13.9%	31.0%	20.9%	13.5%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	777	359	402	104	182	198	135	148	55	117	289	164	92	43	94	259	70	30	233
	78.5%	80.1%	77.2%	79.4%	74.9%	80.5%	78.0%	79.6%	70.5%	71.3%	76.9%	85.9%	90.2%	72.9%	83.2%	81.7%	61.9%	69.8%	82.6%
g. Boise County should have a single, multiple use zone which allows a mix of rural, business, and residential uses for.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	235	106	125	25	69	66	48	26	19	19	90	61	33	5	29	102	21	8	58
	23.7%	23.7%	24.0%	19.1%	28.4%	26.8%	27.7%	14.0%	24.4%	11.6%	23.9%	31.9%	32.4%	8.5%	25.7%	32.2%	18.6%	18.6%	20.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	567	237	316	66	129	144	93	129	42	122	211	97	51	50	65	152	73	25	170
	57.3%	52.9%	60.7%	50.4%	53.1%	58.5%	53.8%	69.4%	53.8%	74.4%	56.1%	50.8%	50.0%	84.7%	57.5%	47.9%	64.6%	58.1%	60.3%
h. Public surveys like this one are an important way for residents to communicate their opinions to county government.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	50	18	29	5	4	13	8	19	3	8	20	6	6	6	4	9	3	2	22
	5.1%	4.0%	5.6%	3.8%	1.6%	5.3%	4.6%	10.2%	3.8%	4.9%	5.3%	3.1%	5.9%	10.2%	3.5%	2.8%	2.7%	4.7%	7.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	853	394	444	111	223	215	152	145	70	141	328	169	91	47	98	292	100	35	227

(continued)

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
	86.2%	87.9%	85.2%	84.7%	91.8%	87.4%	87.9%	78.0%	89.7%	86.0%	87.2%	88.5%	89.2%	79.7%	86.7%	92.1%	88.5%	81.4%	80.5%
i. I am in favor of more government control of private land and land uses in order to preserve the rural nature of...																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	561 56.7%	234 52.2%	312 59.9%	70 53.4%	132 54.3%	127 51.6%	111 64.2%	115 61.8%	56 71.8%	100 61.0%	217 57.7%	93 48.7%	47 46.1%	36 61.0%	56 49.6%	160 50.5%	80 70.8%	24 55.8%	168 59.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	337 34.0%	166 37.1%	166 31.9%	44 33.6%	89 36.6%	96 39.0%	53 30.6%	51 27.4%	17 21.8%	42 25.6%	129 34.3%	78 40.8%	48 47.1%	21 35.6%	41 36.3%	130 41.0%	23 20.4%	15 34.9%	87 30.9%
j. Land owners should be compensated for 'lost income or value' when county government places restrictions on their...																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	283 28.6%	128 28.6%	152 29.2%	21 16.0%	73 30.0%	81 32.9%	65 37.6%	42 22.6%	10 12.8%	43 26.2%	120 31.9%	66 34.6%	31 30.4%	12 20.3%	40 35.4%	92 29.0%	27 23.9%	8 18.6%	84 29.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	554 56.0%	242 54.0%	297 57.0%	78 59.5%	133 54.7%	135 54.9%	90 52.0%	109 58.6%	58 74.4%	91 55.5%	201 53.5%	89 46.6%	62 60.8%	36 61.0%	55 48.7%	177 55.8%	71 62.8%	27 62.8%	153 54.3%
k. Additional growth in Boise County should be required to pay for itself through impact fees on developers.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	73 7.4%	27 6.0%	44 8.4%	8 6.1%	19 7.8%	22 8.9%	8 4.6%	16 8.6%	6 7.7%	8 4.9%	29 7.7%	13 6.8%	9 8.8%	7 11.9%	5 4.4%	29 9.1%	11 9.7%	2 4.7%	16 5.7%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	849 85.8%	381 85.0%	451 86.6%	109 83.2%	208 85.6%	212 86.2%	158 91.3%	152 81.7%	67 85.9%	141 86.0%	322 85.6%	171 89.5%	88 86.3%	49 83.1%	99 87.6%	268 84.5%	91 80.5%	40 93.0%	250 88.7%
l. Boise County should have multiple zones (i.e., agricultural, rural, business, and residential areas) and allow...																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	316 31.9%	135 30.1%	173 33.2%	34 26.0%	82 33.7%	93 37.8%	52 30.1%	51 27.4%	26 33.3%	59 36.0%	125 33.2%	49 25.7%	25 24.5%	28 47.5%	34 30.1%	101 31.9%	49 43.4%	10 23.3%	74 26.2%

(continued)

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
Top 2 Box (4,5)	529 53.4%	241 53.8%	278 53.4%	60 45.8%	132 54.3%	118 48.0%	104 60.1%	110 59.1%	38 48.7%	85 51.8%	194 51.6%	119 62.3%	60 58.8%	23 39.0%	60 53.1%	176 55.5%	46 40.7%	24 55.8%	172 61.0%
m. Given the opportunity, I would volunteer my time and effort to get more involved in county issues.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	175 17.7%	84 18.8%	87 16.7%	22 16.8%	35 14.4%	37 15.0%	29 16.8%	50 26.9%	22 28.2%	26 15.9%	67 17.8%	31 16.2%	10 9.8%	9 15.3%	23 20.4%	34 10.7%	20 17.7%	6 14.0%	72 25.5%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	418 42.2%	178 39.7%	232 44.5%	58 44.3%	112 46.1%	120 48.8%	66 38.2%	58 31.2%	23 29.5%	60 36.6%	164 43.6%	87 45.5%	60 58.8%	23 39.0%	52 46.0%	172 54.3%	37 32.7%	15 34.9%	93 33.0%
n. Idaho State laws dictate very specific and complex actions that must be completed to legally implement impact fees...																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	187 18.9%	71 15.8%	114 21.9%	13 9.9%	43 17.7%	60 24.4%	26 15.0%	45 24.2%	22 28.2%	37 22.6%	76 20.2%	23 12.0%	13 12.7%	12 20.3%	13 11.5%	58 18.3%	33 29.2%	3 7.0%	58 20.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	538 54.3%	232 51.8%	295 56.6%	61 46.6%	143 58.8%	136 55.3%	105 60.7%	84 45.2%	28 35.9%	82 50.0%	203 54.0%	117 61.3%	73 71.6%	30 50.8%	69 61.1%	192 60.6%	49 43.4%	23 53.5%	150 53.2%
o. Boise County would be better off with fewer restrictions, regardless of the rate of growth and its impact..."																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	690 69.7%	321 71.7%	360 69.1%	93 71.0%	182 74.9%	175 71.1%	126 72.8%	107 57.5%	48 61.5%	98 59.8%	263 69.9%	147 77.0%	87 85.3%	40 67.8%	87 77.0%	239 75.4%	62 54.9%	28 65.1%	190 67.4%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	191 19.3%	78 17.4%	107 20.5%	22 16.8%	36 14.8%	52 21.1%	36 20.8%	42 22.6%	21 26.9%	35 21.3%	75 19.9%	33 17.3%	11 10.8%	12 20.3%	19 16.8%	57 18.0%	31 27.4%	6 14.0%	56 19.9%
p. Public safety information, which is presented to the Commissioners to obtain approval for property to be subdivided..																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	53 5.4%	17 3.8%	35 6.7%	7 5.3%	6 2.5%	13 5.3%	15 8.7%	11 5.9%	6 7.7%	11 6.7%	13 3.5%	9 4.7%	6 5.9%	6 10.2%	3 2.7%	15 4.7%	9 8.0%		18 6.4%

(continued)

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
Top 2 Box (4,5)	870 87.9%	402 89.7%	452 86.8%	111 84.7%	221 90.9%	221 89.8%	152 87.9%	158 84.9%	64 82.1%	142 86.6%	341 90.7%	173 90.6%	91 89.2%	51 86.4%	104 92.0%	282 89.0%	95 84.1%	40 93.0%	245 86.9%
q. Because deer and elk migrate in the winter to the lower elevations the county should try to reserve private land for.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	264 26.7%	103 23.0%	154 29.6%	30 22.9%	59 24.3%	70 28.5%	52 30.1%	51 27.4%	20 25.6%	49 29.9%	98 26.1%	49 25.7%	25 24.5%	21 35.6%	31 27.4%	74 23.3%	42 37.2%	5 11.6%	73 25.9%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	586 59.2%	280 62.5%	296 56.8%	76 58.0%	150 61.7%	153 62.2%	99 57.2%	101 54.3%	49 62.8%	83 50.6%	228 60.6%	120 62.8%	65 63.7%	31 52.5%	65 57.5%	207 65.3%	56 49.6%	31 72.1%	162 57.4%
r. Boise County should establish fees on real estate transactions.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	424 42.8%	170 37.9%	247 47.4%	49 37.4%	89 36.6%	114 46.3%	89 51.4%	80 43.0%	39 50.0%	67 40.9%	168 44.7%	70 36.6%	42 41.2%	32 54.2%	36 31.9%	139 43.8%	58 51.3%	17 39.5%	123 43.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	326 32.9%	145 32.4%	175 33.6%	42 32.1%	91 37.4%	86 35.0%	46 26.6%	58 31.2%	21 26.9%	50 30.5%	127 33.8%	75 39.3%	40 39.2%	17 28.8%	45 39.8%	114 36.0%	31 27.4%	10 23.3%	90 31.9%
s. Despite the increased costs, Boise County notices should be published in The Idaho Statesman and distributed as...																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	437 44.1%	187 41.7%	241 46.3%	38 29.0%	108 44.4%	119 48.4%	83 48.0%	83 44.6%	34 43.6%	68 41.5%	173 46.0%	80 41.9%	42 41.2%	27 45.8%	41 36.3%	144 45.4%	57 50.4%	19 44.2%	129 45.7%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	375 37.9%	170 37.9%	196 37.6%	58 44.3%	84 34.6%	93 37.8%	63 36.4%	72 38.7%	34 43.6%	67 40.9%	131 34.8%	76 39.8%	39 38.2%	23 39.0%	47 41.6%	124 39.1%	32 28.3%	14 32.6%	106 37.6%
t. Boise County should require building inspections and increase building permit fees to cover the costs of admin...																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	355 35.9%	150 33.5%	196 37.6%	39 29.8%	91 37.4%	96 39.0%	65 37.6%	61 32.8%	38 48.7%	65 39.6%	136 36.2%	52 27.2%	27 26.5%	22 37.3%	38 33.6%	103 32.5%	66 58.4%	16 37.2%	89 31.6%

(continued)

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
Top 2 Box (4,5)	530 53.5%	246 54.9%	275 52.8%	74 56.5%	116 47.7%	137 55.7%	102 59.0%	95 51.1%	25 32.1%	80 48.8%	203 54.0%	125 65.4%	65 63.7%	31 52.5%	66 58.4%	183 57.7%	37 32.7%	23 53.5%	162 57.4%
u. I don't know enough about zoning options to make an informd decision about which type of zoning ordinance Boise Cnty.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	349 35.3%	127 28.3%	214 41.1%	42 32.1%	103 42.4%	106 43.1%	63 36.4%	33 17.7%	23 29.5%	44 26.8%	132 35.1%	83 43.5%	42 41.2%	27 45.8%	48 42.5%	133 42.0%	39 34.5%	15 34.9%	70 24.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	388 39.2%	202 45.1%	179 34.4%	59 45.0%	82 33.7%	85 34.6%	66 38.2%	91 48.9%	37 47.4%	61 37.2%	157 41.8%	62 32.5%	38 37.3%	21 35.6%	40 35.4%	108 34.1%	49 43.4%	15 34.9%	125 44.3%
v. Property owners who allow junk to pile up on their property should be required to build a fence or plant vegetation.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	153 15.5%	62 13.8%	86 16.5%	21 16.0%	41 16.9%	36 14.6%	27 15.6%	26 14.0%	17 21.8%	27 16.5%	55 14.6%	25 13.1%	8 7.8%	11 18.6%	18 15.9%	30 9.5%	40 35.4%	4 9.3%	40 14.2%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	734 74.1%	344 76.8%	377 72.4%	94 71.8%	167 68.7%	194 78.9%	136 78.6%	136 73.1%	47 60.3%	115 70.1%	288 76.6%	149 78.0%	87 85.3%	39 66.1%	86 76.1%	255 80.4%	62 54.9%	31 72.1%	215 76.2%
w. Boise County's zoning ordinance should discourage populuation growth.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	442 44.6%	192 42.9%	239 45.9%	57 43.5%	108 44.4%	113 45.9%	81 46.8%	79 42.5%	38 48.7%	69 42.1%	163 43.4%	92 48.2%	53 52.0%	29 49.2%	53 46.9%	154 48.6%	42 37.2%	15 34.9%	129 45.7%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	379 38.3%	175 39.1%	197 37.8%	51 38.9%	102 42.0%	97 39.4%	62 35.8%	63 33.9%	28 35.9%	57 34.8%	155 41.2%	69 36.1%	36 35.3%	19 32.2%	40 35.4%	112 35.3%	57 50.4%	21 48.8%	100 35.5%
x. Mobile homes should be allowed only in specified areas, such as mobile home parks or other designated county areas.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	411 41.5%	182 40.6%	219 42.0%	43 32.8%	98 40.3%	110 44.7%	75 43.4%	79 42.5%	47 60.3%	83 50.6%	150 39.9%	70 36.6%	22 21.6%	22 37.3%	53 46.9%	104 32.8%	73 64.6%	16 37.2%	114 40.4%

(continued)

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
Top 2 Box (4,5)	477 48.2%	213 47.5%	255 48.9%	71 54.2%	124 51.0%	111 45.1%	82 47.4%	84 45.2%	19 24.4%	68 41.5%	189 50.3%	95 49.7%	76 74.5%	33 55.9%	46 40.7%	181 57.1%	29 25.7%	24 55.8%	136 48.2%
y. The county should encourage the development of land that is already subdivided before approving new subdivisions.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	192 19.4%	75 16.7%	111 21.3%	20 15.3%	40 16.5%	50 20.3%	35 20.2%	45 24.2%	23 29.5%	28 17.1%	59 15.7%	40 20.9%	24 23.5%	17 28.8%	19 16.8%	58 18.3%	23 20.4%	6 14.0%	59 20.9%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	663 67.0%	306 68.3%	348 66.8%	88 67.2%	159 65.4%	175 71.1%	115 66.5%	120 64.5%	47 60.3%	115 70.1%	264 70.2%	117 61.3%	73 71.6%	35 59.3%	71 62.8%	219 69.1%	74 65.5%	31 72.1%	195 69.1%
z. I choose to live here because there's lots of nature and few people, and I want it to stay that way.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	100 10.1%	40 8.9%	58 11.1%	9 6.9%	20 8.2%	27 11.0%	22 12.7%	21 11.3%	5 6.4%	15 9.1%	31 8.2%	24 12.6%	15 14.7%	10 16.9%	10 8.8%	31 9.8%	11 9.7%	4 9.3%	30 10.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	747 75.5%	342 76.3%	387 74.3%	103 78.6%	197 81.1%	193 78.5%	118 68.2%	126 67.7%	63 80.8%	122 74.4%	289 76.9%	138 72.3%	74 72.5%	40 67.8%	84 74.3%	245 77.3%	94 83.2%	31 72.1%	201 71.3%
aa. Tourism and recreational use of Boise County should pay for itself through permit fees.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	67 6.8%	24 5.4%	42 8.1%	12 9.2%	14 5.8%	23 9.3%	10 5.8%	7 3.8%	4 5.1%	8 4.9%	34 9.0%	9 4.7%	7 6.9%	6 10.2%	12 10.6%	22 6.9%	7 6.2%	3 7.0%	14 5.0%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	810 81.8%	366 81.7%	426 81.8%	103 78.6%	200 82.3%	195 79.3%	151 87.3%	152 81.7%	63 80.8%	126 76.8%	305 81.1%	165 86.4%	85 83.3%	49 83.1%	84 74.3%	266 83.9%	92 81.4%	34 79.1%	236 83.7%
bb. Boise County's zoning ordinance should encourage population growth.																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	572 57.8%	250 55.8%	309 59.3%	64 48.9%	156 64.2%	161 65.4%	92 53.2%	94 50.5%	43 55.1%	89 54.3%	224 59.6%	113 59.2%	58 56.9%	27 45.8%	68 60.2%	191 60.3%	70 61.9%	24 55.8%	151 53.5%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	204 20.6%	88 19.6%	111 21.3%	31 23.7%	38 15.6%	39 15.9%	44 25.4%	48 25.8%	21 26.9%	32 19.5%	76 20.2%	37 19.4%	23 22.5%	20 33.9%	17 15.0%	58 18.3%	17 15.0%	9 20.9%	72 25.5%

(continued)

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
cc. Property owners who allow junk to pile up on their property don't bother me; after all, one man's junk is another...																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	736 74.3%	342 76.3%	379 72.7%	98 74.8%	174 71.6%	181 73.6%	138 79.8%	138 74.2%	44 56.4%	109 66.5%	285 75.8%	157 82.2%	92 90.2%	44 74.6%	78 69.0%	267 84.2%	58 51.3%	28 65.1%	218 77.3%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	173 17.5%	71 15.8%	100 19.2%	23 17.6%	45 18.5%	50 20.3%	22 12.7%	31 16.7%	25 32.1%	39 23.8%	62 16.5%	19 9.9%	8 7.8%	11 18.6%	23 20.4%	32 10.1%	43 38.1%	6 14.0%	45 16.0%
dd. Boise County government should be proactive in economic development and seek to attract new businesses and...																			
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	327 33.0%	141 31.5%	174 33.4%	35 26.7%	79 32.5%	92 37.4%	56 32.4%	60 32.3%	27 34.6%	48 29.3%	125 33.2%	65 34.0%	26 25.5%	16 27.1%	32 28.3%	110 34.7%	34 30.1%	17 39.5%	97 34.4%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	489 49.4%	218 48.7%	266 51.1%	67 51.1%	119 49.0%	115 46.7%	93 53.8%	90 48.4%	37 47.4%	82 50.0%	192 51.1%	94 49.2%	56 54.9%	33 55.9%	64 56.6%	154 48.6%	57 50.4%	21 48.8%	134 47.5%

TABLE 13: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
a. Continued population growth is good for Boise County.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	234 23.6%	108 24.1%	120 23.0%	32 24.4%	60 24.7%	62 25.2%	39 22.5%	40 21.5%	20 25.6%	39 23.8%	94 25.0%	44 23.0%	16 15.7%	12 20.3%	26 23.0%	64 20.2%	38 33.6%	14 32.6%	62 22.0%
Somewhat Disagree	257 26.0%	105 23.4%	147 28.2%	33 25.2%	61 25.1%	75 30.5%	38 22.0%	47 25.3%	17 21.8%	42 25.6%	100 26.6%	44 23.0%	30 29.4%	13 22.0%	31 27.4%	78 24.6%	33 29.2%	10 23.3%	71 25.2%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	149 15.1%	71 15.8%	73 14.0%	16 12.2%	42 17.3%	30 12.2%	26 15.0%	30 16.1%	10 12.8%	30 18.3%	60 16.0%	27 14.1%	14 13.7%	8 13.6%	20 17.7%	48 15.1%	13 11.5%	8 18.6%	43 15.2%
Somewhat Agree	261 26.4%	124 27.7%	134 25.7%	39 29.8%	61 25.1%	64 26.0%	48 27.7%	49 26.3%	22 28.2%	40 24.4%	97 25.8%	54 28.3%	32 31.4%	18 30.5%	29 25.7%	94 29.7%	21 18.6%	10 23.3%	78 27.7%
Strongly Agree	74 7.5%	31 6.9%	41 7.9%	10 7.6%	17 7.0%	12 4.9%	20 11.6%	13 7.0%	8 10.3%	11 6.7%	24 6.4%	17 8.9%	8 7.8%	7 11.9%	6 5.3%	29 9.1%	7 6.2%	1 2.3%	20 7.1%
NO RESPONSE	15 1.5%	9 2.0%	6 1.2%	1 .8%	2 .8%	3 1.2%	2 1.2%	7 3.8%	1 1.3%	2 1.2%	1 .3%	5 2.6%	2 2.0%	1 1.7%	1 .9%	4 1.3%	1 .9%		8 2.8%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	491 49.6%	213 47.5%	267 51.2%	65 49.6%	121 49.8%	137 55.7%	77 44.5%	87 46.8%	37 47.4%	81 49.4%	194 51.6%	88 46.1%	46 45.1%	25 42.4%	57 50.4%	142 44.8%	71 62.8%	24 55.8%	133 47.2%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	335 33.8%	155 34.6%	175 33.6%	49 37.4%	78 32.1%	76 30.9%	68 39.3%	62 33.3%	30 38.5%	51 31.1%	121 32.2%	71 37.2%	40 39.2%	25 42.4%	35 31.0%	123 38.8%	28 24.8%	11 25.6%	98 34.8%
Average rating	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.7	2.9	2.4	2.4	2.9

TABLE 14: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 b. Property owners should be able to do what they want with their property without regard to the impact on their neighbors' rights or property.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	490 49.5%	222 49.6%	263 50.5%	59 45.0%	102 42.0%	121 49.2%	112 64.7%	92 49.5%	22 28.2%	66 40.2%	193 51.3%	115 60.2%	65 63.7%	27 45.8%	52 46.0%	171 53.9%	39 34.5%	16 37.2%	161 57.1%
Somewhat Disagree	252 25.5%	124 27.7%	124 23.8%	32 24.4%	72 29.6%	66 26.8%	33 19.1%	48 25.8%	26 33.3%	46 28.0%	102 27.1%	37 19.4%	18 17.6%	11 18.6%	31 27.4%	87 27.4%	34 30.1%	12 27.9%	61 21.6%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	41 4.1%	18 4.0%	20 3.8%	4 3.1%	10 4.1%	5 2.0%	4 2.3%	16 8.6%	5 6.4%	10 6.1%	13 3.5%	3 1.6%	2 2.0%		4 3.5%	4 1.3%	4 3.5%	4 9.3%	20 7.1%
Somewhat Agree	134 13.5%	55 12.3%	70 13.4%	25 19.1%	42 17.3%	35 14.2%	13 7.5%	16 8.6%	14 17.9%	27 16.5%	46 12.2%	25 13.1%	11 10.8%	14 23.7%	18 15.9%	35 11.0%	27 23.9%	7 16.3%	18 6.4%
Strongly Agree	67 6.8%	26 5.8%	41 7.9%	11 8.4%	17 7.0%	17 6.9%	11 6.4%	11 5.9%	8 10.3%	14 8.5%	22 5.9%	10 5.2%	6 5.9%	6 10.2%	7 6.2%	19 6.0%	9 8.0%	4 9.3%	19 6.7%
NO RESPONSE	6 .6%	3 .7%	3 .6%			2 .8%		3 1.6%	3 3.8%	1 .6%		1 .5%		1 1.7%	1 .9%	1 .3%			3 1.1%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	742 74.9%	346 77.2%	387 74.3%	91 69.5%	174 71.6%	187 76.0%	145 83.8%	140 75.3%	48 61.5%	112 68.3%	295 78.5%	152 79.6%	83 81.4%	38 64.4%	83 73.5%	258 81.4%	73 64.6%	28 65.1%	222 78.7%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	201 20.3%	81 18.1%	111 21.3%	36 27.5%	59 24.3%	52 21.1%	24 13.9%	27 14.5%	22 28.2%	41 25.0%	68 18.1%	35 18.3%	17 16.7%	20 33.9%	25 22.1%	54 17.0%	36 31.9%	11 25.6%	37 13.1%
Average rating	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.1	1.7	2.1	2.7	2.3	1.9	1.9	1.8	2.4	2.1	1.9	2.4	2.3	1.9

TABLE 15: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 c. There is no reason to compensate private land owners for placing restrictions on the use of their land if those restrictions are supported by the majority of county residents.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	285 28.8%	111 24.8%	170 32.6%	38 29.0%	72 29.6%	67 27.2%	50 28.9%	55 29.6%	25 32.1%	49 29.9%	107 28.5%	49 25.7%	30 29.4%	23 39.0%	29 25.7%	87 27.4%	45 39.8%	11 25.6%	77 27.3%
Somewhat Disagree	200 20.2%	93 20.8%	103 19.8%	28 21.4%	60 24.7%	64 26.0%	27 15.6%	18 9.7%	19 24.4%	25 15.2%	72 19.1%	40 20.9%	26 25.5%	7 11.9%	29 25.7%	77 24.3%	24 21.2%	13 30.2%	35 12.4%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	120 12.1%	58 12.9%	57 10.9%	27 20.6%	29 11.9%	15 6.1%	21 12.1%	25 13.4%	6 7.7%	18 11.0%	46 12.2%	33 17.3%	11 10.8%	9 15.3%	11 9.7%	34 10.7%	13 11.5%	4 9.3%	37 13.1%
Somewhat Agree	209 21.1%	105 23.4%	100 19.2%	26 19.8%	47 19.3%	57 23.2%	39 22.5%	40 21.5%	13 16.7%	39 23.8%	86 22.9%	33 17.3%	25 24.5%	13 22.0%	20 17.7%	75 23.7%	19 16.8%	8 18.6%	64 22.7%
Strongly Agree	153 15.5%	69 15.4%	80 15.4%	9 6.9%	33 13.6%	39 15.9%	33 19.1%	37 19.9%	12 15.4%	29 17.7%	55 14.6%	35 18.3%	10 9.8%	6 10.2%	23 20.4%	40 12.6%	11 9.7%	4 9.3%	57 20.2%
NO RESPONSE	23 2.3%	12 2.7%	11 2.1%	3 2.3%	2 .8%	4 1.6%	3 1.7%	11 5.9%	3 3.8%	4 2.4%	10 2.7%	1 .5%		1 1.7%	1 .9%	4 1.3%	1 .9%	3 7.0%	12 4.3%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	485 49.0%	204 45.5%	273 52.4%	66 50.4%	132 54.3%	131 53.3%	77 44.5%	73 39.2%	44 56.4%	74 45.1%	179 47.6%	89 46.6%	56 54.9%	30 50.8%	58 51.3%	164 51.7%	69 61.1%	24 55.8%	112 39.7%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	362 36.6%	174 38.8%	180 34.5%	35 26.7%	80 32.9%	96 39.0%	72 41.6%	77 41.4%	25 32.1%	68 41.5%	141 37.5%	68 35.6%	35 34.3%	19 32.2%	43 38.1%	115 36.3%	30 26.5%	12 27.9%	121 42.9%
Average rating	2.9	3.0	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	3.0	3.3	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.4	3.0	3.2

TABLE 16: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 d. To allow maximum freedom to residents, Boise County's Planning & Zoning Ordinance should just meet, and not exceed, the requirements of Idaho State law.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	113 11.4%	60 13.4%	53 10.2%	16 12.2%	33 13.6%	21 8.5%	22 12.7%	20 10.8%	8 10.3%	23 14.0%	39 10.4%	23 12.0%	17 16.7%	3 5.1%	20 17.7%	39 12.3%	7 6.2%	7 16.3%	34 12.1%
Somewhat Disagree	156 15.8%	72 16.1%	80 15.4%	22 16.8%	44 18.1%	45 18.3%	20 11.6%	23 12.4%	9 11.5%	21 12.8%	62 16.5%	29 15.2%	23 22.5%	7 11.9%	19 16.8%	58 18.3%	18 15.9%	5 11.6%	37 13.1%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	171 17.3%	90 20.1%	80 15.4%	39 29.8%	43 17.7%	48 19.5%	21 12.1%	19 10.2%	13 16.7%	18 11.0%	68 18.1%	41 21.5%	19 18.6%	12 20.3%	18 15.9%	59 18.6%	22 19.5%	13 30.2%	36 12.8%
Somewhat Agree	273 27.6%	115 25.7%	148 28.4%	25 19.1%	64 26.3%	64 26.0%	49 28.3%	67 36.0%	18 23.1%	52 31.7%	98 26.1%	58 30.4%	22 21.6%	14 23.7%	36 31.9%	75 23.7%	30 26.5%	8 18.6%	91 32.3%
Strongly Agree	258 26.1%	101 22.5%	152 29.2%	24 18.3%	56 23.0%	63 25.6%	61 35.3%	51 27.4%	28 35.9%	49 29.9%	103 27.4%	36 18.8%	20 19.6%	22 37.3%	18 15.9%	80 25.2%	34 30.1%	9 20.9%	80 28.4%
NO RESPONSE	19 1.9%	10 2.2%	8 1.5%	5 3.8%	3 1.2%	5 2.0%		6 3.2%	2 2.6%	1 .6%	6 1.6%	4 2.1%	1 1.0%	1 1.7%	2 1.8%	6 1.9%	2 1.8%	1 2.3%	4 1.4%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	269 27.2%	132 29.5%	133 25.5%	38 29.0%	77 31.7%	66 26.8%	42 24.3%	43 23.1%	17 21.8%	44 26.8%	101 26.9%	52 27.2%	40 39.2%	10 16.9%	39 34.5%	97 30.6%	25 22.1%	12 27.9%	71 25.2%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	531 53.6%	216 48.2%	300 57.6%	49 37.4%	120 49.4%	127 51.6%	110 63.6%	118 63.4%	46 59.0%	101 61.6%	201 53.5%	94 49.2%	42 41.2%	36 61.0%	54 47.8%	155 48.9%	64 56.6%	17 39.5%	171 60.6%
Average rating	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.4	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.1	3.9	3.2	3.4	3.7	3.3	3.6

TABLE 17: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 e. The Boise County Commissioners should now stop asking for public input on Planning & Zoning and just adopt the plan they think is best.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	521 52.6%	237 52.9%	277 53.2%	84 64.1%	137 56.4%	130 52.8%	90 52.0%	79 42.5%	52 66.7%	84 51.2%	214 56.9%	87 45.5%	45 44.1%	25 42.4%	46 40.7%	185 58.4%	70 61.9%	25 58.1%	131 46.5%
Somewhat Disagree	174 17.6%	75 16.7%	94 18.0%	26 19.8%	52 21.4%	44 17.9%	21 12.1%	26 14.0%	13 16.7%	22 13.4%	60 16.0%	43 22.5%	23 22.5%	12 20.3%	30 26.5%	61 19.2%	17 15.0%	6 14.0%	40 14.2%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	102 10.3%	44 9.8%	53 10.2%	12 9.2%	25 10.3%	22 8.9%	19 11.0%	20 10.8%	5 6.4%	16 9.8%	40 10.6%	16 8.4%	14 13.7%	3 5.1%	14 12.4%	29 9.1%	14 12.4%	3 7.0%	31 11.0%
Somewhat Agree	115 11.6%	56 12.5%	57 10.9%	8 6.1%	18 7.4%	32 13.0%	25 14.5%	32 17.2%	4 5.1%	28 17.1%	44 11.7%	21 11.0%	12 11.8%	13 22.0%	12 10.6%	28 8.8%	6 5.3%	8 18.6%	42 14.9%
Strongly Agree	70 7.1%	31 6.9%	37 7.1%	1 .8%	10 4.1%	17 6.9%	16 9.2%	25 13.4%	3 3.8%	14 8.5%	18 4.8%	21 11.0%	7 6.9%	5 8.5%	10 8.8%	11 3.5%	6 5.3%	1 2.3%	35 12.4%
NO RESPONSE	8 .8%	5 1.1%	3 .6%		1 .4%	1 .4%	2 1.2%	4 2.2%	1 1.3%			3 1.6%	1 1.0%	1 1.7%	1 .9%	3 .9%			3 1.1%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	695 70.2%	312 69.6%	371 71.2%	110 84.0%	189 77.8%	174 70.7%	111 64.2%	105 56.5%	65 83.3%	106 64.6%	274 72.9%	130 68.1%	68 66.7%	37 62.7%	76 67.3%	246 77.6%	87 77.0%	31 72.1%	171 60.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	185 18.7%	87 19.4%	94 18.0%	9 6.9%	28 11.5%	49 19.9%	41 23.7%	57 30.6%	7 9.0%	42 25.6%	62 16.5%	42 22.0%	19 18.6%	18 30.5%	22 19.5%	39 12.3%	12 10.6%	9 20.9%	77 27.3%
Average rating	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.6	1.8	2.1	2.2	2.6	1.7	2.2	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.3	1.9	1.8	1.9	2.4

TABLE 18: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
f. Boise County should have a building code that establishes at least minimum standards of construction and safety.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	95 9.6%	44 9.8%	51 9.8%	12 9.2%	29 11.9%	20 8.1%	19 11.0%	14 7.5%	10 12.8%	22 13.4%	40 10.6%	10 5.2%	4 3.9%	8 13.6%	6 5.3%	25 7.9%	19 16.8%	4 9.3%	25 8.9%
Somewhat Disagree	71 7.2%	25 5.6%	43 8.3%	10 7.6%	21 8.6%	19 7.7%	6 3.5%	15 8.1%	7 9.0%	14 8.5%	31 8.2%	11 5.8%	2 2.0%	4 6.8%	9 8.0%	19 6.0%	16 14.2%	5 11.6%	13 4.6%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	39 3.9%	18 4.0%	20 3.8%	3 2.3%	10 4.1%	8 3.3%	12 6.9%	6 3.2%	5 6.4%	10 6.1%	15 4.0%	3 1.6%	4 3.9%	3 5.1%	2 1.8%	11 3.5%	8 7.1%	3 7.0%	10 3.5%
Somewhat Agree	244 24.6%	113 25.2%	123 23.6%	35 26.7%	52 21.4%	70 28.5%	32 18.5%	50 26.9%	22 28.2%	46 28.0%	92 24.5%	38 19.9%	16 15.7%	9 15.3%	26 23.0%	66 20.8%	41 36.3%	11 25.6%	69 24.5%
Strongly Agree	533 53.8%	246 54.9%	279 53.6%	69 52.7%	130 53.5%	128 52.0%	103 59.5%	98 52.7%	33 42.3%	71 43.3%	197 52.4%	126 66.0%	76 74.5%	34 57.6%	68 60.2%	193 60.9%	29 25.7%	19 44.2%	164 58.2%
NO RESPONSE	8 .8%	2 .4%	5 1.0%	2 1.5%	1 .4%	1 .4%	1 .6%	3 1.6%	1 1.3%	1 .6%	1 .3%	3 1.6%		1 1.7%	2 1.8%	3 .9%		1 2.3%	1 .4%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	166 16.8%	69 15.4%	94 18.0%	22 16.8%	50 20.6%	39 15.9%	25 14.5%	29 15.6%	17 21.8%	36 22.0%	71 18.9%	21 11.0%	6 5.9%	12 20.3%	15 13.3%	44 13.9%	35 31.0%	9 20.9%	38 13.5%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	777 78.5%	359 80.1%	402 77.2%	104 79.4%	182 74.9%	198 80.5%	135 78.0%	148 79.6%	55 70.5%	117 71.3%	289 76.9%	164 85.9%	92 90.2%	43 72.9%	94 83.2%	259 81.7%	70 61.9%	30 69.8%	233 82.6%
Average rating	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.2	3.9	3.8	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.3	3.4	4.0	4.2

TABLE 19: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 g. Boise County should have a single, multiple use zone which allows a mix of rural, business, and residential uses for the entire county (similar to Valley County).

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	124 12.5%	58 12.9%	64 12.3%	15 11.5%	32 13.2%	30 12.2%	31 17.9%	15 8.1%	12 15.4%	14 8.5%	38 10.1%	33 17.3%	16 15.7%	4 6.8%	9 8.0%	55 17.4%	13 11.5%	5 11.6%	31 11.0%
Somewhat Disagree	111 11.2%	48 10.7%	61 11.7%	10 7.6%	37 15.2%	36 14.6%	17 9.8%	11 5.9%	7 9.0%	5 3.0%	52 13.8%	28 14.7%	17 16.7%	1 1.7%	20 17.7%	47 14.8%	8 7.1%	3 7.0%	27 9.6%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	171 17.3%	100 22.3%	69 13.2%	35 26.7%	43 17.7%	34 13.8%	30 17.3%	25 13.4%	15 19.2%	23 14.0%	70 18.6%	30 15.7%	17 16.7%	4 6.8%	15 13.3%	59 18.6%	19 16.8%	10 23.3%	47 16.7%
Somewhat Agree	334 33.7%	134 29.9%	189 36.3%	40 30.5%	87 35.8%	89 36.2%	44 25.4%	69 37.1%	21 26.9%	65 39.6%	126 33.5%	59 30.9%	34 33.3%	30 50.8%	44 38.9%	90 28.4%	44 38.9%	16 37.2%	87 30.9%
Strongly Agree	233 23.5%	103 23.0%	127 24.4%	26 19.8%	42 17.3%	55 22.4%	49 28.3%	60 32.3%	21 26.9%	57 34.8%	85 22.6%	38 19.9%	17 16.7%	20 33.9%	21 18.6%	62 19.6%	29 25.7%	9 20.9%	83 29.4%
NO RESPONSE	17 1.7%	5 1.1%	11 2.1%	5 3.8%	2 .8%	2 .8%	2 1.2%	6 3.2%	2 2.6%		5 1.3%	3 1.6%	1 1.0%		4 3.5%	4 1.3%			7 2.5%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	235 23.7%	106 23.7%	125 24.0%	25 19.1%	69 28.4%	66 26.8%	48 27.7%	26 14.0%	19 24.4%	19 11.6%	90 23.9%	61 31.9%	33 32.4%	5 8.5%	29 25.7%	102 32.2%	21 18.6%	8 18.6%	58 20.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	567 57.3%	237 52.9%	316 60.7%	66 50.4%	129 53.1%	144 58.5%	93 53.8%	129 69.4%	42 53.8%	122 74.4%	211 56.1%	97 50.8%	51 50.0%	50 84.7%	65 57.5%	152 47.9%	73 64.6%	25 58.1%	170 60.3%
Average rating	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.3	3.5	3.4	4.0	3.6	3.9	3.5	3.3	3.2	4.0	3.6	3.3	3.6	3.5	3.7

TABLE 20: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 h. Public surveys like this one are an important way for residents to communicate their opinions to county government.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	30 3.0%	12 2.7%	16 3.1%	3 2.3%	2 .8%	8 3.3%	5 2.9%	12 6.5%	2 2.6%	3 1.8%	12 3.2%	3 1.6%	4 3.9%	3 5.1%	3 2.7%	7 2.2%	1 .9%	2 4.7%	12 4.3%
Somewhat Disagree	20 2.0%	6 1.3%	13 2.5%	2 1.5%	2 .8%	5 2.0%	3 1.7%	7 3.8%	1 1.3%	5 3.0%	8 2.1%	3 1.6%	2 2.0%	3 5.1%	1 .9%	2 .6%	2 1.8%		10 3.5%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	78 7.9%	33 7.4%	43 8.3%	13 9.9%	15 6.2%	17 6.9%	12 6.9%	18 9.7%	4 5.1%	15 9.1%	26 6.9%	15 7.9%	5 4.9%	6 10.2%	8 7.1%	15 4.7%	10 8.8%	6 14.0%	30 10.6%
Somewhat Agree	247 24.9%	109 24.3%	133 25.5%	25 19.1%	74 30.5%	55 22.4%	39 22.5%	49 26.3%	18 23.1%	55 33.5%	80 21.3%	56 29.3%	23 22.5%	12 20.3%	43 38.1%	71 22.4%	25 22.1%	10 23.3%	73 25.9%
Strongly Agree	606 61.2%	285 63.6%	311 59.7%	86 65.6%	149 61.3%	160 65.0%	113 65.3%	96 51.6%	52 66.7%	86 52.4%	248 66.0%	113 59.2%	68 66.7%	35 59.3%	55 48.7%	221 69.7%	75 66.4%	25 58.1%	154 54.6%
NO RESPONSE	9 .9%	3 .7%	5 1.0%	2 1.5%	1 .4%	1 .4%	1 .6%	4 2.2%	1 1.3%		2 .5%	1 .5%		3 2.7%	1 .3%				3 1.1%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	50 5.1%	18 4.0%	29 5.6%	5 3.8%	4 1.6%	13 5.3%	8 4.6%	19 10.2%	3 3.8%	8 4.9%	20 5.3%	6 3.1%	6 5.9%	6 10.2%	4 3.5%	9 2.8%	3 2.7%	2 4.7%	22 7.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	853 86.2%	394 87.9%	444 85.2%	111 84.7%	223 91.8%	215 87.4%	152 87.9%	145 78.0%	70 89.7%	141 86.0%	328 87.2%	169 88.5%	91 89.2%	47 79.7%	98 86.7%	292 92.1%	100 88.5%	35 81.4%	227 80.5%
Average rating	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.3

TABLE 21: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 i. I am in favor of more government control of private land and land uses in order to preserve the rural nature of Boise County.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	383 38.7%	161 35.9%	214 41.1%	44 33.6%	87 35.8%	95 38.6%	71 41.0%	83 44.6%	48 61.5%	66 40.2%	146 38.8%	59 30.9%	29 28.4%	27 45.8%	33 29.2%	108 34.1%	65 57.5%	15 34.9%	108 38.3%
Somewhat Disagree	178 18.0%	73 16.3%	98 18.8%	26 19.8%	45 18.5%	32 13.0%	40 23.1%	32 17.2%	8 10.3%	34 20.7%	71 18.9%	34 17.8%	18 17.6%	9 15.3%	23 20.4%	52 16.4%	15 13.3%	9 20.9%	60 21.3%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	82 8.3%	44 9.8%	38 7.3%	16 12.2%	21 8.6%	21 8.5%	9 5.2%	14 7.5%	4 5.1%	21 12.8%	27 7.2%	18 9.4%	7 6.9%	2 3.4%	13 11.5%	25 7.9%	10 8.8%	4 9.3%	23 8.2%
Somewhat Agree	232 23.4%	117 26.1%	113 21.7%	28 21.4%	64 26.3%	68 27.6%	38 22.0%	31 16.7%	5 6.4%	30 18.3%	93 24.7%	52 27.2%	34 33.3%	17 28.8%	34 30.1%	93 29.3%	17 15.0%	5 11.6%	52 18.4%
Strongly Agree	105 10.6%	49 10.9%	53 10.2%	16 12.2%	25 10.3%	28 11.4%	15 8.7%	20 10.8%	12 15.4%	12 7.3%	36 9.6%	26 13.6%	14 13.7%	4 6.8%	7 6.2%	37 11.7%	6 5.3%	10 23.3%	35 12.4%
NO RESPONSE	10 1.0%	4 .9%	5 1.0%	1 .8%	1 .4%	2 .8%		6 3.2%	1 1.3%	1 .6%	3 .8%	2 1.0%			3 2.7%	2 .6%			4 1.4%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	561 56.7%	234 52.2%	312 59.9%	70 53.4%	132 54.3%	127 51.6%	111 64.2%	115 61.8%	56 71.8%	100 61.0%	217 57.7%	93 48.7%	47 46.1%	36 61.0%	56 49.6%	160 50.5%	80 70.8%	24 55.8%	168 59.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	337 34.0%	166 37.1%	166 31.9%	44 33.6%	89 36.6%	96 39.0%	53 30.6%	51 27.4%	17 21.8%	42 25.6%	129 34.3%	78 40.8%	48 47.1%	21 35.6%	41 36.3%	130 41.0%	23 20.4%	15 34.9%	87 30.9%
Average rating	2.6	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.8	2.9	2.4	2.8	2.7	2.0	2.7	2.5

TABLE 22: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
j. Land owners should be compensated for 'lost income or value' when county government places restrictions on their property.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	112 11.3%	49 10.9%	62 11.9%	6 4.6%	29 11.9%	30 12.2%	25 14.5%	22 11.8%	4 5.1%	16 9.8%	44 11.7%	36 18.8%	7 6.9%	6 10.2%	23 20.4%	31 9.8%	7 6.2%	2 4.7%	35 12.4%
Somewhat Disagree	171 17.3%	79 17.6%	90 17.3%	15 11.5%	44 18.1%	51 20.7%	40 23.1%	20 10.8%	6 7.7%	27 16.5%	76 20.2%	30 15.7%	24 23.5%	6 10.2%	17 15.0%	61 19.2%	20 17.7%	6 14.0%	49 17.4%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	137 13.8%	72 16.1%	63 12.1%	28 21.4%	34 14.0%	27 11.0%	18 10.4%	29 15.6%	9 11.5%	29 17.7%	53 14.1%	30 15.7%	8 7.8%	10 16.9%	16 14.2%	43 13.6%	14 12.4%	8 18.6%	39 13.8%
Somewhat Agree	254 25.7%	116 25.9%	131 25.1%	32 24.4%	62 25.5%	64 26.0%	40 23.1%	50 26.9%	21 26.9%	40 24.4%	96 25.5%	43 22.5%	33 32.4%	9 15.3%	28 24.8%	90 28.4%	23 20.4%	14 32.6%	78 27.7%
Strongly Agree	300 30.3%	126 28.1%	166 31.9%	46 35.1%	71 29.2%	71 28.9%	50 28.9%	59 31.7%	37 47.4%	51 31.1%	105 27.9%	46 24.1%	29 28.4%	27 45.8%	27 23.9%	87 27.4%	48 42.5%	13 30.2%	75 26.6%
NO RESPONSE	16 1.6%	6 1.3%	9 1.7%	4 3.1%	3 1.2%	3 1.2%		6 3.2%	1 1.3%	1 .6%	2 .5%	6 3.1%	1 1.0%	1 1.7%	2 1.8%	5 1.6%	1 .9%		6 2.1%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	283 28.6%	128 28.6%	152 29.2%	21 16.0%	73 30.0%	81 32.9%	65 37.6%	42 22.6%	10 12.8%	43 26.2%	120 31.9%	66 34.6%	31 30.4%	12 20.3%	40 35.4%	92 29.0%	27 23.9%	8 18.6%	84 29.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	554 56.0%	242 54.0%	297 57.0%	78 59.5%	133 54.7%	135 54.9%	90 52.0%	109 58.6%	58 74.4%	91 55.5%	201 53.5%	89 46.6%	62 60.8%	36 61.0%	55 48.7%	177 55.8%	71 62.8%	27 62.8%	153 54.3%
Average rating	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.9	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.8	4.1	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.9	3.3	3.5	3.8	3.7	3.5

TABLE 23: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 k. Additional growth in Boise County should be required to pay for itself through impact fees on developers.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	33 3.3%	8 1.8%	24 4.6%	2 1.5%	6 2.5%	14 5.7%	4 2.3%	7 3.8%	3 3.8%	2 1.2%	12 3.2%	5 2.6%	6 5.9%	4 6.8%	1 .9%	12 3.8%	5 4.4%	1 2.3%	8 2.8%
Somewhat Disagree	40 4.0%	19 4.2%	20 3.8%	6 4.6%	13 5.3%	8 3.3%	4 2.3%	9 4.8%	3 3.8%	6 3.7%	17 4.5%	8 4.2%	3 2.9%	3 5.1%	4 3.5%	17 5.4%	6 5.3%	1 2.3%	8 2.8%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	60 6.1%	36 8.0%	23 4.4%	13 9.9%	15 6.2%	11 4.5%	7 4.0%	13 7.0%	4 5.1%	15 9.1%	24 6.4%	6 3.1%	5 4.9%	3 5.1%	7 6.2%	19 6.0%	11 9.7%	1 2.3%	12 4.3%
Somewhat Agree	276 27.9%	121 27.0%	149 28.6%	44 33.6%	74 30.5%	52 21.1%	44 25.4%	60 32.3%	23 29.5%	54 32.9%	107 28.5%	44 23.0%	32 31.4%	16 27.1%	32 28.3%	85 26.8%	26 23.0%	15 34.9%	88 31.2%
Strongly Agree	573 57.9%	260 58.0%	302 58.0%	65 49.6%	134 55.1%	160 65.0%	114 65.9%	92 49.5%	44 56.4%	87 53.0%	215 57.2%	127 66.5%	56 54.9%	33 55.9%	67 59.3%	183 57.7%	65 57.5%	25 58.1%	162 57.4%
NO RESPONSE	8 .8%	4 .9%	3 .6%	1 .8%	1 .4%	1 .4%		5 2.7%	1 1.3%		1 .3%	1 .5%		2 1.8%	1 .3%				4 1.4%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	73 7.4%	27 6.0%	44 8.4%	8 6.1%	19 7.8%	22 8.9%	8 4.6%	16 8.6%	6 7.7%	8 4.9%	29 7.7%	13 6.8%	9 8.8%	7 11.9%	5 4.4%	29 9.1%	11 9.7%	2 4.7%	16 5.7%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	849 85.8%	381 85.0%	451 86.6%	109 83.2%	208 85.6%	212 86.2%	158 91.3%	152 81.7%	67 85.9%	141 86.0%	322 85.6%	171 89.5%	88 86.3%	49 83.1%	99 87.6%	268 84.5%	91 80.5%	40 93.0%	250 88.7%
Average rating	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.5

TABLE 24: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 1. Boise County should have multiple zones (i.e., agricultural, rural, business, and residential areas) and allow different areas to be classified as different zones (similar to Ada and Gem Counties).

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	151 15.3%	68 15.2%	82 15.7%	13 9.9%	37 15.2%	42 17.1%	35 20.2%	24 12.9%	15 19.2%	33 20.1%	48 12.8%	26 13.6%	10 9.8%	13 22.0%	14 12.4%	47 14.8%	24 21.2%	5 11.6%	40 14.2%
Somewhat Disagree	165 16.7%	67 15.0%	91 17.5%	21 16.0%	45 18.5%	51 20.7%	17 9.8%	27 14.5%	11 14.1%	26 15.9%	77 20.5%	23 12.0%	15 14.7%	15 25.4%	20 17.7%	54 17.0%	25 22.1%	5 11.6%	34 12.1%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	129 13.0%	67 15.0%	60 11.5%	31 23.7%	29 11.9%	31 12.6%	17 9.8%	19 10.2%	13 16.7%	19 11.6%	53 14.1%	19 9.9%	17 16.7%	7 11.9%	16 14.2%	36 11.4%	16 14.2%	9 20.9%	33 11.7%
Somewhat Agree	298 30.1%	138 30.8%	154 29.6%	31 23.7%	78 32.1%	71 28.9%	53 30.6%	63 33.9%	19 24.4%	47 28.7%	118 31.4%	66 34.6%	27 26.5%	12 20.3%	37 32.7%	99 31.2%	29 25.7%	14 32.6%	90 31.9%
Strongly Agree	231 23.3%	103 23.0%	124 23.8%	29 22.1%	54 22.2%	47 19.1%	51 29.5%	47 25.3%	19 24.4%	38 23.2%	76 20.2%	53 27.7%	33 32.4%	11 18.6%	23 20.4%	77 24.3%	17 15.0%	10 23.3%	82 29.1%
NO RESPONSE	16 1.6%	5 1.1%	10 1.9%	6 4.6%		4 1.6%		6 3.2%	1 1.3%	1 .6%	4 1.1%	4 2.1%		1 1.7%	3 2.7%	4 1.3%	2 1.8%		3 1.1%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	316 31.9%	135 30.1%	173 33.2%	34 26.0%	82 33.7%	93 37.8%	52 30.1%	51 27.4%	26 33.3%	59 36.0%	125 33.2%	49 25.7%	25 24.5%	28 47.5%	34 30.1%	101 31.9%	49 43.4%	10 23.3%	74 26.2%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	529 53.4%	241 53.8%	278 53.4%	60 45.8%	132 54.3%	118 48.0%	104 60.1%	110 59.1%	38 48.7%	85 51.8%	194 51.6%	119 62.3%	60 58.8%	23 39.0%	60 53.1%	176 55.5%	46 40.7%	24 55.8%	172 61.0%
Average rating	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.3	3.2	3.4	3.6	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.0	3.5	3.4	3.0	3.4	3.6

TABLE 25: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 m. Given the opportunity, I would volunteer my time and effort to get more involved in county issues.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	95 9.6%	50 11.2%	43 8.3%	7 5.3%	15 6.2%	20 8.1%	16 9.2%	36 19.4%	14 17.9%	16 9.8%	35 9.3%	12 6.3%	5 4.9%	2 3.4%	9 8.0%	14 4.4%	13 11.5%	4 9.3%	48 17.0%
Somewhat Disagree	80 8.1%	34 7.6%	44 8.4%	15 11.5%	20 8.2%	17 6.9%	13 7.5%	14 7.5%	8 10.3%	10 6.1%	32 8.5%	19 9.9%	5 4.9%	7 11.9%	14 12.4%	20 6.3%	7 6.2%	2 4.7%	24 8.5%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	361 36.5%	165 36.8%	189 36.3%	48 36.6%	93 38.3%	86 35.0%	68 39.3%	62 33.3%	28 35.9%	69 42.1%	137 36.4%	69 36.1%	31 30.4%	26 44.1%	36 31.9%	106 33.4%	51 45.1%	22 51.2%	98 34.8%
Somewhat Agree	296 29.9%	126 28.1%	164 31.5%	40 30.5%	76 31.3%	92 37.4%	43 24.9%	42 22.6%	19 24.4%	41 25.0%	120 31.9%	59 30.9%	41 40.2%	18 30.5%	39 34.5%	121 38.2%	24 21.2%	8 18.6%	70 24.8%
Strongly Agree	122 12.3%	52 11.6%	68 13.1%	18 13.7%	36 14.8%	28 11.4%	23 13.3%	16 8.6%	4 5.1%	19 11.6%	44 11.7%	28 14.7%	19 18.6%	5 8.5%	13 11.5%	51 16.1%	13 11.5%	7 16.3%	23 8.2%
NO RESPONSE	36 3.6%	21 4.7%	13 2.5%	3 2.3%	3 1.2%	3 1.2%	10 5.8%	16 8.6%	5 6.4%	9 5.5%	8 2.1%	4 2.1%	1 1.0%	1 1.7%	2 1.8%	5 1.6%	5 4.4%		19 6.7%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	175 17.7%	84 18.8%	87 16.7%	22 16.8%	35 14.4%	37 15.0%	29 16.8%	50 26.9%	22 28.2%	26 15.9%	67 17.8%	31 16.2%	10 9.8%	9 15.3%	23 20.4%	34 10.7%	20 17.7%	6 14.0%	72 25.5%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	418 42.2%	178 39.7%	232 44.5%	58 44.3%	112 46.1%	120 48.8%	66 38.2%	58 31.2%	23 29.5%	60 36.6%	164 43.6%	87 45.5%	60 58.8%	23 39.0%	52 46.0%	172 54.3%	37 32.7%	15 34.9%	93 33.0%
Average rating	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.7	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.4	3.3	3.4

TABLE 26: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 n. Idaho State laws dictate very specific and complex actions that must be completed to legally implement impact fees; the County should initiate and fund those actions immediately.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	96 9.7%	39 8.7%	55 10.6%	7 5.3%	21 8.6%	31 12.6%	13 7.5%	24 12.9%	8 10.3%	13 7.9%	39 10.4%	19 9.9%	10 9.8%	8 13.6%	5 4.4%	35 11.0%	15 13.3%	1 2.3%	27 9.6%
Somewhat Disagree	91 9.2%	32 7.1%	59 11.3%	6 4.6%	22 9.1%	29 11.8%	13 7.5%	21 11.3%	14 17.9%	24 14.6%	37 9.8%	4 2.1%	3 2.9%	4 6.8%	8 7.1%	23 7.3%	18 15.9%	2 4.7%	31 11.0%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	245 24.7%	137 30.6%	102 19.6%	51 38.9%	54 22.2%	48 19.5%	40 23.1%	50 26.9%	25 32.1%	43 26.2%	94 25.0%	45 23.6%	15 14.7%	17 28.8%	27 23.9%	61 19.2%	31 27.4%	17 39.5%	68 24.1%
Somewhat Agree	286 28.9%	125 27.9%	157 30.1%	39 29.8%	85 35.0%	72 29.3%	44 25.4%	43 23.1%	11 14.1%	48 29.3%	113 30.1%	59 30.9%	41 40.2%	16 27.1%	42 37.2%	110 34.7%	25 22.1%	12 27.9%	69 24.5%
Strongly Agree	252 25.5%	107 23.9%	138 26.5%	22 16.8%	58 23.9%	64 26.0%	61 35.3%	41 22.0%	17 21.8%	34 20.7%	90 23.9%	58 30.4%	32 31.4%	14 23.7%	27 23.9%	82 25.9%	24 21.2%	11 25.6%	81 28.7%
NO RESPONSE	20 2.0%	8 1.8%	10 1.9%	6 4.6%	3 1.2%	2 .8%	2 1.2%	7 3.8%	3 3.8%	2 1.2%	3 .8%	6 3.1%	1 1.0%		4 3.5%	6 1.9%			6 2.1%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	187 18.9%	71 15.8%	114 21.9%	13 9.9%	43 17.7%	60 24.4%	26 15.0%	45 24.2%	22 28.2%	37 22.6%	76 20.2%	23 12.0%	13 12.7%	12 20.3%	13 11.5%	58 18.3%	33 29.2%	3 7.0%	58 20.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	538 54.3%	232 51.8%	295 56.6%	61 46.6%	143 58.8%	136 55.3%	105 60.7%	84 45.2%	28 35.9%	82 50.0%	203 54.0%	117 61.3%	73 71.6%	30 50.8%	69 61.1%	192 60.6%	49 43.4%	23 53.5%	150 53.2%
Average rating	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.8	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.9	3.9	3.4	3.9	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.6

TABLE 27: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 o. Boise County would be better off with fewer restrictions, regardless of the rate of growth and its impact on the county.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	429 43.3%	201 44.9%	225 43.2%	57 43.5%	112 46.1%	113 45.9%	78 45.1%	68 36.6%	25 32.1%	54 32.9%	166 44.1%	101 52.9%	55 53.9%	20 33.9%	60 53.1%	153 48.3%	37 32.7%	14 32.6%	121 42.9%
Somewhat Disagree	261 26.4%	120 26.8%	135 25.9%	36 27.5%	70 28.8%	62 25.2%	48 27.7%	39 21.0%	23 29.5%	44 26.8%	97 25.8%	46 24.1%	32 31.4%	20 33.9%	27 23.9%	86 27.1%	25 22.1%	14 32.6%	69 24.5%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	98 9.9%	46 10.3%	47 9.0%	14 10.7%	23 9.5%	18 7.3%	11 6.4%	31 16.7%	8 10.3%	29 17.7%	34 9.0%	10 5.2%	4 3.9%	7 11.9%	6 5.3%	19 6.0%	18 15.9%	8 18.6%	32 11.3%
Somewhat Agree	106 10.7%	47 10.5%	56 10.7%	14 10.7%	21 8.6%	26 10.6%	17 9.8%	26 14.0%	10 12.8%	20 12.2%	46 12.2%	18 9.4%	4 3.9%	7 11.9%	13 11.5%	27 8.5%	19 16.8%	4 9.3%	31 11.0%
Strongly Agree	85 8.6%	31 6.9%	51 9.8%	8 6.1%	15 6.2%	26 10.6%	19 11.0%	16 8.6%	11 14.1%	15 9.1%	29 7.7%	15 7.9%	7 6.9%	5 8.5%	6 5.3%	30 9.5%	12 10.6%	2 4.7%	25 8.9%
NO RESPONSE	11 1.1%	3 .7%	7 1.3%	2 1.5%	2 .8%	1 .4%		6 3.2%	1 1.3%	2 1.2%	4 1.1%	1 .5%			1 .9%	2 .6%	2 1.8%	1 2.3%	4 1.4%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	690 69.7%	321 71.7%	360 69.1%	93 71.0%	182 74.9%	175 71.1%	126 72.8%	107 57.5%	48 61.5%	98 59.8%	263 69.9%	147 77.0%	87 85.3%	40 67.8%	87 77.0%	239 75.4%	62 54.9%	28 65.1%	190 67.4%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	191 19.3%	78 17.4%	107 20.5%	22 16.8%	36 14.8%	52 21.1%	36 20.8%	42 22.6%	21 26.9%	35 21.3%	75 19.9%	33 17.3%	11 10.8%	12 20.3%	19 16.8%	57 18.0%	31 27.4%	6 14.0%	56 19.9%
Average rating	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.1	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.2	2.0	1.8	2.3	2.0	2.1	2.6	2.3	2.3

TABLE 28: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 p. Public safety information, which is presented to the Commissioners to obtain approval for property to be subdivided (i.e., potential for wildfires, road conditions and limitations, potential wildlife depredation, etc.), should be recorded on public documents so that buyers will be aware of such conditions.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	31 3.1%	8 1.8%	23 4.4%	3 2.3%	3 1.2%	7 2.8%	10 5.8%	8 4.3%	2 2.6%	4 2.4%	10 2.7%	5 2.6%	3 2.9%	2 3.4%	1 .9%	8 2.5%	5 4.4%		15 5.3%
Somewhat Disagree	22 2.2%	9 2.0%	12 2.3%	4 3.1%	3 1.2%	6 2.4%	5 2.9%	3 1.6%	4 5.1%	7 4.3%	3 .8%	4 2.1%	3 2.9%	4 6.8%	2 1.8%	7 2.2%	4 3.5%		3 1.1%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	53 5.4%	23 5.1%	28 5.4%	9 6.9%	15 6.2%	11 4.5%	5 2.9%	11 5.9%	6 7.7%	9 5.5%	20 5.3%	5 2.6%	5 4.9%	2 3.4%	4 3.5%	17 5.4%	8 7.1%	3 7.0%	13 4.6%
Somewhat Agree	266 26.9%	104 23.2%	155 29.8%	30 22.9%	74 30.5%	63 25.6%	43 24.9%	53 28.5%	17 21.8%	49 29.9%	101 26.9%	53 27.7%	29 28.4%	17 28.8%	31 27.4%	89 28.1%	36 31.9%	8 18.6%	71 25.2%
Strongly Agree	604 61.0%	298 66.5%	297 57.0%	81 61.8%	147 60.5%	158 64.2%	109 63.0%	105 56.5%	47 60.3%	93 56.7%	240 63.8%	120 62.8%	62 60.8%	34 57.6%	73 64.6%	193 60.9%	59 52.2%	32 74.4%	174 61.7%
NO RESPONSE	14 1.4%	6 1.3%	6 1.2%	4 3.1%	1 .4%	1 .4%	1 .6%	6 3.2%	2 2.6%	2 1.2%	2 .5%	4 2.1%			2 1.8%	3 .9%	1 .9%		6 2.1%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	53 5.4%	17 3.8%	35 6.7%	7 5.3%	6 2.5%	13 5.3%	15 8.7%	11 5.9%	6 7.7%	11 6.7%	13 3.5%	9 4.7%	6 5.9%	6 10.2%	3 2.7%	15 4.7%	9 8.0%		18 6.4%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	870 87.9%	402 89.7%	452 86.8%	111 84.7%	221 90.9%	221 89.8%	152 87.9%	158 84.9%	64 82.1%	142 86.6%	341 90.7%	173 90.6%	91 89.2%	51 86.4%	104 92.0%	282 89.0%	95 84.1%	40 93.0%	245 86.9%
Average rating	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.7	4.5

TABLE 29: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 q. Because deer and elk migrate in the winter to the lower elevations (where most of the County's private land is located), the county should try to reserve private land for deer and elk feeding areas.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	139 14.0%	52 11.6%	83 15.9%	14 10.7%	33 13.6%	30 12.2%	32 18.5%	30 16.1%	10 12.8%	29 17.7%	45 12.0%	28 14.7%	11 10.8%	7 11.9%	18 15.9%	38 12.0%	23 20.4%	2 4.7%	40 14.2%
Somewhat Disagree	125 12.6%	51 11.4%	71 13.6%	16 12.2%	26 10.7%	40 16.3%	20 11.6%	21 11.3%	10 12.8%	20 12.2%	53 14.1%	21 11.0%	14 13.7%	14 23.7%	13 11.5%	36 11.4%	19 16.8%	3 7.0%	33 11.7%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	127 12.8%	61 13.6%	63 12.1%	22 16.8%	32 13.2%	21 8.5%	21 12.1%	29 15.6%	7 9.0%	32 19.5%	46 12.2%	20 10.5%	12 11.8%	7 11.9%	15 13.3%	34 10.7%	14 12.4%	7 16.3%	41 14.5%
Somewhat Agree	266 26.9%	133 29.7%	130 25.0%	31 23.7%	76 31.3%	63 25.6%	48 27.7%	44 23.7%	19 24.4%	39 23.8%	111 29.5%	50 26.2%	27 26.5%	13 22.0%	38 33.6%	86 27.1%	30 26.5%	12 27.9%	70 24.8%
Strongly Agree	320 32.3%	147 32.8%	166 31.9%	45 34.4%	74 30.5%	90 36.6%	51 29.5%	57 30.6%	30 38.5%	44 26.8%	117 31.1%	70 36.6%	38 37.3%	18 30.5%	27 23.9%	121 38.2%	26 23.0%	19 44.2%	92 32.6%
NO RESPONSE	13 1.3%	4 .9%	8 1.5%	3 2.3%	2 .8%	2 .8%	1 .6%	5 2.7%	2 2.6%		4 1.1%	2 1.0%			2 1.8%	2 .6%	1 .9%		6 2.1%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	264 26.7%	103 23.0%	154 29.6%	30 22.9%	59 24.3%	70 28.5%	52 30.1%	51 27.4%	20 25.6%	49 29.9%	98 26.1%	49 25.7%	25 24.5%	21 35.6%	31 27.4%	74 23.3%	42 37.2%	5 11.6%	73 25.9%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	586 59.2%	280 62.5%	296 56.8%	76 58.0%	150 61.7%	153 62.2%	99 57.2%	101 54.3%	49 62.8%	83 50.6%	228 60.6%	120 62.8%	65 63.7%	31 52.5%	65 57.5%	207 65.3%	56 49.6%	31 72.1%	162 57.4%
Average rating	3.6	3.7	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.8	3.3	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.7	3.2	4.0	3.6

TABLE 30: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
r. Boise County should establish fees on real estate transactions.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	303 30.6%	124 27.7%	175 33.6%	34 26.0%	61 25.1%	82 33.3%	61 35.3%	63 33.9%	34 43.6%	42 25.6%	116 30.9%	47 24.6%	33 32.4%	26 44.1%	26 23.0%	95 30.0%	40 35.4%	8 18.6%	93 33.0%
Somewhat Disagree	121 12.2%	46 10.3%	72 13.8%	15 11.5%	28 11.5%	32 13.0%	28 16.2%	17 9.1%	5 6.4%	25 15.2%	52 13.8%	23 12.0%	9 8.8%	6 10.2%	10 8.8%	44 13.9%	18 15.9%	9 20.9%	30 10.6%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	219 22.1%	122 27.2%	90 17.3%	37 28.2%	62 25.5%	44 17.9%	34 19.7%	37 19.9%	15 19.2%	47 28.7%	74 19.7%	43 22.5%	18 17.6%	10 16.9%	31 27.4%	60 18.9%	22 19.5%	16 37.2%	57 20.2%
Somewhat Agree	200 20.2%	94 21.0%	105 20.2%	29 22.1%	53 21.8%	57 23.2%	29 16.8%	31 16.7%	13 16.7%	32 19.5%	75 19.9%	48 25.1%	28 27.5%	10 16.9%	34 30.1%	75 23.7%	18 15.9%	3 7.0%	52 18.4%
Strongly Agree	126 12.7%	51 11.4%	70 13.4%	13 9.9%	38 15.6%	29 11.8%	17 9.8%	27 14.5%	8 10.3%	18 11.0%	52 13.8%	27 14.1%	12 11.8%	7 11.9%	11 9.7%	39 12.3%	13 11.5%	7 16.3%	38 13.5%
NO RESPONSE	21 2.1%	11 2.5%	9 1.7%	3 2.3%	1 .4%	2 .8%	4 2.3%	11 5.9%	3 3.8%		7 1.9%	3 1.6%	2 2.0%		1 .9%	4 1.3%	2 1.8%		12 4.3%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	424 42.8%	170 37.9%	247 47.4%	49 37.4%	89 36.6%	114 46.3%	89 51.4%	80 43.0%	39 50.0%	67 40.9%	168 44.7%	70 36.6%	42 41.2%	32 54.2%	36 31.9%	139 43.8%	58 51.3%	17 39.5%	123 43.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	326 32.9%	145 32.4%	175 33.6%	42 32.1%	91 37.4%	86 35.0%	46 26.6%	58 31.2%	21 26.9%	50 30.5%	127 33.8%	75 39.3%	40 39.2%	17 28.8%	45 39.8%	114 36.0%	31 27.4%	10 23.3%	90 31.9%
Average rating	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.7	2.6	3.0	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.4	3.0	2.8	2.6	2.8	2.9

TABLE 31: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 s. Despite the increased costs, Boise County notices should be published in The Idaho Statesman and distributed as public service announcements to television and radio stations in Treasure Valley.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	234 23.6%	94 21.0%	136 26.1%	23 17.6%	61 25.1%	66 26.8%	41 23.7%	42 22.6%	22 28.2%	34 20.7%	92 24.5%	41 21.5%	23 22.5%	17 28.8%	20 17.7%	78 24.6%	38 33.6%	5 11.6%	63 22.3%
Somewhat Disagree	203 20.5%	93 20.8%	105 20.2%	15 11.5%	47 19.3%	53 21.5%	42 24.3%	41 22.0%	12 15.4%	34 20.7%	81 21.5%	39 20.4%	19 18.6%	10 16.9%	21 18.6%	66 20.8%	19 16.8%	14 32.6%	66 23.4%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	165 16.7%	85 19.0%	78 15.0%	33 25.2%	49 20.2%	33 13.4%	25 14.5%	25 13.4%	8 10.3%	29 17.7%	69 18.4%	33 17.3%	21 20.6%	9 15.3%	23 20.4%	47 14.8%	24 21.2%	10 23.3%	41 14.5%
Somewhat Agree	225 22.7%	96 21.4%	122 23.4%	38 29.0%	47 19.3%	62 25.2%	34 19.7%	41 22.0%	21 26.9%	42 25.6%	81 21.5%	43 22.5%	21 20.6%	15 25.4%	24 21.2%	76 24.0%	20 17.7%	9 20.9%	63 22.3%
Strongly Agree	150 15.2%	74 16.5%	74 14.2%	20 15.3%	37 15.2%	31 12.6%	29 16.8%	31 16.7%	13 16.7%	25 15.2%	50 13.3%	33 17.3%	18 17.6%	8 13.6%	23 20.4%	48 15.1%	12 10.6%	5 11.6%	43 15.2%
NO RESPONSE	13 1.3%	6 1.3%	6 1.2%	2 1.5%	2 .8%	1 .4%	2 1.2%	6 3.2%	2 2.6%		3 .8%	2 1.0%			2 1.8%	2 .6%			6 2.1%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	437 44.1%	187 41.7%	241 46.3%	38 29.0%	108 44.4%	119 48.4%	83 48.0%	83 44.6%	34 43.6%	68 41.5%	173 46.0%	80 41.9%	42 41.2%	27 45.8%	41 36.3%	144 45.4%	57 50.4%	19 44.2%	129 45.7%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	375 37.9%	170 37.9%	196 37.6%	58 44.3%	84 34.6%	93 37.8%	63 36.4%	72 38.7%	34 43.6%	67 40.9%	131 34.8%	76 39.8%	39 38.2%	23 39.0%	47 41.6%	124 39.1%	32 28.3%	14 32.6%	106 37.6%
Average rating	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.2	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.8	3.2	2.9	2.5	2.9	3.0

TABLE 32: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 t. Boise County should require building inspections and increase building permit fees to cover the costs of administering the program.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	212 21.4%	90 20.1%	114 21.9%	23 17.6%	54 22.2%	58 23.6%	39 22.5%	36 19.4%	30 38.5%	35 21.3%	82 21.8%	27 14.1%	12 11.8%	15 25.4%	21 18.6%	57 18.0%	43 38.1%	9 20.9%	53 18.8%
Somewhat Disagree	143 14.4%	60 13.4%	82 15.7%	16 12.2%	37 15.2%	38 15.4%	26 15.0%	25 13.4%	8 10.3%	30 18.3%	54 14.4%	25 13.1%	15 14.7%	7 11.9%	17 15.0%	46 14.5%	23 20.4%	7 16.3%	36 12.8%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	95 9.6%	47 10.5%	46 8.8%	16 12.2%	35 14.4%	12 4.9%	6 3.5%	24 12.9%	12 15.4%	19 11.6%	37 9.8%	12 6.3%	10 9.8%	6 10.2%	8 7.1%	29 9.1%	10 8.8%	3 7.0%	27 9.6%
Somewhat Agree	282 28.5%	128 28.6%	149 28.6%	51 38.9%	62 25.5%	70 28.5%	52 30.1%	44 23.7%	12 15.4%	49 29.9%	117 31.1%	57 29.8%	28 27.5%	16 27.1%	39 34.5%	92 29.0%	20 17.7%	15 34.9%	83 29.4%
Strongly Agree	248 25.1%	118 26.3%	126 24.2%	23 17.6%	54 22.2%	67 27.2%	50 28.9%	51 27.4%	13 16.7%	31 18.9%	86 22.9%	68 35.6%	37 36.3%	15 25.4%	27 23.9%	91 28.7%	17 15.0%	8 18.6%	79 28.0%
NO RESPONSE	10 1.0%	5 1.1%	4 .8%	2 1.5%	1 .4%	1 .4%		6 3.2%	3 3.8%			2 1.0%		1 .9%	2 .6%			1 2.3%	4 1.4%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	355 35.9%	150 33.5%	196 37.6%	39 29.8%	91 37.4%	96 39.0%	65 37.6%	61 32.8%	38 48.7%	65 39.6%	136 36.2%	52 27.2%	27 26.5%	22 37.3%	38 33.6%	103 32.5%	66 58.4%	16 37.2%	89 31.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	530 53.5%	246 54.9%	275 52.8%	74 56.5%	116 47.7%	137 55.7%	102 59.0%	95 51.1%	25 32.1%	80 48.8%	203 54.0%	125 65.4%	65 63.7%	31 52.5%	66 58.4%	183 57.7%	37 32.7%	23 53.5%	162 57.4%
Average rating	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.4	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.5	2.8	3.1	3.2	3.7	3.6	3.2	3.4	3.4	2.5	3.3	3.4

TABLE 33: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 u. I don't know enough about zoning options to make an informed decision about which type of zoning ordinance Boise County should implement.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	173 17.5%	65 14.5%	105 20.2%	19 14.5%	55 22.6%	47 19.1%	33 19.1%	19 10.2%	14 17.9%	16 9.8%	64 17.0%	40 20.9%	27 26.5%	17 28.8%	21 18.6%	61 19.2%	19 16.8%	8 18.6%	40 14.2%
Somewhat Disagree	176 17.8%	62 13.8%	109 20.9%	23 17.6%	48 19.8%	59 24.0%	30 17.3%	14 7.5%	9 11.5%	28 17.1%	68 18.1%	43 22.5%	15 14.7%	10 16.9%	27 23.9%	72 22.7%	20 17.7%	7 16.3%	30 10.6%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	225 22.7%	104 23.2%	116 22.3%	28 21.4%	56 23.0%	51 20.7%	38 22.0%	49 26.3%	13 16.7%	55 33.5%	80 21.3%	43 22.5%	20 19.6%	11 18.6%	23 20.4%	70 22.1%	23 20.4%	13 30.2%	73 25.9%
Somewhat Agree	262 26.5%	136 30.4%	121 23.2%	34 26.0%	62 25.5%	70 28.5%	42 24.3%	51 27.4%	15 19.2%	39 23.8%	112 29.8%	44 23.0%	29 28.4%	15 25.4%	30 26.5%	79 24.9%	35 31.0%	14 32.6%	70 24.8%
Strongly Agree	126 12.7%	66 14.7%	58 11.1%	25 19.1%	20 8.2%	15 6.1%	24 13.9%	40 21.5%	22 28.2%	22 13.4%	45 12.0%	18 9.4%	9 8.8%	6 10.2%	10 8.8%	29 9.1%	14 12.4%	1 2.3%	55 19.5%
NO RESPONSE	28 2.8%	15 3.3%	12 2.3%	2 1.5%	2 .8%	4 1.6%	6 3.5%	13 7.0%	5 6.4%	4 2.4%	7 1.9%	3 1.6%	2 2.0%		2 1.8%	6 1.9%	2 1.8%		14 5.0%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	349 35.3%	127 28.3%	214 41.1%	42 32.1%	103 42.4%	106 43.1%	63 36.4%	33 17.7%	23 29.5%	44 26.8%	132 35.1%	83 43.5%	42 41.2%	27 45.8%	48 42.5%	133 42.0%	39 34.5%	15 34.9%	70 24.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	388 39.2%	202 45.1%	179 34.4%	59 45.0%	82 33.7%	85 34.6%	66 38.2%	91 48.9%	37 47.4%	61 37.2%	157 41.8%	62 32.5%	38 37.3%	21 35.6%	40 35.4%	108 34.1%	49 43.4%	15 34.9%	125 44.3%
Average rating	3.2	3.4	3.0	3.3	2.8	2.9	3.2	3.8	3.7	3.3	3.1	2.9	2.9	2.7	2.9	2.9	3.2	2.8	3.5

TABLE 34: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 v. Property owners who allow junk to pile up on their property should be required to build a fence or plant vegetation so that neighbors won't see it.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	86 8.7%	38 8.5%	47 9.0%	11 8.4%	24 9.9%	18 7.3%	19 11.0%	14 7.5%	10 12.8%	12 7.3%	31 8.2%	14 7.3%	6 5.9%	8 13.6%	9 8.0%	15 4.7%	23 20.4%	2 4.7%	24 8.5%
Somewhat Disagree	67 6.8%	24 5.4%	39 7.5%	10 7.6%	17 7.0%	18 7.3%	8 4.6%	12 6.5%	7 9.0%	15 9.1%	24 6.4%	11 5.8%	2 2.0%	3 5.1%	9 8.0%	15 4.7%	17 15.0%	2 4.7%	16 5.7%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	85 8.6%	34 7.6%	49 9.4%	14 10.7%	32 13.2%	14 5.7%	8 4.6%	16 8.6%	11 14.1%	18 11.0%	31 8.2%	12 6.3%	6 5.9%	9 15.3%	8 7.1%	27 8.5%	11 9.7%	6 14.0%	18 6.4%
Somewhat Agree	218 22.0%	92 20.5%	122 23.4%	32 24.4%	65 26.7%	60 24.4%	29 16.8%	30 16.1%	11 14.1%	45 27.4%	91 24.2%	39 20.4%	18 17.6%	7 11.9%	31 27.4%	83 26.2%	24 21.2%	11 25.6%	45 16.0%
Strongly Agree	516 52.1%	252 56.3%	255 48.9%	62 47.3%	102 42.0%	134 54.5%	107 61.8%	106 57.0%	36 46.2%	70 42.7%	197 52.4%	110 57.6%	69 67.6%	32 54.2%	55 48.7%	172 54.3%	38 33.6%	20 46.5%	170 60.3%
NO RESPONSE	18 1.8%	8 1.8%	9 1.7%	2 1.5%	3 1.2%	2 .8%	2 1.2%	8 4.3%	3 3.8%	4 2.4%	2 .5%	5 2.6%	1 1.0%		1 .9%	5 1.6%		2 4.7%	9 3.2%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	153 15.5%	62 13.8%	86 16.5%	21 16.0%	41 16.9%	36 14.6%	27 15.6%	26 14.0%	17 21.8%	27 16.5%	55 14.6%	25 13.1%	8 7.8%	11 18.6%	18 15.9%	30 9.5%	40 35.4%	4 9.3%	40 14.2%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	734 74.1%	344 76.8%	377 72.4%	94 71.8%	167 68.7%	194 78.9%	136 78.6%	136 73.1%	47 60.3%	115 70.1%	288 76.6%	149 78.0%	87 85.3%	39 66.1%	86 76.1%	255 80.4%	62 54.9%	31 72.1%	215 76.2%
Average rating	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.5	3.9	4.1	4.3	3.3	4.3	4.3

TABLE 35: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
w. Boise County's zoning ordinance should discourage population growth.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	191 19.3%	76 17.0%	113 21.7%	15 11.5%	39 16.0%	42 17.1%	47 27.2%	47 25.3%	23 29.5%	29 17.7%	62 16.5%	40 20.9%	21 20.6%	15 25.4%	18 15.9%	61 19.2%	18 15.9%	5 11.6%	68 24.1%
Somewhat Disagree	251 25.4%	116 25.9%	126 24.2%	42 32.1%	69 28.4%	71 28.9%	34 19.7%	32 17.2%	15 19.2%	40 24.4%	101 26.9%	52 27.2%	32 31.4%	14 23.7%	35 31.0%	93 29.3%	24 21.2%	10 23.3%	61 21.6%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	154 15.6%	72 16.1%	80 15.4%	20 15.3%	31 12.8%	33 13.4%	28 16.2%	39 21.0%	9 11.5%	36 22.0%	56 14.9%	25 13.1%	13 12.7%	11 18.6%	18 15.9%	46 14.5%	13 11.5%	6 14.0%	50 17.7%
Somewhat Agree	183 18.5%	91 20.3%	89 17.1%	21 16.0%	52 21.4%	50 20.3%	27 15.6%	30 16.1%	8 10.3%	24 14.6%	82 21.8%	30 15.7%	19 18.6%	10 16.9%	26 23.0%	51 16.1%	27 23.9%	9 20.9%	44 15.6%
Strongly Agree	196 19.8%	84 18.8%	108 20.7%	30 22.9%	50 20.6%	47 19.1%	35 20.2%	33 17.7%	20 25.6%	33 20.1%	73 19.4%	39 20.4%	17 16.7%	9 15.3%	14 12.4%	61 19.2%	30 26.5%	12 27.9%	56 19.9%
NO RESPONSE	15 1.5%	9 2.0%	5 1.0%	3 2.3%	2 .8%	3 1.2%	2 1.2%	5 2.7%	3 3.8%	2 1.2%	2 .5%	5 2.6%		2 1.8%	5 1.6%	1 .9%	1 2.3%	3 1.1%	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	442 44.6%	192 42.9%	239 45.9%	57 43.5%	108 44.4%	113 45.9%	81 46.8%	79 42.5%	38 48.7%	69 42.1%	163 43.4%	92 48.2%	53 52.0%	29 49.2%	53 46.9%	154 48.6%	42 37.2%	15 34.9%	129 45.7%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	379 38.3%	175 39.1%	197 37.8%	51 38.9%	102 42.0%	97 39.4%	62 35.8%	63 33.9%	28 35.9%	57 34.8%	155 41.2%	69 36.1%	36 35.3%	19 32.2%	40 35.4%	112 35.3%	57 50.4%	21 48.8%	100 35.5%
Average rating	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.2	3.1	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.3	3.4	2.9

TABLE 36: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 x. Mobile homes should be allowed only in specified areas, such as mobile home parks or other designated county areas.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	262 26.5%	120 26.8%	136 26.1%	31 23.7%	67 27.6%	69 28.0%	46 26.6%	46 24.7%	33 42.3%	53 32.3%	90 23.9%	45 23.6%	17 16.7%	13 22.0%	33 29.2%	71 22.4%	48 42.5%	12 27.9%	63 22.3%
Somewhat Disagree	149 15.1%	62 13.8%	83 15.9%	12 9.2%	31 12.8%	41 16.7%	29 16.8%	33 17.7%	14 17.9%	30 18.3%	60 16.0%	25 13.1%	5 4.9%	9 15.3%	20 17.7%	33 10.4%	25 22.1%	4 9.3%	51 18.1%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	95 9.6%	51 11.4%	43 8.3%	16 12.2%	21 8.6%	23 9.3%	14 8.1%	21 11.3%	10 12.8%	13 7.9%	35 9.3%	24 12.6%	4 3.9%	3 5.1%	13 11.5%	31 9.8%	10 8.8%	3 7.0%	30 10.6%
Somewhat Agree	165 16.7%	77 17.2%	87 16.7%	27 20.6%	50 20.6%	34 13.8%	24 13.9%	27 14.5%	5 6.4%	33 20.1%	67 17.8%	31 16.2%	22 21.6%	14 23.7%	15 13.3%	63 19.9%	12 10.6%	11 25.6%	42 14.9%
Strongly Agree	312 31.5%	136 30.4%	168 32.2%	44 33.6%	74 30.5%	77 31.3%	58 33.5%	57 30.6%	14 17.9%	35 21.3%	122 32.4%	64 33.5%	54 52.9%	19 32.2%	31 27.4%	118 37.2%	17 15.0%	13 30.2%	94 33.3%
NO RESPONSE	7 .7%	2 .4%	4 .8%	1 .8%		2 .8%	2 1.2%	2 1.1%	2 2.6%			2 .5%	2 1.0%		1 1.7%	1 .9%	1 .3%	1 .9%	2 .7%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	411 41.5%	182 40.6%	219 42.0%	43 32.8%	98 40.3%	110 44.7%	75 43.4%	79 42.5%	47 60.3%	83 50.6%	150 39.9%	70 36.6%	22 21.6%	22 37.3%	53 46.9%	104 32.8%	73 64.6%	16 37.2%	114 40.4%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	477 48.2%	213 47.5%	255 48.9%	71 54.2%	124 51.0%	111 45.1%	82 47.4%	84 45.2%	19 24.4%	68 41.5%	189 50.3%	95 49.7%	76 74.5%	33 55.9%	46 40.7%	181 57.1%	29 25.7%	24 55.8%	136 48.2%
Average rating	3.2	3.1	3.2	3.4	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	2.6	2.8	3.2	3.3	3.9	3.4	3.0	3.4	2.4	3.2	3.2

TABLE 37: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
y. The county should encourage the development of land that is already subdivided before approving new subdivisions.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	89 9.0%	36 8.0%	51 9.8%	13 9.9%	17 7.0%	20 8.1%	18 10.4%	21 11.3%	6 7.7%	14 8.5%	26 6.9%	21 11.0%	14 13.7%	7 11.9%	10 8.8%	27 8.5%	13 11.5%	2 4.7%	28 9.9%
Somewhat Disagree	103 10.4%	39 8.7%	60 11.5%	7 5.3%	23 9.5%	30 12.2%	17 9.8%	24 12.9%	17 21.8%	14 8.5%	33 8.8%	19 9.9%	10 9.8%	10 16.9%	9 8.0%	31 9.8%	10 8.8%	4 9.3%	31 11.0%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	120 12.1%	60 13.4%	56 10.7%	21 16.0%	41 16.9%	20 8.1%	21 12.1%	14 7.5%	7 9.0%	18 11.0%	52 13.8%	30 15.7%	4 3.9%	7 11.9%	22 19.5%	38 12.0%	16 14.2%	6 14.0%	22 7.8%
Somewhat Agree	268 27.1%	118 26.3%	147 28.2%	31 23.7%	71 29.2%	70 28.5%	44 25.4%	49 26.3%	20 25.6%	48 29.3%	106 28.2%	50 26.2%	27 26.5%	16 27.1%	36 31.9%	82 25.9%	30 26.5%	14 32.6%	73 25.9%
Strongly Agree	395 39.9%	188 42.0%	201 38.6%	57 43.5%	88 36.2%	105 42.7%	71 41.0%	71 38.2%	27 34.6%	67 40.9%	158 42.0%	67 35.1%	46 45.1%	19 32.2%	35 31.0%	137 43.2%	44 38.9%	17 39.5%	122 43.3%
NO RESPONSE	15 1.5%	7 1.6%	6 1.2%	2 1.5%	3 1.2%	1 .4%	2 1.2%	7 3.8%	1 1.3%	3 1.8%	1 .3%	4 2.1%	1 1.0%		1 .9%	2 .6%			6 2.1%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	192 19.4%	75 16.7%	111 21.3%	20 15.3%	40 16.5%	50 20.3%	35 20.2%	45 24.2%	23 29.5%	28 17.1%	59 15.7%	40 20.9%	24 23.5%	17 28.8%	19 16.8%	58 18.3%	23 20.4%	6 14.0%	59 20.9%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	663 67.0%	306 68.3%	348 66.8%	88 67.2%	159 65.4%	175 71.1%	115 66.5%	120 64.5%	47 60.3%	115 70.1%	264 70.2%	117 61.3%	73 71.6%	35 59.3%	71 62.8%	219 69.1%	74 65.5%	31 72.1%	195 69.1%
Average rating	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.7	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.5	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.9	3.9

TABLE 38: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
z. I choose to live here because there's lots of nature and few people, and I want it to stay that way.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	35 3.5%	12 2.7%	23 4.4%	4 3.1%	7 2.9%	6 2.4%	7 4.0%	11 5.9%	1 1.3%	6 3.7%	9 2.4%	6 3.1%	8 7.8%	2 3.4%	2 1.8%	12 3.8%	6 5.3%	1 2.3%	12 4.3%
Somewhat Disagree	65 6.6%	28 6.3%	35 6.7%	5 3.8%	13 5.3%	21 8.5%	15 8.7%	10 5.4%	4 5.1%	9 5.5%	22 5.9%	18 9.4%	7 6.9%	8 13.6%	8 7.1%	19 6.0%	5 4.4%	3 7.0%	18 6.4%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	134 13.5%	62 13.8%	72 13.8%	18 13.7%	24 9.9%	25 10.2%	32 18.5%	35 18.8%	8 10.3%	26 15.9%	56 14.9%	26 13.6%	13 12.7%	9 15.3%	18 15.9%	39 12.3%	8 7.1%	8 18.6%	47 16.7%
Somewhat Agree	251 25.4%	115 25.7%	130 25.0%	35 26.7%	72 29.6%	56 22.8%	35 20.2%	49 26.3%	23 29.5%	40 24.4%	102 27.1%	46 24.1%	24 23.5%	15 25.4%	33 29.2%	76 24.0%	31 27.4%	8 18.6%	74 26.2%
Strongly Agree	496 50.1%	227 50.7%	257 49.3%	68 51.9%	125 51.4%	137 55.7%	83 48.0%	77 41.4%	40 51.3%	82 50.0%	187 49.7%	92 48.2%	50 49.0%	25 42.4%	51 45.1%	169 53.3%	63 55.8%	23 53.5%	127 45.0%
NO RESPONSE	9 .9%	4 .9%	4 .8%	1 .8%	2 .8%	1 .4%	1 .6%	4 2.2%	2 2.6%	1 .6%		3 1.6%			1 .9%	2 .6%			4 1.4%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	100 10.1%	40 8.9%	58 11.1%	9 6.9%	20 8.2%	27 11.0%	22 12.7%	21 11.3%	5 6.4%	15 9.1%	31 8.2%	24 12.6%	15 14.7%	10 16.9%	10 8.8%	31 9.8%	11 9.7%	4 9.3%	30 10.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	747 75.5%	342 76.3%	387 74.3%	103 78.6%	197 81.1%	193 78.5%	118 68.2%	126 67.7%	63 80.8%	122 74.4%	289 76.9%	138 72.3%	74 72.5%	40 67.8%	84 74.3%	245 77.3%	94 83.2%	31 72.1%	201 71.3%
Average rating	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1

TABLE 39: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 aa. Tourism and recreational use of Boise County should pay for itself through permit fees.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	35 3.5%	16 3.6%	19 3.6%	6 4.6%	9 3.7%	10 4.1%	7 4.0%	2 1.1%	2 2.6%	3 1.8%	21 5.6%	3 1.6%	1 1.0%	3 5.1%	8 7.1%	8 2.5%	5 4.4%	1 2.3%	8 2.8%
Somewhat Disagree	32 3.2%	8 1.8%	23 4.4%	6 4.6%	5 2.1%	13 5.3%	3 1.7%	5 2.7%	2 2.6%	5 3.0%	13 3.5%	6 3.1%	6 5.9%	3 5.1%	4 3.5%	14 4.4%	2 1.8%	2 4.7%	6 2.1%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	98 9.9%	54 12.1%	43 8.3%	13 9.9%	27 11.1%	25 10.2%	11 6.4%	21 11.3%	8 10.3%	27 16.5%	34 9.0%	15 7.9%	9 8.8%	3 5.1%	13 11.5%	27 8.5%	12 10.6%	6 14.0%	28 9.9%
Somewhat Agree	281 28.4%	122 27.2%	153 29.4%	44 33.6%	74 30.5%	57 23.2%	46 26.6%	59 31.7%	20 25.6%	46 28.0%	96 25.5%	61 31.9%	32 31.4%	14 23.7%	39 34.5%	92 29.0%	27 23.9%	13 30.2%	82 29.1%
Strongly Agree	529 53.4%	244 54.5%	273 52.4%	59 45.0%	126 51.9%	138 56.1%	105 60.7%	93 50.0%	43 55.1%	80 48.8%	209 55.6%	104 54.5%	53 52.0%	35 59.3%	45 39.8%	174 54.9%	65 57.5%	21 48.8%	154 54.6%
NO RESPONSE	15 1.5%	4 .9%	10 1.9%	3 2.3%	2 .8%	3 1.2%	1 .6%	6 3.2%	3 3.8%	3 1.8%	3 .8%	2 1.0%	1 1.0%	1 1.7%	4 3.5%	2 .6%	2 1.8%		4 1.4%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	67 6.8%	24 5.4%	42 8.1%	12 9.2%	14 5.8%	23 9.3%	10 5.8%	7 3.8%	4 5.1%	8 4.9%	34 9.0%	9 4.7%	7 6.9%	6 10.2%	12 10.6%	22 6.9%	7 6.2%	3 7.0%	14 5.0%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	810 81.8%	366 81.7%	426 81.8%	103 78.6%	200 82.3%	195 79.3%	151 87.3%	152 81.7%	63 80.8%	126 76.8%	305 81.1%	165 86.4%	85 83.3%	49 83.1%	84 74.3%	266 83.9%	92 81.4%	34 79.1%	236 83.7%
Average rating	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.4

TABLE 40: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 bb. Boise County's zoning ordinance should encourage population growth.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	328 33.1%	152 33.9%	171 32.8%	44 33.6%	86 35.4%	87 35.4%	56 32.4%	54 29.0%	29 37.2%	60 36.6%	127 33.8%	62 32.5%	26 25.5%	14 23.7%	36 31.9%	102 32.2%	49 43.4%	16 37.2%	89 31.6%
Somewhat Disagree	244 24.6%	98 21.9%	138 26.5%	20 15.3%	70 28.8%	74 30.1%	36 20.8%	40 21.5%	14 17.9%	29 17.7%	97 25.8%	51 26.7%	32 31.4%	13 22.0%	32 28.3%	89 28.1%	21 18.6%	8 18.6%	62 22.0%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	191 19.3%	102 22.8%	87 16.7%	32 24.4%	45 18.5%	41 16.7%	36 20.8%	35 18.8%	12 15.4%	40 24.4%	71 18.9%	35 18.3%	21 20.6%	10 16.9%	24 21.2%	62 19.6%	24 21.2%	10 23.3%	52 18.4%
Somewhat Agree	156 15.8%	71 15.8%	82 15.7%	26 19.8%	32 13.2%	29 11.8%	33 19.1%	34 18.3%	18 23.1%	24 14.6%	58 15.4%	27 14.1%	18 17.6%	16 27.1%	15 13.3%	47 14.8%	10 8.8%	6 14.0%	53 18.8%
Strongly Agree	48 4.8%	17 3.8%	29 5.6%	5 3.8%	6 2.5%	10 4.1%	11 6.4%	14 7.5%	3 3.8%	8 4.9%	18 4.8%	10 5.2%	5 4.9%	4 6.8%	2 1.8%	11 3.5%	7 6.2%	3 7.0%	19 6.7%
NO RESPONSE	23 2.3%	8 1.8%	14 2.7%	4 3.1%	4 1.6%	5 2.0%	1 .6%	9 4.8%	2 2.6%	3 1.8%	5 1.3%	6 3.1%		2 3.4%	4 3.5%	6 1.9%	2 1.8%		7 2.5%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	572 57.8%	250 55.8%	309 59.3%	64 48.9%	156 64.2%	161 65.4%	92 53.2%	94 50.5%	43 55.1%	89 54.3%	224 59.6%	113 59.2%	58 56.9%	27 45.8%	68 60.2%	191 60.3%	70 61.9%	24 55.8%	151 53.5%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	204 20.6%	88 19.6%	111 21.3%	31 23.7%	38 15.6%	39 15.9%	44 25.4%	48 25.8%	21 26.9%	32 19.5%	76 20.2%	37 19.4%	23 22.5%	20 33.9%	17 15.0%	58 18.3%	17 15.0%	9 20.9%	72 25.5%
Average rating	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.8	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.9	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.6

TABLE 41: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
cc. Property owners who allow junk to pile up on their property don't bother me; after all, one man's junk is another man's treasure.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	572 57.8%	273 60.9%	288 55.3%	69 52.7%	120 49.4%	145 58.9%	122 70.5%	111 59.7%	35 44.9%	67 40.9%	222 59.0%	125 65.4%	82 80.4%	36 61.0%	54 47.8%	207 65.3%	39 34.5%	20 46.5%	184 65.2%
Somewhat Disagree	164 16.6%	69 15.4%	91 17.5%	29 22.1%	54 22.2%	36 14.6%	16 9.2%	27 14.5%	9 11.5%	42 25.6%	63 16.8%	32 16.8%	10 9.8%	8 13.6%	24 21.2%	60 18.9%	19 16.8%	8 18.6%	34 12.1%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	75 7.6%	32 7.1%	40 7.7%	9 6.9%	24 9.9%	14 5.7%	13 7.5%	13 7.0%	8 10.3%	15 9.1%	29 7.7%	14 7.3%	2 2.0%	4 6.8%	11 9.7%	17 5.4%	12 10.6%	9 20.9%	17 6.0%
Somewhat Agree	84 8.5%	36 8.0%	47 9.0%	10 7.6%	26 10.7%	21 8.5%	9 5.2%	17 9.1%	12 15.4%	18 11.0%	30 8.0%	8 4.2%	4 3.9%	6 10.2%	13 11.5%	14 4.4%	23 20.4%	2 4.7%	19 6.7%
Strongly Agree	89 9.0%	35 7.8%	53 10.2%	13 9.9%	19 7.8%	29 11.8%	13 7.5%	14 7.5%	13 16.7%	21 12.8%	32 8.5%	11 5.8%	4 3.9%	5 8.5%	10 8.8%	18 5.7%	20 17.7%	4 9.3%	26 9.2%
NO RESPONSE	6 .6%	3 .7%	2 .4%	1 .8%		1 .4%		4 2.2%	1 1.3%	1 .6%		1 .5%		1 .9%	1 .3%				2 .7%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	736 74.3%	342 76.3%	379 72.7%	98 74.8%	174 71.6%	181 73.6%	138 79.8%	138 74.2%	44 56.4%	109 66.5%	285 75.8%	157 82.2%	92 90.2%	44 74.6%	78 69.0%	267 84.2%	58 51.3%	28 65.1%	218 77.3%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	173 17.5%	71 15.8%	100 19.2%	23 17.6%	45 18.5%	50 20.3%	22 12.7%	31 16.7%	25 32.1%	39 23.8%	62 16.5%	19 9.9%	8 7.8%	11 18.6%	23 20.4%	32 10.1%	43 38.1%	6 14.0%	45 16.0%
Average rating	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.7	2.0	2.6	2.3	1.9	1.7	1.4	1.9	2.2	1.7	2.7	2.1	1.9

TABLE 42: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 dd. Boise County government should be proactive in economic development and seek to attract new businesses and industry to the county.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Strongly Disagree	191 19.3%	83 18.5%	101 19.4%	20 15.3%	47 19.3%	54 22.0%	36 20.8%	30 16.1%	13 16.7%	25 15.2%	74 19.7%	40 20.9%	16 15.7%	9 15.3%	21 18.6%	63 19.9%	23 20.4%	12 27.9%	50 17.7%
Somewhat Disagree	136 13.7%	58 12.9%	73 14.0%	15 11.5%	32 13.2%	38 15.4%	20 11.6%	30 16.1%	14 17.9%	23 14.0%	51 13.6%	25 13.1%	10 9.8%	7 11.9%	11 9.7%	47 14.8%	11 9.7%	5 11.6%	47 16.7%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	167 16.9%	87 19.4%	78 15.0%	28 21.4%	44 18.1%	38 15.4%	24 13.9%	32 17.2%	13 16.7%	34 20.7%	59 15.7%	30 15.7%	20 19.6%	10 16.9%	16 14.2%	52 16.4%	22 19.5%	5 11.6%	49 17.4%
Somewhat Agree	252 25.5%	122 27.2%	127 24.4%	37 28.2%	59 24.3%	62 25.2%	48 27.7%	44 23.7%	17 21.8%	49 29.9%	104 27.7%	42 22.0%	26 25.5%	13 22.0%	38 33.6%	71 22.4%	36 31.9%	11 25.6%	66 23.4%
Strongly Agree	237 23.9%	96 21.4%	139 26.7%	30 22.9%	60 24.7%	53 21.5%	45 26.0%	46 24.7%	20 25.6%	33 20.1%	88 23.4%	52 27.2%	30 29.4%	20 33.9%	26 23.0%	83 26.2%	21 18.6%	10 23.3%	68 24.1%
NO RESPONSE	7 .7%	2 .4%	3 .6%	1 .8%	1 .4%	1 .4%		4 2.2%	1 1.3%			2 1.0%			1 .9%	1 .3%			2 .7%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	327 33.0%	141 31.5%	174 33.4%	35 26.7%	79 32.5%	92 37.4%	56 32.4%	60 32.3%	27 34.6%	48 29.3%	125 33.2%	65 34.0%	26 25.5%	16 27.1%	32 28.3%	110 34.7%	34 30.1%	17 39.5%	97 34.4%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	489 49.4%	218 48.7%	266 51.1%	67 51.1%	119 49.0%	115 46.7%	93 53.8%	90 48.4%	37 47.4%	82 50.0%	192 51.1%	94 49.2%	56 54.9%	33 55.9%	64 56.6%	154 48.6%	57 50.4%	21 48.8%	134 47.5%
Average rating	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.2

TABLE 43: 14. In which precinct are you registered to vote?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Centerville / Placerville	70 7.1%	33 7.4%	36 6.9%	6 4.6%	11 4.5%	22 8.9%	11 6.4%	20 10.8%	10 12.8%	16 9.8%	29 7.7%	7 3.7%		4 6.8%	3 2.7%	12 3.8%	7 6.2%	4 9.3%	31 11.0%
Garden Valley	259 26.2%	106 23.7%	148 28.4%	18 13.7%	65 26.7%	59 24.0%	58 33.5%	59 31.7%	13 16.7%	49 29.9%	105 27.9%	42 22.0%	29 28.4%	21 35.6%	14 12.4%	73 23.0%	34 30.1%	14 32.6%	88 31.2%
Horseshoe Bend	173 17.5%	82 18.3%	91 17.5%	34 26.0%	40 16.5%	40 16.3%	20 11.6%	39 21.0%	18 23.1%	35 21.3%	67 17.8%	26 13.6%	11 10.8%	5 8.5%	17 15.0%	52 16.4%	35 31.0%	8 18.6%	45 16.0%
Idaho City	169 17.1%	82 18.3%	84 16.1%	26 19.8%	44 18.1%	49 19.9%	32 18.5%	17 9.1%	12 15.4%	30 18.3%	63 16.8%	37 19.4%	17 16.7%	11 18.6%	33 29.2%	56 17.7%	11 9.7%	8 18.6%	40 14.2%
Lowman	43 4.3%	22 4.9%	21 4.0%	3 2.3%	13 5.3%	4 1.6%	8 4.6%	15 8.1%	9 11.5%	7 4.3%	22 5.9%	5 2.6%		1 1.7%	12 10.6%	3 .9%	2 1.8%		23 8.2%
Mores Creek	253 25.6%	117 26.1%	132 25.3%	38 29.0%	69 28.4%	68 27.6%	41 23.7%	36 19.4%	14 17.9%	26 15.9%	85 22.6%	71 37.2%	43 42.2%	15 25.4%	33 29.2%	113 35.6%	23 20.4%	8 18.6%	53 18.8%
Don't know / Can't recall	9 .9%	5 1.1%	4 .8%	5 3.8%	1 .4%	1 .4%	2 1.2%		1 1.3%	1 .6%	3 .8%	2 1.0%	1 1.0%	1 1.7%	1 .9%	4 1.3%	1 .9%	1 2.3%	1 .4%
NO RESPONSE	14 1.4%	1 .2%	5 1.0%	1 .8%		3 1.2%	1 .6%		1 1.3%		2 .5%	1 .5%	1 1.0%	1 1.7%		4 1.3%			1 .4%

TABLE 44: 15. Into which category does your age fall?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
18 to 24	27 2.7%	14 3.1%	11 2.1%	27 20.6%					4 5.1%	3 1.8%	12 3.2%	7 3.7%			3 2.7%	6 1.9%	9 8.0%	1 2.3%	
25 to 34	104 10.5%	59 13.2%	43 8.3%	104 79.4%					7 9.0%	12 7.3%	47 12.5%	24 12.6%	11 10.8%	9 15.3%	15 13.3%	48 15.1%	12 10.6%	3 7.0%	2 .7%
35 to 44	243 24.5%	113 25.2%	129 24.8%		243 100.0%				8 10.3%	37 22.6%	101 26.9%	55 28.8%	38 37.3%	20 33.9%	40 35.4%	115 36.3%	39 34.5%	17 39.5%	2 .7%
45 to 54	246 24.8%	109 24.3%	134 25.7%			246 100.0%			13 16.7%	28 17.1%	98 26.1%	61 31.9%	31 30.4%	14 23.7%	41 36.3%	104 32.8%	34 30.1%	16 37.2%	28 9.9%
55 to 64	173 17.5%	78 17.4%	92 17.7%				173 100.0%		13 16.7%	25 15.2%	73 19.4%	30 15.7%	17 16.7%	10 16.9%	12 10.6%	36 11.4%	15 13.3%	3 7.0%	88 31.2%
65 or older	186 18.8%	73 16.3%	111 21.3%					186 100.0%	32 41.0%	59 36.0%	45 12.0%	14 7.3%	4 3.9%	6 10.2%	2 1.8%	7 2.2%	4 3.5%	3 7.0%	160 56.7%
NO RESPONSE	11 1.1%	2 .4%	1 .2%						1 1.3%				1 1.0%			1 .3%			2 .7%

TABLE 45: 16. Including yourself, how many people reside in this household?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
One	121 12.2%	42 9.4%	77 14.8%	5 3.8%	19 7.8%	30 12.2%	26 15.0%	41 22.0%	28 35.9%	20 12.2%	37 9.8%	10 5.2%	11 10.8%	7 11.9%	14 12.4%	29 9.1%	13 11.5%	6 14.0%	51 18.1%
Two	475 48.0%	222 49.6%	247 47.4%	39 29.8%	64 26.3%	123 50.0%	119 68.8%	128 68.8%	28 35.9%	93 56.7%	172 45.7%	92 48.2%	48 47.1%	22 37.3%	47 41.6%	131 41.3%	39 34.5%	22 51.2%	192 68.1%
Three	145 14.6%	65 14.5%	78 15.0%	25 19.1%	53 21.8%	43 17.5%	13 7.5%	11 5.9%	7 9.0%	20 12.2%	65 17.3%	36 18.8%	15 14.7%	14 23.7%	25 22.1%	52 16.4%	20 17.7%	6 14.0%	20 7.1%
Four	152 15.4%	75 16.7%	73 14.0%	40 30.5%	60 24.7%	35 14.2%	11 6.4%	6 3.2%	9 11.5%	17 10.4%	61 16.2%	39 20.4%	17 16.7%	9 15.3%	20 17.7%	63 19.9%	25 22.1%	4 9.3%	13 4.6%
Five or more	85 8.6%	41 9.2%	44 8.4%	21 16.0%	47 19.3%	14 5.7%	3 1.7%		5 6.4%	13 7.9%	41 10.9%	14 7.3%	10 9.8%	7 11.9%	6 5.3%	41 12.9%	16 14.2%	5 11.6%	3 1.1%
NO RESPONSE	12 1.2%	3 .7%	2 .4%	1 .8%		1 .4%	1 .6%		1 1.3%	1 .6%			1 1.0%		1 .9%	1 .3%			3 1.1%

TABLE 46: 17. Which statement best describes the home in which you live?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
You built the home yourself (or with the help of family and	209 21.1%	85 19.0%	116 22.3%	17 13.0%	49 20.2%	49 19.9%	39 22.5%	54 29.0%	21 26.9%	40 24.4%	90 23.9%	23 12.0%	21 20.6%	22 37.3%	23 20.4%	60 18.9%	20 17.7%	7 16.3%	66 23.4%
You rebuilt an existing structure	132 13.3%	60 13.4%	69 13.2%	9 6.9%	30 12.3%	27 11.0%	38 22.0%	28 15.1%	12 15.4%	15 9.1%	58 15.4%	28 14.7%	12 11.8%	12 20.3%	10 8.8%	36 11.4%	8 7.1%	6 14.0%	50 17.7%
You had a builder build your home	191 19.3%	86 19.2%	105 20.2%	25 19.1%	36 14.8%	51 20.7%	31 17.9%	47 25.3%	3 3.8%	18 11.0%	55 14.6%	61 31.9%	37 36.3%	4 6.8%	15 13.3%	83 26.2%	14 12.4%	3 7.0%	66 23.4%
You are in the process of building the home	28 2.8%	17 3.8%	11 2.1%	6 4.6%	12 4.9%	6 2.4%	3 1.7%	1 .5%	2 2.6%	6 3.7%	12 3.2%	5 2.6%	1 1.0%	1 1.7%	2 1.8%	12 3.8%	5 4.4%	3 7.0%	4 1.4%
You have no idea who built the home	152 15.4%	69 15.4%	82 15.7%	25 19.1%	45 18.5%	46 18.7%	24 13.9%	12 6.5%	9 11.5%	31 18.9%	60 16.0%	25 13.1%	18 17.6%	11 18.6%	21 18.6%	46 14.5%	22 19.5%	10 23.3%	32 11.3%
You have a mobile home	92 9.3%	42 9.4%	50 9.6%	23 17.6%	16 6.6%	19 7.7%	16 9.2%	18 9.7%	17 21.8%	29 17.7%	34 9.0%	8 4.2%		4 6.8%	9 8.0%	20 6.3%	20 17.7%	7 16.3%	25 8.9%
You have a manufactured home	88 8.9%	46 10.3%	42 8.1%	10 7.6%	21 8.6%	28 11.4%	14 8.1%	14 7.5%	8 10.3%	13 7.9%	34 9.0%	25 13.1%	1 1.0%	3 5.1%	15 13.3%	22 6.9%	18 15.9%	1 2.3%	24 8.5%
Other	51 5.2%	24 5.4%	26 5.0%	7 5.3%	24 9.9%	9 3.7%	2 1.2%	9 4.8%	4 5.1%	7 4.3%	20 5.3%	11 5.8%	3 2.9%	2 3.4%	10 8.8%	20 6.3%	3 2.7%	3 7.0%	10 3.5%
Bought from builder	35 3.5%	17 3.8%	18 3.5%	9 6.9%	9 3.7%	11 4.5%	4 2.3%	2 1.1%	2 2.6%	5 3.0%	12 3.2%	5 2.6%	9 8.8%		6 5.3%	18 5.7%	3 2.7%	3 7.0%	3 1.1%
NO RESPONSE	12 1.2%	2 .4%	2 .4%		1 .4%		2 1.2%	1 .5%				1 .3%			2 1.8%				2 .7%

TABLE 47: 18. Which statement best describes the reason you located in Boise County?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Grew up here / Born here	143 14.4%	61 13.6%	79 15.2%	37 28.2%	35 14.4%	27 11.0%	17 9.8%	26 14.0%	15 19.2%	29 17.7%	58 15.4%	23 12.0%	6 5.9%	7 11.9%	24 21.2%	31 9.8%	34 30.1%	6 14.0%	30 10.6%
Moved here because I got a job in the area	142 14.3%	69 15.4%	70 13.4%	27 20.6%	50 20.6%	36 14.6%	10 5.8%	19 10.2%	11 14.1%	20 12.2%	55 14.6%	38 19.9%	10 9.8%	5 8.5%	33 29.2%	55 17.4%	16 14.2%	4 9.3%	19 6.7%
Moved here to retire	238 24.0%	99 22.1%	138 26.5%		5 2.1%	45 18.3%	88 50.9%	100 53.8%	29 37.2%	53 32.3%	97 25.8%	29 15.2%	15 14.7%	8 13.6%	11 9.7%	28 8.8%	8 7.1%	2 4.7%	176 62.4%
Moved here to find a job in the area	24 2.4%	10 2.2%	14 2.7%	5 3.8%	12 4.9%	5 2.0%	2 1.2%		2 2.6%	5 3.0%	12 3.2%	4 2.1%	1 1.0%	3 5.1%	5 4.4%	10 3.2%	4 3.5%		2 .7%
Moved here to start a business in the area	64 6.5%	22 4.9%	42 8.1%	4 3.1%	22 9.1%	19 7.7%	13 7.5%	6 3.2%	1 1.3%	13 7.9%	25 6.6%	9 4.7%	12 11.8%	11 18.6%	3 2.7%	19 6.0%	14 12.4%	9 20.9%	7 2.5%
Other	81 8.2%	56 12.5%	24 4.6%	13 9.9%	28 11.5%	19 7.7%	5 2.9%	16 8.6%	8 10.3%	21 12.8%	22 5.9%	17 8.9%	3 2.9%	1 1.7%	9 8.0%	23 7.3%	7 6.2%	9 20.9%	17 6.0%
Affordable	8 .8%	5 1.1%	2 .4%	4 3.1%	2 .8%	1 .4%	1 .6%			1 .6%	7 1.9%					4 1.3%	1 .9%	1 2.3%	
Close to / Commute to Boise	17 1.7%	10 2.2%	7 1.3%	4 3.1%	3 1.2%	7 2.8%	3 1.7%		1 1.3%		8 2.1%	5 2.6%	3 2.9%	2 3.4%	2 1.8%	9 2.8%	3 2.7%	1 2.3%	
Quality of Life	258 26.1%	113 25.2%	141 27.1%	37 28.2%	86 35.4%	85 34.6%	33 19.1%	15 8.1%	10 12.8%	21 12.8%	89 23.7%	65 34.0%	52 51.0%	22 37.3%	26 23.0%	136 42.9%	26 23.0%	11 25.6%	27 9.6%
NO RESPONSE	15 1.5%	3 .7%	4 .8%			2 .8%	1 .6%	4 2.2%	1 1.3%	1 .6%	3 .8%	1 .5%				2 .6%			4 1.4%

TABLE 48: 19. Do you think things in Boise County have gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same in the past five years?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Better	217 21.9%	102 22.8%	112 21.5%	26 19.8%	56 23.0%	38 15.4%	45 26.0%	52 28.0%	18 23.1%	34 20.7%	81 21.5%	49 25.7%	20 19.6%	16 27.1%	29 25.7%	63 19.9%	20 17.7%	14 32.6%	63 22.3%
Worse	388 39.2%	177 39.5%	208 39.9%	48 36.6%	106 43.6%	116 47.2%	63 36.4%	53 28.5%	27 34.6%	66 40.2%	155 41.2%	74 38.7%	34 33.3%	19 32.2%	43 38.1%	123 38.8%	53 46.9%	20 46.5%	101 35.8%
Stayed same	322 32.5%	133 29.7%	181 34.7%	47 35.9%	66 27.2%	77 31.3%	58 33.5%	72 38.7%	27 34.6%	53 32.3%	124 33.0%	57 29.8%	41 40.2%	22 37.3%	37 32.7%	110 34.7%	30 26.5%	9 20.9%	103 36.5%
NO RESPONSE	63 6.4%	36 8.0%	20 3.8%	10 7.6%	15 6.2%	15 6.1%	7 4.0%	9 4.8%	6 7.7%	11 6.7%	16 4.3%	11 5.8%	7 6.9%	2 3.4%	4 3.5%	21 6.6%	10 8.8%		15 5.3%

TABLE 49: 20. If you live on or own property in an existing Boise County subdivision, please select the statement that best describes the possibility of subdividing your property.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Property cannot be subdivided again	507 51.2%	226 50.4%	273 52.4%	64 48.9%	128 52.7%	131 53.3%	97 56.1%	87 46.8%	30 38.5%	68 41.5%	204 54.3%	116 60.7%	64 62.7%	32 54.2%	54 47.8%	193 60.9%	39 34.5%	18 41.9%	146 51.8%
Can be subdivided - but I do not intend in the next five yea	77 7.8%	29 6.5%	47 9.0%	8 6.1%	20 8.2%	24 9.8%	8 4.6%	17 9.1%	7 9.0%	11 6.7%	34 9.0%	16 8.4%	7 6.9%	3 5.1%	8 7.1%	29 9.1%	8 7.1%	1 2.3%	27 9.6%
Can be subdivided - I do intend in the next five years	7 .7%	3 .7%	4 .8%	2 1.5%	1 .4%		2 1.2%	2 1.1%		1 .6%	3 .8%	2 1.0%	1 1.0%	1 1.7%		1 .3%	1 .9%	1 2.3%	2 .7%
Don't know at the present time	117 11.8%	54 12.1%	63 12.1%	21 16.0%	26 10.7%	25 10.2%	17 9.8%	28 15.1%	14 17.9%	26 15.9%	43 11.4%	22 11.5%	3 2.9%	7 11.9%	10 8.8%	25 7.9%	21 18.6%	9 20.9%	38 13.5%
NO RESPONSE	282 28.5%	136 30.4%	134 25.7%	36 27.5%	68 28.0%	66 26.8%	49 28.3%	52 28.0%	27 34.6%	58 35.4%	92 24.5%	35 18.3%	27 26.5%	16 27.1%	41 36.3%	69 21.8%	44 38.9%	14 32.6%	69 24.5%

TABLE 50: 21. If you live on or own Boise County property that is not presently subdivided, please select the statement that best describes the possibility of subdividing your property.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
I do not intend to subdivide my property in the next five ye	331 33.4%	158 35.3%	168 32.2%	34 26.0%	77 31.7%	95 38.6%	54 31.2%	70 37.6%	30 38.5%	65 39.6%	126 33.5%	54 28.3%	28 27.5%	19 32.2%	42 37.2%	90 28.4%	41 36.3%	18 41.9%	101 35.8%
I do intend to subdivide my property in the next five years	26 2.6%	10 2.2%	16 3.1%	3 2.3%	3 1.2%	9 3.7%	6 3.5%	4 2.2%	1 1.3%	2 1.2%	4 1.1%	7 3.7%	8 7.8%	3 5.1%	2 1.8%	9 2.8%	6 5.3%		6 2.1%
Don't know at the present time	124 12.5%	59 13.2%	65 12.5%	24 18.3%	24 9.9%	29 11.8%	18 10.4%	29 15.6%	18 23.1%	25 15.2%	43 11.4%	21 11.0%	6 5.9%	7 11.9%	12 10.6%	31 9.8%	23 20.4%	7 16.3%	37 13.1%
NO RESPONSE	509 51.4%	221 49.3%	272 52.2%	70 53.4%	139 57.2%	113 45.9%	95 54.9%	83 44.6%	29 37.2%	72 43.9%	203 54.0%	109 57.1%	60 58.8%	30 50.8%	57 50.4%	187 59.0%	43 38.1%	18 41.9%	138 48.9%

TABLE 51: 22. Into which category does your total family household income fall?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Less than \$15,000	78 7.9%	42 9.4%	36 6.9%	11 8.4%	8 3.3%	13 5.3%	13 7.5%	32 17.2%	78 100.0%					1 1.7%		7 2.2%	17 15.0%	3 7.0%	46 16.3%
At least \$15,000 but less than \$25,000	164 16.6%	76 17.0%	86 16.5%	15 11.5%	37 15.2%	28 11.4%	25 14.5%	59 31.7%		164 100.0%				10 16.9%	11 9.7%	27 8.5%	19 16.8%	11 25.6%	74 26.2%
At least \$25,000 but less than \$50,000	376 38.0%	176 39.3%	195 37.4%	59 45.0%	101 41.6%	98 39.8%	73 42.2%	45 24.2%			376 100.0%			27 45.8%	53 46.9%	120 37.9%	47 41.6%	15 34.9%	92 32.6%
At least \$50,000 but less than \$75,000	191 19.3%	85 19.0%	102 19.6%	31 23.7%	55 22.6%	61 24.8%	30 17.3%	14 7.5%				191 100.0%		11 18.6%	40 35.4%	80 25.2%	19 16.8%	7 16.3%	24 8.5%
\$75,000 or more	102 10.3%	37 8.3%	65 12.5%	11 8.4%	38 15.6%	31 12.6%	17 9.8%	4 2.2%					102 100.0%	8 13.6%	6 5.3%	70 22.1%	6 5.3%	5 11.6%	7 2.5%
NO RESPONSE	79 8.0%	32 7.1%	37 7.1%	4 3.1%	4 1.6%	15 6.1%	15 8.7%	32 17.2%						2 3.4%	3 2.7%	13 4.1%	5 4.4%	2 4.7%	39 13.8%

TABLE 52: 23. Which category best describes your primary occupation?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Builder / contractor	59 6.0%	9 2.0%	47 9.0%	9 6.9%	20 8.2%	14 5.7%	10 5.8%	6 3.2%	1 1.3%	10 6.1%	27 7.2%	11 5.8%	8 7.8%	59 100.0%					
City, county, or state government employee	65 6.6%	26 5.8%	39 7.5%	6 4.6%	22 9.1%	24 9.8%	11 6.4%	2 1.1%		8 4.9%	24 6.4%	26 13.6%	4 3.9%	65 57.5%					
Farmer /rancher	19 1.9%	5 1.1%	14 2.7%	1 .8%	7 2.9%	4 1.6%	4 2.3%	3 1.6%	2 2.6%	1 .6%	6 1.6%	4 2.1%	2 2.0%				19 16.8%		
Federal government employee	48 4.8%	22 4.9%	25 4.8%	12 9.2%	18 7.4%	17 6.9%	1 .6%			3 1.8%	29 7.7%	14 7.3%	2 2.0%	48 42.5%					
Teacher / School administrator	34 3.4%	25 5.6%	8 1.5%	9 6.9%	13 5.3%	7 2.8%	5 2.9%		1 1.3%	1 .6%	15 4.0%	14 7.3%	3 2.9%			34 10.7%			
Other professional / technical field	283 28.6%	128 28.6%	151 29.0%	45 34.4%	102 42.0%	97 39.4%	31 17.9%	7 3.8%	6 7.7%	26 15.9%	105 27.9%	66 34.6%	67 65.7%			283 89.3%			
Laborer	94 9.5%	39 8.7%	55 10.6%	20 15.3%	32 13.2%	30 12.2%	11 6.4%	1 .5%	15 19.2%	18 11.0%	41 10.9%	15 7.9%	4 3.9%				94 83.2%		
Retired	282 28.5%	119 26.6%	162 31.1%	2 1.5%	2 .8%	28 11.4%	88 50.9%	160 86.0%	46 59.0%	74 45.1%	92 24.5%	24 12.6%	7 6.9%						282 100.0%
Homemaker	38 3.8%	36 8.0%	2 .4%	14 10.7%	8 3.3%	7 2.8%	7 4.0%	2 1.1%	2 2.6%	12 7.3%	18 4.8%	5 2.6%							
Self-employed	21 2.1%	14 3.1%	7 1.3%	2 1.5%	8 3.3%	7 2.8%	3 1.7%	1 .5%		4 2.4%	9 2.4%	3 1.6%	4 3.9%					21 48.8%	
Student	6 .6%	5 1.1%	1 .2%	6 4.6%					2 2.6%		2 .5%	2 1.0%							
Arts/Crafts	6 .6%	3 .7%	3 .6%		3 1.2%	3 1.2%			1 1.3%	1 .6%	3 .8%		1 1.0%					6 14.0%	
Other	16 1.6%	10 2.2%	6 1.2%	2 1.5%	6 2.5%	6 2.4%		2 1.1%	2 2.6%	6 3.7%	3 .8%	4 2.1%						16 37.2%	
NO RESPONSE	19 1.9%	7 1.6%	1 .2%	3 2.3%	2 .8%	2 .8%	2 1.2%	2 1.1%				2 .5%	3 1.6%						

TABLE 53: 24. What is your gender?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
Female	448 45.3%	448 100.0%		73 55.7%	113 46.5%	109 44.3%	78 45.1%	73 39.2%	42 53.8%	76 46.3%	176 46.8%	85 44.5%	37 36.3%	9 15.3%	48 42.5%	153 48.3%	44 38.9%	27 62.8%	119 42.2%
Male	521 52.6%		521 100.0%	54 41.2%	129 53.1%	134 54.5%	92 53.2%	111 59.7%	36 46.2%	86 52.4%	195 51.9%	102 53.4%	65 63.7%	47 79.7%	64 56.6%	159 50.2%	69 61.1%	16 37.2%	162 57.4%
NO RESPONSE	21 2.1%			4 3.1%	1 .4%	3 1.2%	3 1.7%	2 1.1%		2 1.2%	5 1.3%	4 2.1%		3 5.1%	1 .9%	5 1.6%			1 .4%

POPULUS, Inc. Wilderness Way Boise, ID 83706
 Research for Understanding the American People

TABLE A: CLUSTERS

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Unrestricted Liberty	119 12.0%	12 10.3%	18 9.0%	18 9.0%	31 13.8%	39 16.0%	10 14.3%	22 8.5%	29 16.8%	17 10.1%	3 7.0%	36 14.2%	119 100.0%				
Individualist	160 16.2%	8 6.9%	20 10.0%	34 17.0%	32 14.2%	66 27.0%	18 25.7%	52 20.1%	36 20.8%	12 7.1%	6 14.0%	32 12.6%		160 100.0%			
Ambivalent	222 22.4%	27 23.3%	39 19.4%	37 18.5%	61 27.1%	56 23.0%	10 14.3%	53 20.5%	51 29.5%	44 26.0%	12 27.9%	45 17.8%			222 100.0%		
Govt Control / No Growth	258 26.1%	33 28.4%	66 32.8%	57 28.5%	53 23.6%	49 20.1%	13 18.6%	88 34.0%	28 16.2%	39 23.1%	10 23.3%	77 30.4%				258 100.0%	
Govt Control / Stimulate Growth	231 23.3%	36 31.0%	58 28.9%	54 27.0%	48 21.3%	34 13.9%	19 27.1%	44 17.0%	29 16.8%	57 33.7%	12 27.9%	63 24.9%					231 100.0%

TABLE 01: 1. Prior to participating in this survey, had you heard or read anything about Boise County's Comprehensive Plan, or not?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Yes	573 57.9%	32 27.6%	99 49.3%	114 57.0%	150 66.7%	177 72.5%	41 58.6%	161 62.2%	98 56.6%	99 58.6%	22 51.2%	138 54.5%	83 69.7%	90 56.3%	118 53.2%	139 53.9%	143 61.9%
No	406 41.0%	84 72.4%	102 50.7%	84 42.0%	74 32.9%	61 25.0%	29 41.4%	95 36.7%	70 40.5%	68 40.2%	21 48.8%	114 45.1%	36 30.3%	67 41.9%	100 45.0%	118 45.7%	85 36.8%
NO RESPONSE	11 1.1%			2 1.0%	1 .4%	6 2.5%		3 1.2%	5 2.9%	2 1.2%		1 .4%		3 1.9%	4 1.8%	1 .4%	3 1.3%

TABLE 02: 2. Based on what you have heard or read, how do you rate the overall job the Boise County Commissioners did in developing this Comprehensive Plan?

BASE: Heard of Comprehensive Plan	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(573)	(32)	(99)	(114)	(150)	(177)	(41)	(161)	(98)	(99)	(22)	(138)	(83)	(90)	(118)	(139)	(143)
Excellent	31 5.4%	2 6.3%	3 3.0%	5 4.4%	6 4.0%	15 8.5%	2 4.9%	12 7.5%	11 11.2%	3 3.0%		3 2.2%	5 6.0%	9 10.0%	4 3.4%	5 3.6%	8 5.6%
Good	238 41.5%	11 34.4%	43 43.4%	53 46.5%	52 34.7%	78 44.1%	21 51.2%	63 39.1%	50 51.0%	35 35.4%	12 54.5%	50 36.2%	18 21.7%	25 27.8%	66 55.9%	53 38.1%	76 53.1%
Only fair	221 38.6%	14 43.8%	35 35.4%	45 39.5%	69 46.0%	58 32.8%	13 31.7%	55 34.2%	28 28.6%	51 51.5%	8 36.4%	63 45.7%	43 51.8%	37 41.1%	32 27.1%	68 48.9%	41 28.7%
Poor	55 9.6%	4 12.5%	10 10.1%	6 5.3%	17 11.3%	18 10.2%	2 4.9%	22 13.7%	5 5.1%	5 5.1%	1 4.5%	18 13.0%	17 20.5%	13 14.4%	6 5.1%	6 4.3%	13 9.1%
NO RESPONSE	28 4.9%	1 3.1%	8 8.1%	5 4.4%	6 4.0%	8 4.5%	3 7.3%	9 5.6%	4 4.1%	5 5.1%	1 4.5%	4 2.9%		6 6.7%	10 8.5%	7 5.0%	5 3.5%

TABLE 03: 3. One of the elements of the Comprehensive Plan is a Zoning and Development Ordinance. In 1995, the County Commissioners appointed a Planning and Zoning Commission to draft this ordinance. Prior to participating in this survey, had you heard or read anything about this Planning & Zoning Commission's efforts, or not?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Yes	593 59.9%	29 25.0%	104 51.7%	126 63.0%	157 69.8%	176 72.1%	42 60.0%	159 61.4%	96 55.5%	101 59.8%	24 55.8%	158 62.5%	88 73.9%	89 55.6%	125 56.3%	143 55.4%	148 64.1%
No	378 38.2%	87 75.0%	91 45.3%	70 35.0%	66 29.3%	63 25.8%	28 40.0%	96 37.1%	70 40.5%	64 37.9%	19 44.2%	92 36.4%	31 26.1%	67 41.9%	91 41.0%	112 43.4%	77 33.3%
NO RESPONSE	19 1.9%		6 3.0%	4 2.0%	2 .9%	5 2.0%		4 1.5%	7 4.0%	4 2.4%		3 1.2%		4 2.5%	6 2.7%	3 1.2%	6 2.6%

TABLE 04: 4. Based on what you have heard or read, how do you rate the overall job the Boise County Planning and Zoning Commission is doing in developing this ordinance?

BASE: Heard of Plannin & Zoning Commissions' Efforts	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(593)	(29)	(104)	(126)	(157)	(176)	(42)	(159)	(96)	(101)	(24)	(158)	(88)	(89)	(125)	(143)	(148)
Excellent	34 5.7%	2 6.9%	1 1.0%	5 4.0%	11 7.0%	14 8.0%		10 6.3%	9 9.4%	8 7.9%		6 3.8%	6 6.8%		3 2.4%	4 2.8%	21 14.2%
Good	209 35.2%	7 24.1%	42 40.4%	46 36.5%	58 36.9%	56 31.8%	16 38.1%	61 38.4%	33 34.4%	42 41.6%	11 45.8%	42 26.6%	14 15.9%	16 18.0%	53 42.4%	54 37.8%	72 48.6%
Only fair	234 39.5%	13 44.8%	31 29.8%	55 43.7%	67 42.7%	68 38.6%	22 52.4%	45 28.3%	37 38.5%	38 37.6%	8 33.3%	80 50.6%	51 58.0%	44 49.4%	53 42.4%	52 36.4%	34 23.0%
Poor	87 14.7%	6 20.7%	19 18.3%	11 8.7%	19 12.1%	32 18.2%	4 9.5%	34 21.4%	13 13.5%	11 10.9%	3 12.5%	20 12.7%	16 18.2%	27 30.3%	9 7.2%	22 15.4%	13 8.8%
NO RESPONSE	29 4.9%	1 3.4%	11 10.6%	9 7.1%	2 1.3%	6 3.4%		9 5.7%	4 4.2%	2 2.0%	2 8.3%	10 6.3%	1 1.1%	2 2.2%	7 5.6%	11 7.7%	8 5.4%

TABLE 05: 5. Which, if any, of the following activities have you done personally?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Attended a Boise Cty Commissioners' meeting or public hrng	232 23.4%	7 6.0%	36 17.9%	46 23.0%	65 28.9%	77 31.6%	20 28.6%	56 21.6%	40 23.1%	38 22.5%	5 11.6%	66 26.1%	50 42.0%	39 24.4%	46 20.7%	38 14.7%	59 25.5%
Attended a P & Z Commissioners' meeting or public hearing	185 18.7%	10 8.6%	30 14.9%	33 16.5%	40 17.8%	71 29.1%	9 12.9%	51 19.7%	31 17.9%	32 18.9%	3 7.0%	51 20.2%	42 35.3%	22 13.8%	35 15.8%	40 15.5%	46 19.9%
Written a letter to one or more of the Boise County Comm	68 6.9%	4 3.4%	10 5.0%	11 5.5%	18 8.0%	25 10.2%	3 4.3%	24 9.3%	10 5.8%	10 5.9%	1 2.3%	19 7.5%	13 10.9%	10 6.3%	10 4.5%	23 8.9%	12 5.2%
Written a letter to one or more of the P & Z Commissioners	35 3.5%	1 .9%	3 1.5%	6 3.0%	13 5.8%	11 4.5%		15 5.8%	4 2.3%	3 1.8%	1 2.3%	11 4.3%	8 6.7%	6 3.8%	5 2.3%	11 4.3%	5 2.2%
Written a letter to the editor of 'The Idaho World' re:P&Z	15 1.5%	2 1.7%	2 1.0%	1 .5%	5 2.2%	5 2.0%	2 2.9%	4 1.5%	4 2.3%	1 .6%		4 1.6%	3 2.5%	3 1.9%		5 1.9%	4 1.7%
Called to discuss an issue with a County Commissioner	121 12.2%	3 2.6%	15 7.5%	28 14.0%	35 15.6%	40 16.4%	9 12.9%	45 17.4%	16 9.2%	14 8.3%	6 14.0%	29 11.5%	16 13.4%	29 18.1%	17 7.7%	29 11.2%	30 13.0%
Called to discuss an issue with a Planning & Zoning Comm	86 8.7%	5 4.3%	8 4.0%	17 8.5%	20 8.9%	35 14.3%	7 10.0%	18 6.9%	16 9.2%	21 12.4%	2 4.7%	20 7.9%	16 13.4%	14 8.8%	11 5.0%	19 7.4%	26 11.3%
Have done none of these activities	592 59.8%	96 82.8%	134 66.7%	122 61.0%	122 54.2%	117 48.0%	43 61.4%	150 57.9%	105 60.7%	102 60.4%	30 69.8%	149 58.9%	55 46.2%	89 55.6%	148 66.7%	168 65.1%	132 57.1%
NO RESPONSE	29 2.9%		7 3.5%	5 2.5%	4 1.8%	11 4.5%	1 1.4%	5 1.9%	11 6.4%	6 3.6%	1 2.3%	5 2.0%	1 .8%	7 4.4%	7 3.2%	4 1.6%	10 4.3%

POPULUS, Inc. Wilderness Way Boise, ID 83706
 Research for Understanding the American People

TABLE 06: 6. Have you read Boise County's Comprehensive Plan, or not?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Yes	285 28.8%	11 9.5%	34 16.9%	60 30.0%	74 32.9%	106 43.4%	18 25.7%	87 33.6%	59 34.1%	42 24.9%	8 18.6%	67 26.5%	58 48.7%	53 33.1%	54 24.3%	55 21.3%	65 28.1%
No	683 69.0%	104 89.7%	163 81.1%	138 69.0%	147 65.3%	129 52.9%	51 72.9%	165 63.7%	109 63.0%	123 72.8%	35 81.4%	181 71.5%	56 47.1%	104 65.0%	164 73.9%	198 76.7%	161 69.7%
NO RESPONSE	22 2.2%	1 .9%	4 2.0%	2 1.0%	4 1.8%	9 3.7%	1 1.4%	7 2.7%	5 2.9%	4 2.4%		5 2.0%	5 4.2%	3 1.9%	4 1.8%	5 1.9%	5 2.2%

POPULUS, Inc. Wilderness Way Boise, ID 83706
 Research for Understanding the American People

TABLE 07: 7. What is the best way to keep you informed about Boise County government, particularly on issues such as planning and zoning?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Articles in 'The Idaho World'	695 70.2%	67 57.8%	119 59.2%	154 77.0%	168 74.7%	187 76.6%	63 90.0%	182 70.3%	118 68.2%	126 74.6%	30 69.8%	163 64.4%	92 77.3%	103 64.4%	151 68.0%	188 72.9%	161 69.7%
Articles in 'The Idaho Statesman'	275 27.8%	45 38.8%	72 35.8%	36 18.0%	57 25.3%	64 26.2%	11 15.7%	67 25.9%	53 30.6%	38 22.5%	10 23.3%	85 33.6%	24 20.2%	28 17.5%	71 32.0%	82 31.8%	70 30.3%
Town hall meetings at which Commissioners Q/A	387 39.1%	33 28.4%	69 34.3%	81 40.5%	85 37.8%	118 48.4%	32 45.7%	124 47.9%	67 38.7%	59 34.9%	14 32.6%	85 33.6%	55 46.2%	73 45.6%	73 32.9%	97 37.6%	89 38.5%
An Internet home page	95 9.6%	16 13.8%	25 12.4%	22 11.0%	19 8.4%	13 5.3%	3 4.3%	22 8.5%	6 3.5%	22 13.0%	6 14.0%	35 13.8%	8 6.7%	15 9.4%	15 6.8%	30 11.6%	27 11.7%
News broadcasts by Treasure Valley television stations	205 20.7%	27 23.3%	53 26.4%	40 20.0%	51 22.7%	34 13.9%	9 12.9%	51 19.7%	36 20.8%	38 22.5%	3 7.0%	62 24.5%	16 13.4%	29 18.1%	48 21.6%	55 21.3%	57 24.7%
News broadcasts by Treasure Valley radio stations	104 10.5%	19 16.4%	24 11.9%	22 11.0%	28 12.4%	11 4.5%	9 12.9%	15 5.8%	15 8.7%	24 14.2%	3 7.0%	36 14.2%	11 9.2%	17 10.6%	26 11.7%	30 11.6%	20 8.7%
Mailings	169 17.1%	25 21.6%	41 20.4%	40 20.0%	32 14.2%	30 12.3%	6 8.6%	40 15.4%	30 17.3%	26 15.4%	9 20.9%	53 20.9%	22 18.5%	28 17.5%	37 16.7%	46 17.8%	36 15.6%
Other	39 3.9%	2 1.7%	9 4.5%	11 5.5%	6 2.7%	11 4.5%	4 5.7%	7 2.7%	8 4.6%	5 3.0%	1 2.3%	14 5.5%	9 7.6%	8 5.0%	6 2.7%	7 2.7%	9 3.9%
NO RESPONSE	13 1.3%	2 1.7%		1 .5%	2 .9%	6 2.5%		1 .4%	8 4.6%	1 .6%		3 1.2%	3 2.5%	2 1.3%	4 1.8%	2 .8%	2 .9%

TABLE 08: 8. Which of the following statements best describes your resident status in Boise County?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Property owner	892 90.1%	95 81.9%	182 90.5%	181 90.5%	205 91.1%	228 93.4%	67 95.7%	240 92.7%	150 86.7%	143 84.6%	33 76.7%	239 94.5%	112 94.1%	137 85.6%	195 87.8%	234 90.7%	214 92.6%
Do not currently own property in Boise County	90 9.1%	20 17.2%	17 8.5%	18 9.0%	19 8.4%	15 6.1%	3 4.3%	18 6.9%	21 12.1%	24 14.2%	10 23.3%	11 4.3%	6 5.0%	23 14.4%	22 9.9%	24 9.3%	15 6.5%
NO RESPONSE	8 .8%	1 .9%	2 1.0%	1 .5%	1 .4%	1 .4%		1 .4%	2 1.2%	2 1.2%		3 1.2%	1 .8%		5 2.3%		2 .9%

TABLE 09: 9. Which one of the following best describes your current residence?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Within city limits	167 16.9%	16 13.8%	21 10.4%	20 10.0%	36 16.0%	74 30.3%	12 17.1%	13 5.0%	64 37.0%	69 40.8%		2 .8%	17 14.3%	28 17.5%	48 21.6%	35 13.6%	39 16.9%
Within subdivision w/ (CC&Rs)	422 42.6%	66 56.9%	119 59.2%	110 55.0%	90 40.0%	36 14.8%	36 51.4%	128 49.4%	23 13.3%	58 34.3%	23 53.5%	149 58.9%	25 21.0%	36 22.5%	93 41.9%	145 56.2%	123 53.2%
Within subdivision w/o (CC&Rs)	99 10.0%	10 8.6%	25 12.4%	25 12.5%	23 10.2%	16 6.6%	9 12.9%	23 8.9%	11 6.4%	10 5.9%	3 7.0%	40 15.8%	19 16.0%	19 11.9%	23 10.4%	15 5.8%	23 10.0%
Not in a city or subdivision	237 23.9%	20 17.2%	28 13.9%	35 17.5%	62 27.6%	92 37.7%	12 17.1%	68 26.3%	50 28.9%	30 17.8%	14 32.6%	57 22.5%	43 36.1%	55 34.4%	48 21.6%	52 20.2%	39 16.9%
On agricultural-exempted property	51 5.2%	4 3.4%	8 4.0%	7 3.5%	10 4.4%	22 9.0%		24 9.3%	22 12.7%		2 4.7%	3 1.2%	14 11.8%	18 11.3%	5 2.3%	8 3.1%	6 2.6%
NO RESPONSE	14 1.4%			3 1.5%	4 1.8%	4 1.6%	1 1.4%	3 1.2%	3 1.7%	2 1.2%	1 2.3%	2 .8%	1 .8%	4 2.5%	5 2.3%	3 1.2%	1 .4%

TABLE 10: 10. How long have you lived in Boise County?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Less than 2 years	116 11.7%	116 100.0%					11 15.7%	34 13.1%	19 11.0%	18 10.7%	5 11.6%	24 9.5%	12 10.1%	8 5.0%	27 12.2%	33 12.8%	36 15.6%
At least 2 but less than 5 years	201 20.3%		201 100.0%				13 18.6%	56 21.6%	26 15.0%	30 17.8%	10 23.3%	62 24.5%	18 15.1%	20 12.5%	39 17.6%	66 25.6%	58 25.1%
At least 5 but less than 10 years	200 20.2%			200 100.0%			14 20.0%	46 17.8%	19 11.0%	45 26.6%	11 25.6%	63 24.9%	18 15.1%	34 21.3%	37 16.7%	57 22.1%	54 23.4%
At least 10 but less than 20 years	225 22.7%				225 100.0%		11 15.7%	64 24.7%	23 13.3%	40 23.7%	7 16.3%	76 30.0%	31 26.1%	32 20.0%	61 27.5%	53 20.5%	48 20.8%
20 years or more	244 24.6%					244 100.0%	21 30.0%	59 22.8%	85 49.1%	35 20.7%	10 23.3%	27 10.7%	39 32.8%	66 41.3%	56 25.2%	49 19.0%	34 14.7%
NO RESPONSE	4 .4%								1 .6%	1 .6%		1 .4%	1 .8%		2 .9%		1 .4%

TABLE 11: 11. Which one of these statements best describes your work and commuting situation?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Work at home	116 11.7%	15 12.9%	23 11.4%	20 10.0%	31 13.8%	27 11.1%	5 7.1%	40 15.4%	19 11.0%	15 8.9%	5 11.6%	31 12.3%	18 15.1%	20 12.5%	23 10.4%	36 14.0%	19 8.2%
Work outside home; commute within Boise County	175 17.7%	13 11.2%	30 14.9%	42 21.0%	29 12.9%	61 25.0%	7 10.0%	49 18.9%	40 23.1%	45 26.6%	10 23.3%	18 7.1%	16 13.4%	37 23.1%	46 20.7%	34 13.2%	42 18.2%
Work outside home; commute outside Boise County	364 36.8%	60 51.7%	88 43.8%	75 37.5%	85 37.8%	56 23.0%	22 31.4%	67 25.9%	53 30.6%	61 36.1%	2 4.7%	148 58.5%	41 34.5%	52 32.5%	70 31.5%	110 42.6%	91 39.4%
Retired	275 27.8%	21 18.1%	51 25.4%	51 25.5%	71 31.6%	81 33.2%	30 42.9%	88 34.0%	43 24.9%	36 21.3%	24 55.8%	50 19.8%	31 26.1%	33 20.6%	71 32.0%	71 27.5%	69 29.9%
Other	25 2.5%	1 .9%	4 2.0%	3 1.5%	4 1.8%	13 5.3%	1 1.4%	7 2.7%	8 4.6%	3 1.8%	2 4.7%	4 1.6%	6 5.0%	9 5.6%	5 2.3%	2 .8%	3 1.3%
Do not work	29 2.9%	5 4.3%	5 2.5%	8 4.0%	5 2.2%	5 2.0%	5 7.1%	8 3.1%	7 4.0%	7 4.1%		2 .8%	6 5.0%	8 5.0%	5 2.3%	5 1.9%	5 2.2%
NO RESPONSE	6 .6%	1 .9%		1 .5%		1 .4%			3 1.7%	2 1.2%			1 .8%	1 .6%	2 .9%		2 .9%

TABLE 12: 12. If you could make one change or improvement in the way Boise County handles growth, what would it be?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Control Growth / Limit Subdivisions	143 14.4%	18 15.5%	21 10.4%	32 16.0%	29 12.9%	43 17.6%	14 20.0%	49 18.9%	29 16.8%	20 11.8%	8 18.6%	22 8.7%	7 5.9%	48 30.0%	18 8.1%	60 23.3%	10 4.3%
Limit Lot Size	36 3.6%	5 4.3%	3 1.5%	9 4.5%	7 3.1%	11 4.5%	2 2.9%	13 5.0%	1 .6%	3 1.8%	2 4.7%	14 5.5%	1 .8%	7 4.4%	6 2.7%	14 5.4%	8 3.5%
More / Better Planning and Zoning	67 6.8%	3 2.6%	17 8.5%	8 4.0%	25 11.1%	14 5.7%	4 5.7%	21 8.1%	14 8.1%	14 8.3%	1 2.3%	12 4.7%	7 5.9%	3 1.9%	6 2.7%	20 7.8%	31 13.4%
Enforce / Stricter Building Codes	43 4.3%	7 6.0%	13 6.5%	10 5.0%	9 4.0%	4 1.6%		14 5.4%	4 2.3%	8 4.7%	1 2.3%	13 5.1%	3 2.5%	1 .6%	6 2.7%	12 4.7%	21 9.1%
Clean Up Trash / Junk	17 1.7%	4 3.4%	3 1.5%	9 4.5%	1 .4%		2 2.9%	3 1.2%	1 .6%	5 3.0%	1 2.3%	5 2.0%	1 .8%	2 1.3%	1 .5%	5 1.9%	8 3.5%
Enforce Ordinances	14 1.4%	4 3.4%	3 1.5%	2 1.0%	2 .9%	3 1.2%	2 2.9%	1 .4%	1 .6%	5 3.0%	1 2.3%	4 1.6%			4 1.8%	4 1.6%	6 2.6%
Establish Minimum Standards for Dwellings	12 1.2%	2 1.7%	1 .5%	7 3.5%	2 .9%				3 1.7%	1 .6%		7 2.8%		1 .6%	3 1.4%	5 1.9%	3 1.3%
Charge Impact Fees	57 5.8%	6 5.2%	9 4.5%	14 7.0%	11 4.9%	17 7.0%	6 8.6%	19 7.3%	7 4.0%	7 4.1%		17 6.7%	3 2.5%	16 10.0%	5 2.3%	21 8.1%	12 5.2%
Let Growth Pay for Itself	22 2.2%		3 1.5%	5 2.5%	6 2.7%	8 3.3%		7 2.7%	2 1.2%	3 1.8%		7 2.8%	1 .8%	6 3.8%	2 .9%	10 3.9%	3 1.3%
Listen and Work with Residents	24 2.4%	4 3.4%	5 2.5%	4 2.0%	8 3.6%	3 1.2%	2 2.9%	1 .4%	6 3.5%	2 1.2%	1 2.3%	11 4.3%	5 4.2%	3 1.9%	6 2.7%	3 1.2%	7 3.0%
Change / Improve Commission	12 1.2%		3 1.5%	2 1.0%	6 2.7%	1 .4%		1 .4%	2 1.2%	1 .6%	1 2.3%	6 2.4%	3 2.5%	1 .6%	4 1.8%	2 .8%	2 .9%
Consider Newcomers	5 .5%	3 2.6%	1 .5%			1 .4%	1 1.4%	1 .4%	1 .6%	1 .6%		1 .4%	2 1.7%		1 .5%	1 .4%	1 .4%
Maintain/Upgrade Water, Roads, Waste and Protect Environment	61 6.2%	8 6.9%	15 7.5%	12 6.0%	12 5.3%	14 5.7%	6 8.6%	4 1.5%	9 5.2%	14 8.3%	1 2.3%	27 10.7%	11 9.2%	10 6.3%	10 4.5%	11 4.3%	19 8.2%
Increase / Improve Law Enforcement	14 1.4%		2 1.0%	3 1.5%	1 .4%	8 3.3%	1 1.4%	3 1.2%	2 1.2%	1 .6%	2 4.7%	4 1.6%	3 2.5%	1 .6%	5 2.3%	3 1.2%	2 .9%
School Concerns	7 .7%		1 .5%	3 1.5%	2 .9%	1 .4%		4 1.5%		1 .6%		2 .8%			3 1.4%	3 1.2%	1 .4%
Don't Regulate Land Use / Allow Personal Freedom	20		7	3	3	7	3	1	4	5	2	5	11	5	3		1

(continued)

TABLE 12: 12. If you could make one change or improvement in the way Boise County handles growth, what would it be?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
Other	2.0%	4	3.5%	1.5%	1.3%	2.9%	4.3%	.4%	2.3%	3.0%	4.7%	2.0%	9.2%	3.1%	1.4%	.4%	
	37	10	5	7	11	2	6	11	9	3	6	5	5	12	5	10	
	3.7%	3.4%	5.0%	2.5%	3.1%	4.5%	2.9%	2.3%	6.4%	5.3%	7.0%	2.4%	4.2%	3.1%	5.4%	1.9%	4.3%
Maintain Boise County Character / Heritage	9	3	1	3	1	1	1	1	4	2		1		4	1	3	1
	.9%	2.6%	.5%	1.5%	.4%	.4%	1.4%	.4%	2.3%	1.2%		.4%		2.5%	.5%	1.2%	.4%
Do Not Change	8	1	2		5			5	3				2	2	2	2	
	.8%		.5%	1.0%		2.0%		1.9%	1.7%				1.7%	1.3%	.9%	.8%	
Adjust Property Tax	7	1	4	1	1			1		6				4	2	1	
	.7%		.5%	2.0%	.4%	.4%		.4%		3.6%				2.5%	.9%	.4%	
Improve Economic Employment Opportunity	7	2	4		1	1			3	2		1		1	1	1	4
	.7%	1.7%	2.0%		.4%	1.4%			1.7%	1.2%		.4%		.6%	.5%	.4%	1.7%
Encourage Growth	5	1	1	2	1			3	1	1			3				2
	.5%		.5%	.5%	.9%	.4%		1.2%	.6%	2.3%			2.5%				.9%
DON'T KNOW / NO RESPONSE	363	43	76	62	90	89	23	101	66	58	18	88	51	40	121	72	79
	36.7%	37.1%	37.8%	31.0%	40.0%	36.5%	32.9%	39.0%	38.2%	34.3%	41.9%	34.8%	42.9%	25.0%	54.5%	27.9%	34.2%

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
a. Continued population growth is good for Boise County.																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	491 49.6%	47 40.5%	86 42.8%	100 50.0%	107 47.6%	150 61.5%	37 52.9%	148 57.1%	89 51.4%	64 37.9%	22 51.2%	121 47.8%	25 21.0%	144 90.0%	92 41.4%	212 82.2%	18 7.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	335 33.8%	52 44.8%	83 41.3%	69 34.5%	75 33.3%	54 22.1%	24 34.3%	72 27.8%	48 27.7%	78 46.2%	16 37.2%	90 35.6%	71 59.7%	7 4.4%	67 30.2%	15 5.8%	175 75.8%
b. Property owners should be able to do what they want with their property without regard to the impact on...																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	742 74.9%	92 79.3%	166 82.6%	157 78.5%	167 74.2%	158 64.8%	47 67.1%	197 76.1%	115 66.5%	140 82.8%	32 74.4%	198 78.3%	35 29.4%	70 43.8%	176 79.3%	236 91.5%	225 97.4%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	201 20.3%	22 19.0%	30 14.9%	36 18.0%	45 20.0%	67 27.5%	21 30.0%	49 18.9%	42 24.3%	25 14.8%	11 25.6%	48 19.0%	75 63.0%	74 46.3%	30 13.5%	16 6.2%	6 2.6%
c. There is no reason to compensate private land owners for placing restrictions on the use of their land if those...																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	485 49.0%	52 44.8%	73 36.3%	109 54.5%	122 54.2%	127 52.0%	35 50.0%	131 50.6%	103 59.5%	77 45.6%	13 30.2%	116 45.8%	81 68.1%	107 66.9%	130 58.6%	94 36.4%	73 31.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	362 36.6%	48 41.4%	87 43.3%	69 34.5%	73 32.4%	84 34.4%	30 42.9%	96 37.1%	39 22.5%	70 41.4%	24 55.8%	96 37.9%	29 24.4%	34 21.3%	56 25.2%	121 46.9%	122 52.8%
d. To allow maximum freedom to residents, Boise County's Planning & Zoning Ordinance should just meet...																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	269 27.2%	31 26.7%	63 31.3%	49 24.5%	56 24.9%	70 28.7%	17 24.3%	69 26.6%	45 26.0%	43 25.4%	13 30.2%	75 29.6%	12 10.1%	17 10.6%	30 13.5%	107 41.5%	103 44.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	531 53.6%	55 47.4%	92 45.8%	113 56.5%	136 60.4%	132 54.1%	47 67.1%	139 53.7%	83 48.0%	97 57.4%	22 51.2%	131 51.8%	94 79.0%	113 70.6%	139 62.6%	97 37.6%	88 38.1%

(continued)

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
e. The Boise County Commissioners should now stop asking for public input on Planning & Zoning and just adopt the plan.																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	695 70.2%	95 81.9%	149 74.1%	143 71.5%	144 64.0%	163 66.8%	57 81.4%	164 63.3%	123 71.1%	113 66.9%	35 81.4%	189 74.7%	89 74.8%	137 85.6%	152 68.5%	178 69.0%	139 60.2%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	185 18.7%	8 6.9%	29 14.4%	36 18.0%	57 25.3%	54 22.1%	9 12.9%	60 23.2%	31 17.9%	37 21.9%	5 11.6%	39 15.4%	18 15.1%	10 6.3%	33 14.9%	51 19.8%	73 31.6%
f. Boise County should have a building code that establishes at least minimum standards of construction and safety.																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	166 16.8%	14 12.1%	26 12.9%	29 14.5%	38 16.9%	58 23.8%	17 24.3%	41 15.8%	40 23.1%	12 7.1%	10 23.3%	42 16.6%	55 46.2%	78 48.8%	21 9.5%	6 2.3%	6 2.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	777 78.5%	100 86.2%	166 82.6%	160 80.0%	178 79.1%	171 70.1%	52 74.3%	202 78.0%	124 71.7%	151 89.3%	31 72.1%	198 78.3%	53 44.5%	70 43.8%	189 85.1%	243 94.2%	222 96.1%
g. Boise County should have a single, multiple use zone which allows a mix of rural, business, and residential uses for.																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	235 23.7%	41 35.3%	57 28.4%	46 23.0%	49 21.8%	41 16.8%	10 14.3%	70 27.0%	39 22.5%	33 19.5%	15 34.9%	62 24.5%	10 8.4%	29 18.1%	27 12.2%	110 42.6%	59 25.5%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	567 57.3%	51 44.0%	104 51.7%	111 55.5%	140 62.2%	159 65.2%	43 61.4%	150 57.9%	103 59.5%	96 56.8%	24 55.8%	140 55.3%	92 77.3%	96 60.0%	149 67.1%	99 38.4%	131 56.7%
h. Public surveys like this one are an important way for residents to communicate their opinions to county government.																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	50 5.1%	8 6.9%	6 3.0%	8 4.0%	9 4.0%	18 7.4%	5 7.1%	13 5.0%	9 5.2%	8 4.7%	3 7.0%	10 4.0%	9 7.6%	9 5.6%	17 7.7%	8 3.1%	7 3.0%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	853	102	190	180	187	192	63	224	138	148	38	226	94	141	174	233	211

(continued)

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
	86.2%	87.9%	94.5%	90.0%	83.1%	78.7%	90.0%	86.5%	79.8%	87.6%	88.4%	89.3%	79.0%	88.1%	78.4%	90.3%	91.3%
i. I am in favor of more government control of private land and land uses in order to preserve the rural nature of...																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	561 56.7%	60 51.7%	97 48.3%	108 54.0%	135 60.0%	159 65.2%	41 58.6%	142 54.8%	127 73.4%	94 55.6%	23 53.5%	121 47.8%	106 89.1%	126 78.8%	173 77.9%	52 20.2%	104 45.0%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	337 34.0%	45 38.8%	90 44.8%	74 37.0%	67 29.8%	60 24.6%	18 25.7%	103 39.8%	34 19.7%	51 30.2%	15 34.9%	109 43.1%	8 6.7%	26 16.3%	22 9.9%	177 68.6%	104 45.0%
j. Land owners should be compensated for 'lost income or value' when county government places restrictions on their...																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	283 28.6%	37 31.9%	62 30.8%	60 30.0%	62 27.6%	61 25.0%	26 37.1%	79 30.5%	38 22.0%	51 30.2%	14 32.6%	71 28.1%	10 8.4%	22 13.8%	33 14.9%	109 42.2%	109 47.2%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	554 56.0%	64 55.2%	109 54.2%	106 53.0%	129 57.3%	144 59.0%	34 48.6%	150 57.9%	114 65.9%	90 53.3%	23 53.5%	130 51.4%	99 83.2%	121 75.6%	151 68.0%	102 39.5%	81 35.1%
k. Additional growth in Boise County should be required to pay for itself through impact fees on developers.																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	73 7.4%	13 11.2%	11 5.5%	10 5.0%	23 10.2%	16 6.6%	4 5.7%	18 6.9%	12 6.9%	13 7.7%	4 9.3%	21 8.3%	26 21.8%	8 5.0%	20 9.0%	2 .8%	17 7.4%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	849 85.8%	93 80.2%	179 89.1%	176 88.0%	190 84.4%	208 85.2%	61 87.1%	222 85.7%	145 83.8%	144 85.2%	36 83.7%	221 87.4%	79 66.4%	144 90.0%	176 79.3%	246 95.3%	204 88.3%
l. Boise County should have multiple zones (i.e., agricultural, rural, business, and residential areas) and allow...																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	316 31.9%	24 20.7%	53 26.4%	62 31.0%	76 33.8%	99 40.6%	27 38.6%	74 28.6%	62 35.8%	54 32.0%	11 25.6%	80 31.6%	76 63.9%	82 51.3%	72 32.4%	35 13.6%	51 22.1%

(continued)

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
Top 2 Box (4,5)	529 53.4%	72 62.1%	120 59.7%	104 52.0%	123 54.7%	109 44.7%	29 41.4%	146 56.4%	87 50.3%	92 54.4%	24 55.8%	141 55.7%	26 21.8%	51 31.9%	104 46.8%	194 75.2%	154 66.7%
m. Given the opportunity, I would volunteer my time and effort to get more involved in county issues.																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	175 17.7%	14 12.1%	30 14.9%	38 19.0%	40 17.8%	53 21.7%	10 14.3%	47 18.1%	33 19.1%	35 20.7%	10 23.3%	35 13.8%	22 18.5%	28 17.5%	52 23.4%	42 16.3%	31 13.4%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	418 42.2%	75 64.7%	90 44.8%	78 39.0%	93 41.3%	82 33.6%	41 58.6%	97 37.5%	67 38.7%	65 38.5%	15 34.9%	125 49.4%	50 42.0%	63 39.4%	71 32.0%	113 43.8%	121 52.4%
n. Idaho State laws dictate very specific and complex actions that must be completed to legally implement impact fees...																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	187 18.9%	25 21.6%	19 9.5%	31 15.5%	52 23.1%	60 24.6%	15 21.4%	53 20.5%	37 21.4%	21 12.4%	13 30.2%	47 18.6%	60 50.4%	41 25.6%	53 23.9%	13 5.0%	20 8.7%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	538 54.3%	63 54.3%	127 63.2%	115 57.5%	119 52.9%	112 45.9%	36 51.4%	145 56.0%	77 44.5%	102 60.4%	18 41.9%	145 57.3%	29 24.4%	69 43.1%	97 43.7%	181 70.2%	162 70.1%
o. Boise County would be better off with fewer restrictions, regardless of the rate of growth and its impact..."																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	690 69.7%	85 73.3%	152 75.6%	150 75.0%	150 66.7%	151 61.9%	46 65.7%	182 70.3%	114 65.9%	120 71.0%	29 67.4%	185 73.1%	20 16.8%	80 50.0%	138 62.2%	238 92.2%	214 92.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	191 19.3%	25 21.6%	28 13.9%	31 15.5%	48 21.3%	58 23.8%	19 27.1%	49 18.9%	30 17.3%	32 18.9%	9 20.9%	46 18.2%	81 68.1%	51 31.9%	45 20.3%	8 3.1%	6 2.6%
p. Public safety information, which is presented to the Commissioners to obtain approval for property to be subdivided..																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	53 5.4%	9 7.8%	8 4.0%	3 1.5%	17 7.6%	15 6.1%	4 5.7%	17 6.6%	10 5.8%	4 2.4%	2 4.7%	14 5.5%	20 16.8%	7 4.4%	11 5.0%	9 3.5%	6 2.6%

(continued)

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
Top 2 Box (4,5)	870 87.9%	105 90.5%	185 92.0%	184 92.0%	186 82.7%	208 85.2%	61 87.1%	225 86.9%	150 86.7%	152 89.9%	41 95.3%	226 89.3%	87 73.1%	144 90.0%	186 83.8%	239 92.6%	214 92.6%
q. Because deer and elk migrate in the winter to the lower elevations the county should try to reserve private land for. Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	264 26.7%	30 25.9%	35 17.4%	44 22.0%	65 28.9%	88 36.1%	14 20.0%	72 27.8%	57 32.9%	40 23.7%	8 18.6%	68 26.9%	73 61.3%	39 24.4%	79 35.6%	23 8.9%	50 21.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	586 59.2%	74 63.8%	144 71.6%	121 60.5%	124 55.1%	122 50.0%	50 71.4%	152 58.7%	83 48.0%	103 60.9%	34 79.1%	151 59.7%	30 25.2%	94 58.8%	107 48.2%	211 81.8%	144 62.3%
r. Boise County should establish fees on real estate transactions. Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	424 42.8%	53 45.7%	81 40.3%	79 39.5%	101 44.9%	107 43.9%	34 48.6%	111 42.9%	81 46.8%	64 37.9%	14 32.6%	111 43.9%	93 78.2%	74 46.3%	131 59.0%	51 19.8%	75 32.5%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	326 32.9%	40 34.5%	78 38.8%	60 30.0%	60 26.7%	88 36.1%	15 21.4%	104 40.2%	54 31.2%	58 34.3%	12 27.9%	77 30.4%	12 10.1%	51 31.9%	39 17.6%	132 51.2%	92 39.8%
s. Despite the increased costs, Boise County notices should be published in The Idaho Statesman and distributed as... Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	437 44.1%	45 38.8%	65 32.3%	95 47.5%	109 48.4%	121 49.6%	46 65.7%	125 48.3%	74 42.8%	69 40.8%	22 51.2%	94 37.2%	73 61.3%	82 51.3%	98 44.1%	97 37.6%	87 37.7%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	375 37.9%	52 44.8%	101 50.2%	69 34.5%	71 31.6%	81 33.2%	14 20.0%	86 33.2%	65 37.6%	69 40.8%	17 39.5%	112 44.3%	33 27.7%	52 32.5%	82 36.9%	101 39.1%	107 46.3%
t. Boise County should require building inspections and increase building permit fees to cover the costs of admin... Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	355 35.9%	34 29.3%	59 29.4%	73 36.5%	73 32.4%	115 47.1%	29 41.4%	91 35.1%	75 43.4%	49 29.0%	13 30.2%	94 37.2%	101 84.9%	119 74.4%	79 35.6%	36 14.0%	20 8.7%

(continued)

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
Top 2 Box (4,5)	530 53.5%	72 62.1%	123 61.2%	103 51.5%	125 55.6%	105 43.0%	33 47.1%	143 55.2%	76 43.9%	107 63.3%	26 60.5%	130 51.4%	9 7.6%	27 16.9%	101 45.5%	192 74.4%	201 87.0%
u. I don't know enough about zoning options to make an informd decision about which type of zoning ordinance Boise Cnty.																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	349 35.3%	54 46.6%	68 33.8%	72 36.0%	76 33.8%	77 31.6%	25 35.7%	85 32.8%	67 38.7%	56 33.1%	12 27.9%	97 38.3%	70 58.8%	58 36.3%	49 22.1%	87 33.7%	85 36.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	388 39.2%	39 33.6%	93 46.3%	67 33.5%	92 40.9%	97 39.8%	26 37.1%	99 38.2%	65 37.6%	69 40.8%	26 60.5%	96 37.9%	21 17.6%	51 31.9%	117 52.7%	112 43.4%	87 37.7%
v. Property owners who allow junk to pile up on their property should be required to build a fence or plant vegetation.																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	153 15.5%	12 10.3%	17 8.5%	19 9.5%	44 19.6%	61 25.0%	15 21.4%	40 15.4%	41 23.7%	14 8.3%	6 14.0%	33 13.0%	55 46.2%	75 46.9%	10 4.5%	5 1.9%	8 3.5%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	734 74.1%	94 81.0%	167 83.1%	160 80.0%	159 70.7%	152 62.3%	49 70.0%	190 73.4%	115 66.5%	139 82.2%	36 83.7%	190 75.1%	36 30.3%	65 40.6%	196 88.3%	227 88.0%	210 90.9%
w. Boise County's zoning ordinance should discourage populuation growth.																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	442 44.6%	64 55.2%	103 51.2%	83 41.5%	106 47.1%	85 34.8%	28 40.0%	96 37.1%	77 44.5%	90 53.3%	19 44.2%	122 48.2%	88 73.9%	14 8.8%	111 50.0%	39 15.1%	190 82.3%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	379 38.3%	33 28.4%	61 30.3%	88 44.0%	84 37.3%	112 45.9%	31 44.3%	123 47.5%	60 34.7%	54 32.0%	15 34.9%	90 35.6%	13 10.9%	130 81.3%	48 21.6%	178 69.0%	10 4.3%
x. Mobile homes should be allowed only in specified areas, such as mobile home parks or other designated county areas.																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	411 41.5%	40 34.5%	73 36.3%	64 32.0%	91 40.4%	141 57.8%	33 47.1%	98 37.8%	103 59.5%	53 31.4%	19 44.2%	93 36.8%	94 79.0%	122 76.3%	101 45.5%	29 11.2%	65 28.1%

(continued)

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
Top 2 Box (4,5)	477 48.2%	69 59.5%	112 55.7%	113 56.5%	116 51.6%	67 27.5%	33 47.1%	140 54.1%	52 30.1%	95 56.2%	17 39.5%	130 51.4%	16 13.4%	31 19.4%	88 39.6%	197 76.4%	145 62.8%
y. The county should encourage the development of land that is already subdivided before approving new subdivisions.																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	192 19.4%	21 18.1%	28 13.9%	35 17.5%	44 19.6%	62 25.4%	16 22.9%	48 18.5%	36 20.8%	29 17.2%	8 18.6%	49 19.4%	72 60.5%	16 10.0%	39 17.6%	11 4.3%	54 23.4%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	663 67.0%	83 71.6%	146 72.6%	146 73.0%	144 64.0%	143 58.6%	47 67.1%	184 71.0%	113 65.3%	115 68.0%	30 69.8%	162 64.0%	32 26.9%	125 78.1%	150 67.6%	215 83.3%	141 61.0%
z. I choose to live here because there's lots of nature and few people, and I want it to stay that way.																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	100 10.1%	12 10.3%	14 7.0%	19 9.5%	24 10.7%	31 12.7%	6 8.6%	24 9.3%	26 15.0%	21 12.4%	3 7.0%	15 5.9%	30 25.2%		14 6.3%	1 .4%	55 23.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	747 75.5%	85 73.3%	163 81.1%	155 77.5%	162 72.0%	180 73.8%	53 75.7%	202 78.0%	124 71.7%	117 69.2%	35 81.4%	201 79.4%	60 50.4%	156 97.5%	167 75.2%	251 97.3%	113 48.9%
aa. Tourism and recreational use of Boise County should pay for itself through permit fees.																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	67 6.8%	12 10.3%	19 9.5%	8 4.0%	18 8.0%	10 4.1%	4 5.7%	13 5.0%	7 4.0%	21 12.4%	2 4.7%	19 7.5%	20 16.8%	9 5.6%	17 7.7%	10 3.9%	11 4.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	810 81.8%	93 80.2%	161 80.1%	172 86.0%	179 79.6%	203 83.2%	58 82.9%	224 86.5%	143 82.7%	130 76.9%	35 81.4%	202 79.8%	80 67.2%	140 87.5%	178 80.2%	222 86.0%	190 82.3%
bb. Boise County's zoning ordinance should encourage population growth.																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	572 57.8%	56 48.3%	109 54.2%	131 65.5%	123 54.7%	152 62.3%	38 54.3%	168 64.9%	95 54.9%	84 49.7%	26 60.5%	152 60.1%	39 32.8%	151 94.4%	103 46.4%	232 89.9%	47 20.3%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	204 20.6%	36 31.0%	45 22.4%	30 15.0%	53 23.6%	39 16.0%	18 25.7%	40 15.4%	33 19.1%	46 27.2%	11 25.6%	49 19.4%	38 31.9%	1 .6%	42 18.9%	4 1.6%	119 51.5%

(continued)

TABLE 13A: SUMMARY TABLE

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
cc. Property owners who allow junk to pile up on their property don't bother me; after all, one man's junk is another...																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	736 74.3%	99 85.3%	166 82.6%	156 78.0%	167 74.2%	146 59.8%	44 62.9%	194 74.9%	114 65.9%	135 79.9%	33 76.7%	200 79.1%	28 23.5%	51 31.9%	191 86.0%	240 93.0%	226 97.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	173 17.5%	15 12.9%	26 12.9%	30 15.0%	43 19.1%	59 24.2%	19 27.1%	44 17.0%	42 24.3%	22 13.0%	6 14.0%	36 14.2%	68 57.1%	85 53.1%	12 5.4%	7 2.7%	1 .4%
dd. Boise County government should be proactive in economic development and seek to attract new businesses and...																	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	327 33.0%	33 28.4%	63 31.3%	72 36.0%	70 31.1%	89 36.5%	23 32.9%	105 40.5%	48 27.7%	41 24.3%	15 34.9%	89 35.2%	16 13.4%	117 73.1%	33 14.9%	155 60.1%	6 2.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	489 49.4%	64 55.2%	105 52.2%	79 39.5%	123 54.7%	116 47.5%	37 52.9%	104 40.2%	94 54.3%	97 57.4%	19 44.2%	125 49.4%	86 72.3%	22 13.8%	138 62.2%	44 17.1%	199 86.1%

TABLE 13: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 a. Continued population growth is good for Boise County.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	234 23.6%	17 14.7%	38 18.9%	40 20.0%	49 21.8%	90 36.9%	21 30.0%	83 32.0%	39 22.5%	21 12.4%	12 27.9%	55 21.7%	5 4.2%	111 69.4%	23 10.4%	94 36.4%	1 .4%
Somewhat Disagree	257 26.0%	30 25.9%	48 23.9%	60 30.0%	58 25.8%	60 24.6%	16 22.9%	65 25.1%	50 28.9%	43 25.4%	10 23.3%	66 26.1%	20 16.8%	33 20.6%	69 31.1%	118 45.7%	17 7.4%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	149 15.1%	15 12.9%	31 15.4%	30 15.0%	36 16.0%	37 15.2%	8 11.4%	34 13.1%	32 18.5%	27 16.0%	5 11.6%	37 14.6%	23 19.3%	9 5.6%	55 24.8%	27 10.5%	35 15.2%
Somewhat Agree	261 26.4%	38 32.8%	62 30.8%	63 31.5%	57 25.3%	39 16.0%	19 27.1%	55 21.2%	42 24.3%	59 34.9%	12 27.9%	71 28.1%	50 42.0%	6 3.8%	59 26.6%	14 5.4%	132 57.1%
Strongly Agree	74 7.5%	14 12.1%	21 10.4%	6 3.0%	18 8.0%	15 6.1%	5 7.1%	17 6.6%	6 3.5%	19 11.2%	4 9.3%	19 7.5%	21 17.6%	1 .6%	8 3.6%	1 .4%	43 18.6%
NO RESPONSE	15 1.5%	2 1.7%	1 .5%	1 .5%	7 3.1%	3 1.2%	1 1.4%	5 1.9%	4 2.3%			5 2.0%			8 3.6%	4 1.6%	3 1.3%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	491 49.6%	47 40.5%	86 42.8%	100 50.0%	107 47.6%	150 61.5%	37 52.9%	148 57.1%	89 51.4%	64 37.9%	22 51.2%	121 47.8%	25 21.0%	144 90.0%	92 41.4%	212 82.2%	18 7.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	335 33.8%	52 44.8%	83 41.3%	69 34.5%	75 33.3%	54 22.1%	24 34.3%	72 27.8%	48 27.7%	78 46.2%	16 37.2%	90 35.6%	71 59.7%	7 4.4%	67 30.2%	15 5.8%	175 75.8%
Average rating	2.8	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.9	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.7	3.1	2.7	2.9	3.5	1.5	3.0	2.0	3.9

TABLE 14: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 b. Property owners should be able to do what they want with their property without regard to the impact on their neighbors' rights or property.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	490 49.5%	63 54.3%	123 61.2%	103 51.5%	116 51.6%	84 34.4%	35 50.0%	128 49.4%	61 35.3%	91 53.8%	21 48.8%	145 57.3%	10 8.4%	21 13.1%	96 43.2%	174 67.4%	189 81.8%
Somewhat Disagree	252 25.5%	29 25.0%	43 21.4%	54 27.0%	51 22.7%	74 30.3%	12 17.1%	69 26.6%	54 31.2%	49 29.0%	11 25.6%	53 20.9%	25 21.0%	49 30.6%	80 36.0%	62 24.0%	36 15.6%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	41 4.1%	2 1.7%	5 2.5%	6 3.0%	11 4.9%	17 7.0%	2 2.9%	13 5.0%	12 6.9%	4 2.4%		6 2.4%	9 7.6%	15 9.4%	11 5.0%	6 2.3%	
Somewhat Agree	134 13.5%	15 12.9%	21 10.4%	21 10.5%	33 14.7%	43 17.6%	10 14.3%	29 11.2%	29 16.8%	16 9.5%	8 18.6%	39 15.4%	52 43.7%	45 28.1%	24 10.8%	10 3.9%	3 1.3%
Strongly Agree	67 6.8%	7 6.0%	9 4.5%	15 7.5%	12 5.3%	24 9.8%	11 15.7%	20 7.7%	13 7.5%	9 5.3%	3 7.0%	9 3.6%	23 19.3%	29 18.1%	6 2.7%	6 2.3%	3 1.3%
NO RESPONSE	6 .6%			1 .5%	2 .9%	2 .8%			4 2.3%			1 .4%		1 .6%	5 2.3%		
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	742 74.9%	92 79.3%	166 82.6%	157 78.5%	167 74.2%	158 64.8%	47 67.1%	197 76.1%	115 66.5%	140 82.8%	32 74.4%	198 78.3%	35 29.4%	70 43.8%	176 79.3%	236 91.5%	225 97.4%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	201 20.3%	22 19.0%	30 14.9%	36 18.0%	45 20.0%	67 27.5%	21 30.0%	49 18.9%	42 24.3%	25 14.8%	11 25.6%	48 19.0%	75 63.0%	74 46.3%	30 13.5%	16 6.2%	6 2.6%
Average rating	2.1	1.9	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.4	2.3	2.0	2.4	1.8	2.1	1.9	3.4	3.1	2.1	1.5	1.2

TABLE 15: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 c. There is no reason to compensate private land owners for placing restrictions on the use of their land if those restrictions are supported by the majority of county residents.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	285 28.8%	29 25.0%	38 18.9%	66 33.0%	70 31.1%	81 33.2%	19 27.1%	77 29.7%	62 35.8%	42 24.9%	8 18.6%	72 28.5%	61 51.3%	81 50.6%	76 34.2%	36 14.0%	31 13.4%
Somewhat Disagree	200 20.2%	23 19.8%	35 17.4%	43 21.5%	52 23.1%	46 18.9%	16 22.9%	54 20.8%	41 23.7%	35 20.7%	5 11.6%	44 17.4%	20 16.8%	26 16.3%	54 24.3%	58 22.5%	42 18.2%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	120 12.1%	15 12.9%	35 17.4%	21 10.5%	25 11.1%	24 9.8%	5 7.1%	27 10.4%	23 13.3%	18 10.7%	5 11.6%	36 14.2%	8 6.7%	15 9.4%	29 13.1%	38 14.7%	30 13.0%
Somewhat Agree	209 21.1%	29 25.0%	51 25.4%	45 22.5%	36 16.0%	48 19.7%	21 30.0%	54 20.8%	20 11.6%	36 21.3%	9 20.9%	66 26.1%	10 8.4%	12 7.5%	43 19.4%	77 29.8%	67 29.0%
Strongly Agree	153 15.5%	19 16.4%	36 17.9%	24 12.0%	37 16.4%	36 14.8%	9 12.9%	42 16.2%	19 11.0%	34 20.1%	15 34.9%	30 11.9%	19 16.0%	22 13.8%	13 5.9%	44 17.1%	55 23.8%
NO RESPONSE	23 2.3%	1 .9%	6 3.0%	1 .5%	5 2.2%	9 3.7%		5 1.9%	8 4.6%	4 2.4%	1 2.3%	5 2.0%	1 .8%	4 2.5%	7 3.2%	5 1.9%	6 2.6%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	485 49.0%	52 44.8%	73 36.3%	109 54.5%	122 54.2%	127 52.0%	35 50.0%	131 50.6%	103 59.5%	77 45.6%	13 30.2%	116 45.8%	81 68.1%	107 66.9%	130 58.6%	94 36.4%	73 31.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	362 36.6%	48 41.4%	87 43.3%	69 34.5%	73 32.4%	84 34.4%	30 42.9%	96 37.1%	39 22.5%	70 41.4%	24 55.8%	96 37.9%	29 24.4%	34 21.3%	56 25.2%	121 46.9%	122 52.8%
Average rating	2.9	2.9	3.2	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.7	3.1	3.6	2.9	2.3	2.3	2.6	3.3	3.5

TABLE 16: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 d. To allow maximum freedom to residents, Boise County's Planning & Zoning Ordinance should just meet, and not exceed, the requirements of Idaho State law.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	113 11.4%	10 8.6%	30 14.9%	20 10.0%	26 11.6%	27 11.1%	10 14.3%	26 10.0%	20 11.6%	14 8.3%	8 18.6%	31 12.3%	2 1.7%	12 7.5%	7 3.2%	52 20.2%	40 17.3%
Somewhat Disagree	156 15.8%	21 18.1%	33 16.4%	29 14.5%	30 13.3%	43 17.6%	7 10.0%	43 16.6%	25 14.5%	29 17.2%	5 11.6%	44 17.4%	10 8.4%	5 3.1%	23 10.4%	55 21.3%	63 27.3%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	171 17.3%	29 25.0%	44 21.9%	37 18.5%	27 12.0%	34 13.9%	5 7.1%	46 17.8%	37 21.4%	27 16.0%	8 18.6%	44 17.4%	12 10.1%	24 15.0%	47 21.2%	50 19.4%	38 16.5%
Somewhat Agree	273 27.6%	38 32.8%	42 20.9%	60 30.0%	76 33.8%	57 23.4%	15 21.4%	66 25.5%	45 26.0%	60 35.5%	12 27.9%	69 27.3%	18 15.1%	33 20.6%	90 40.5%	66 25.6%	66 28.6%
Strongly Agree	258 26.1%	17 14.7%	50 24.9%	53 26.5%	60 26.7%	75 30.7%	32 45.7%	73 28.2%	38 22.0%	37 21.9%	10 23.3%	62 24.5%	76 63.9%	80 50.0%	49 22.1%	31 12.0%	22 9.5%
NO RESPONSE	19 1.9%	1 .9%	2 1.0%	1 .5%	6 2.7%	8 3.3%	1 1.4%	5 1.9%	8 4.6%	2 1.2%		3 1.2%	1 .8%	6 3.8%	6 2.7%	4 1.6%	2 .9%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	269 27.2%	31 26.7%	63 31.3%	49 24.5%	56 24.9%	70 28.7%	17 24.3%	69 26.6%	45 26.0%	43 25.4%	13 30.2%	75 29.6%	12 10.1%	17 10.6%	30 13.5%	107 41.5%	103 44.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	531 53.6%	55 47.4%	92 45.8%	113 56.5%	136 60.4%	132 54.1%	47 67.1%	139 53.7%	83 48.0%	97 57.4%	22 51.2%	131 51.8%	94 79.0%	113 70.6%	139 62.6%	97 37.6%	88 38.1%
Average rating	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.4	4.4	4.3	3.8	3.0	2.9

TABLE 17: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 e. The Boise County Commissioners should now stop asking for public input on Planning & Zoning and just adopt the plan they think is best.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	521 52.6%	64 55.2%	117 58.2%	101 50.5%	107 47.6%	131 53.7%	50 71.4%	128 49.4%	94 54.3%	77 45.6%	24 55.8%	137 54.2%	74 62.2%	127 79.4%	105 47.3%	122 47.3%	93 40.3%
Somewhat Disagree	174 17.6%	31 26.7%	32 15.9%	42 21.0%	37 16.4%	32 13.1%	7 10.0%	36 13.9%	29 16.8%	36 21.3%	11 25.6%	52 20.6%	15 12.6%	10 6.3%	47 21.2%	56 21.7%	46 19.9%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	102 10.3%	13 11.2%	22 10.9%	20 10.0%	21 9.3%	25 10.2%	4 5.7%	33 12.7%	16 9.2%	19 11.2%	3 7.0%	22 8.7%	11 9.2%	13 8.1%	32 14.4%	28 10.9%	18 7.8%
Somewhat Agree	115 11.6%	6 5.2%	22 10.9%	24 12.0%	29 12.9%	34 13.9%	7 10.0%	38 14.7%	22 12.7%	21 12.4%	3 7.0%	23 9.1%	9 7.6%	7 4.4%	23 10.4%	31 12.0%	45 19.5%
Strongly Agree	70 7.1%	2 1.7%	7 3.5%	12 6.0%	28 12.4%	20 8.2%	2 2.9%	22 8.5%	9 5.2%	16 9.5%	2 4.7%	16 6.3%	9 7.6%	3 1.9%	10 4.5%	20 7.8%	28 12.1%
NO RESPONSE	8 .8%		1 .5%	1 .5%	3 1.3%	2 .8%		2 .8%	3 1.7%			3 1.2%	1 .8%		5 2.3%	1 .4%	1 .4%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	695 70.2%	95 81.9%	149 74.1%	143 71.5%	144 64.0%	163 66.8%	57 81.4%	164 63.3%	123 71.1%	113 66.9%	35 81.4%	189 74.7%	89 74.8%	137 85.6%	152 68.5%	178 69.0%	139 60.2%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	185 18.7%	8 6.9%	29 14.4%	36 18.0%	57 25.3%	54 22.1%	9 12.9%	60 23.2%	31 17.9%	37 21.9%	5 11.6%	39 15.4%	18 15.1%	10 6.3%	33 14.9%	51 19.8%	73 31.6%
Average rating	2.1	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.1	1.6	2.2	2.1	2.2	1.8	2.0	1.9	1.4	2.2	2.1	2.5

TABLE 18: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 f. Boise County should have a building code that establishes at least minimum standards of construction and safety.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	95 9.6%	7 6.0%	14 7.0%	18 9.0%	20 8.9%	36 14.8%	13 18.6%	25 9.7%	21 12.1%	7 4.1%	8 18.6%	18 7.1%	26 21.8%	50 31.3%	11 5.0%	3 1.2%	5 2.2%
Somewhat Disagree	71 7.2%	7 6.0%	12 6.0%	11 5.5%	18 8.0%	22 9.0%	4 5.7%	16 6.2%	19 11.0%	5 3.0%	2 4.7%	24 9.5%	29 24.4%	28 17.5%	10 4.5%	3 1.2%	1 .4%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	39 3.9%	2 1.7%	8 4.0%	10 5.0%	7 3.1%	12 4.9%	1 1.4%	15 5.8%	6 3.5%	6 3.6%	2 4.7%	9 3.6%	10 8.4%	10 6.3%	9 4.1%	8 3.1%	2 .9%
Somewhat Agree	244 24.6%	28 24.1%	49 24.4%	51 25.5%	43 19.1%	72 29.5%	15 21.4%	64 24.7%	50 28.9%	45 26.6%	12 27.9%	49 19.4%	36 30.3%	45 28.1%	74 33.3%	57 22.1%	32 13.9%
Strongly Agree	533 53.8%	72 62.1%	117 58.2%	109 54.5%	135 60.0%	99 40.6%	37 52.9%	138 53.3%	74 42.8%	106 62.7%	19 44.2%	149 58.9%	17 14.3%	25 15.6%	115 51.8%	186 72.1%	190 82.3%
NO RESPONSE	8 .8%		1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .9%	3 1.2%		1 .4%	3 1.7%			4 1.6%	1 .8%	2 1.3%	3 1.4%	1 .4%	1 .4%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	166 16.8%	14 12.1%	26 12.9%	29 14.5%	38 16.9%	58 23.8%	17 24.3%	41 15.8%	40 23.1%	12 7.1%	10 23.3%	42 16.6%	55 46.2%	78 48.8%	21 9.5%	6 2.3%	6 2.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	777 78.5%	100 86.2%	166 82.6%	160 80.0%	178 79.1%	171 70.1%	52 74.3%	202 78.0%	124 71.7%	151 89.3%	31 72.1%	198 78.3%	53 44.5%	70 43.8%	189 85.1%	243 94.2%	222 96.1%
Average rating	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.9	4.4	3.7	4.2	3.0	2.9	4.3	4.7	4.8

TABLE 19: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 g. Boise County should have a single, multiple use zone which allows a mix of rural, business, and residential uses for the entire county (similar to Valley County).

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	124 12.5%	16 13.8%	35 17.4%	27 13.5%	20 8.9%	26 10.7%	8 11.4%	41 15.8%	19 11.0%	16 9.5%	6 14.0%	31 12.3%	6 5.0%	22 13.8%	8 3.6%	56 21.7%	32 13.9%
Somewhat Disagree	111 11.2%	25 21.6%	22 10.9%	19 9.5%	29 12.9%	15 6.1%	2 2.9%	29 11.2%	20 11.6%	17 10.1%	9 20.9%	31 12.3%	4 3.4%	7 4.4%	19 8.6%	54 20.9%	27 11.7%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	171 17.3%	24 20.7%	40 19.9%	40 20.0%	31 13.8%	36 14.8%	15 21.4%	37 14.3%	27 15.6%	39 23.1%	4 9.3%	43 17.0%	14 11.8%	29 18.1%	40 18.0%	48 18.6%	40 17.3%
Somewhat Agree	334 33.7%	34 29.3%	57 28.4%	71 35.5%	82 36.4%	89 36.5%	17 24.3%	81 31.3%	61 35.3%	62 36.7%	15 34.9%	89 35.2%	46 38.7%	48 30.0%	100 45.0%	64 24.8%	76 32.9%
Strongly Agree	233 23.5%	17 14.7%	47 23.4%	40 20.0%	58 25.8%	70 28.7%	26 37.1%	69 26.6%	42 24.3%	34 20.1%	9 20.9%	51 20.2%	46 38.7%	48 30.0%	49 22.1%	35 13.6%	55 23.8%
NO RESPONSE	17 1.7%			3 1.5%	5 2.2%	8 3.3%	2 2.9%	2 .8%	4 2.3%	1 .6%		8 3.2%	3 2.5%	6 3.8%	6 2.7%	1 .4%	1 .4%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	235 23.7%	41 35.3%	57 28.4%	46 23.0%	49 21.8%	41 16.8%	10 14.3%	70 27.0%	39 22.5%	33 19.5%	15 34.9%	62 24.5%	10 8.4%	29 18.1%	27 12.2%	110 42.6%	59 25.5%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	567 57.3%	51 44.0%	104 51.7%	111 55.5%	140 62.2%	159 65.2%	43 61.4%	150 57.9%	103 59.5%	96 56.8%	24 55.8%	140 55.3%	92 77.3%	96 60.0%	149 67.1%	99 38.4%	131 56.7%
Average rating	3.5	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.6	4.2	3.8	3.9	2.9	3.4

TABLE 20: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 h. Public surveys like this one are an important way for residents to communicate their opinions to county government.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	30 3.0%	5 4.3%	4 2.0%	7 3.5%	3 1.3%	10 4.1%	3 4.3%	5 1.9%	7 4.0%	6 3.6%	1 2.3%	7 2.8%	3 2.5%	6 3.8%	13 5.9%	5 1.9%	3 1.3%
Somewhat Disagree	20 2.0%	3 2.6%	2 1.0%	1 .5%	6 2.7%	8 3.3%	2 2.9%	8 3.1%	2 1.2%	2 1.2%	2 4.7%	3 1.2%	6 5.0%	3 1.9%	4 1.8%	3 1.2%	4 1.7%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	78 7.9%	6 5.2%	5 2.5%	10 5.0%	27 12.0%	30 12.3%	1 1.4%	21 8.1%	22 12.7%	11 6.5%	2 4.7%	16 6.3%	14 11.8%	9 5.6%	25 11.3%	17 6.6%	13 5.6%
Somewhat Agree	247 24.9%	24 20.7%	44 21.9%	56 28.0%	59 26.2%	64 26.2%	8 11.4%	63 24.3%	41 23.7%	50 29.6%	11 25.6%	67 26.5%	28 23.5%	34 21.3%	62 27.9%	68 26.4%	55 23.8%
Strongly Agree	606 61.2%	78 67.2%	146 72.6%	124 62.0%	128 56.9%	128 52.5%	55 78.6%	161 62.2%	97 56.1%	98 58.0%	27 62.8%	159 62.8%	66 55.5%	107 66.9%	112 50.5%	165 64.0%	156 67.5%
NO RESPONSE	9 .9%			2 1.0%	2 .9%	4 1.6%	1 1.4%	1 .4%	4 2.3%	2 1.2%		1 .4%	2 1.7%	1 .6%	6 2.7%		
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	50 5.1%	8 6.9%	6 3.0%	8 4.0%	9 4.0%	18 7.4%	5 7.1%	13 5.0%	9 5.2%	8 4.7%	3 7.0%	10 4.0%	9 7.6%	9 5.6%	17 7.7%	8 3.1%	7 3.0%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	853 86.2%	102 87.9%	190 94.5%	180 90.0%	187 83.1%	192 78.7%	63 90.0%	224 86.5%	138 79.8%	148 87.6%	38 88.4%	226 89.3%	94 79.0%	141 88.1%	174 78.4%	233 90.3%	211 91.3%
Average rating	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5

TABLE 21: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 i. I am in favor of more government control of private land and land uses in order to preserve the rural nature of Boise County.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	383 38.7%	37 31.9%	55 27.4%	70 35.0%	100 44.4%	119 48.8%	35 50.0%	99 38.2%	93 53.8%	53 31.4%	15 34.9%	81 32.0%	94 79.0%	107 66.9%	118 53.2%	31 12.0%	33 14.3%
Somewhat Disagree	178 18.0%	23 19.8%	42 20.9%	38 19.0%	35 15.6%	40 16.4%	6 8.6%	43 16.6%	34 19.7%	41 24.3%	8 18.6%	40 15.8%	12 10.1%	19 11.9%	55 24.8%	21 8.1%	71 30.7%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	82 8.3%	11 9.5%	14 7.0%	17 8.5%	18 8.0%	22 9.0%	9 12.9%	13 5.0%	10 5.8%	23 13.6%	5 11.6%	19 7.5%	5 4.2%	7 4.4%	20 9.0%	27 10.5%	23 10.0%
Somewhat Agree	232 23.4%	25 21.6%	60 29.9%	48 24.0%	51 22.7%	47 19.3%	13 18.6%	68 26.3%	22 12.7%	35 20.7%	10 23.3%	80 31.6%	4 3.4%	16 10.0%	20 9.0%	121 46.9%	71 30.7%
Strongly Agree	105 10.6%	20 17.2%	30 14.9%	26 13.0%	16 7.1%	13 5.3%	5 7.1%	35 13.5%	12 6.9%	16 9.5%	5 11.6%	29 11.5%	4 3.4%	10 6.3%	2 .9%	56 21.7%	33 14.3%
NO RESPONSE	10 1.0%			1 .5%	5 2.2%	3 1.2%	2 2.9%	1 .4%	2 1.2%	1 .6%		4 1.6%		1 .6%	7 3.2%	2 .8%	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	561 56.7%	60 51.7%	97 48.3%	108 54.0%	135 60.0%	159 65.2%	41 58.6%	142 54.8%	127 73.4%	94 55.6%	23 53.5%	121 47.8%	106 89.1%	126 78.8%	173 77.9%	52 20.2%	104 45.0%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	337 34.0%	45 38.8%	90 44.8%	74 37.0%	67 29.8%	60 24.6%	18 25.7%	103 39.8%	34 19.7%	51 30.2%	15 34.9%	109 43.1%	8 6.7%	26 16.3%	22 9.9%	177 68.6%	104 45.0%
Average rating	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.1	2.6	2.6	2.8	1.4	1.8	2.0	3.6	3.0

TABLE 22: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 j. Land owners should be compensated for 'lost income or value' when county government places restrictions on their property.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	112 11.3%	10 8.6%	24 11.9%	26 13.0%	28 12.4%	23 9.4%	6 8.6%	27 10.4%	12 6.9%	24 14.2%	8 18.6%	34 13.4%	5 4.2%	14 8.8%	12 5.4%	38 14.7%	43 18.6%
Somewhat Disagree	171 17.3%	27 23.3%	38 18.9%	34 17.0%	34 15.1%	38 15.6%	20 28.6%	52 20.1%	26 15.0%	27 16.0%	6 14.0%	37 14.6%	5 4.2%	8 5.0%	21 9.5%	71 27.5%	66 28.6%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	137 13.8%	15 12.9%	28 13.9%	29 14.5%	30 13.3%	35 14.3%	7 10.0%	27 10.4%	18 10.4%	26 15.4%	6 14.0%	47 18.6%	10 8.4%	15 9.4%	31 14.0%	43 16.7%	38 16.5%
Somewhat Agree	254 25.7%	34 29.3%	65 32.3%	45 22.5%	53 23.6%	56 23.0%	13 18.6%	66 25.5%	41 23.7%	47 27.8%	15 34.9%	65 25.7%	22 18.5%	30 18.8%	73 32.9%	69 26.7%	60 26.0%
Strongly Agree	300 30.3%	30 25.9%	44 21.9%	61 30.5%	76 33.8%	88 36.1%	21 30.0%	84 32.4%	73 42.2%	43 25.4%	8 18.6%	65 25.7%	77 64.7%	91 56.9%	78 35.1%	33 12.8%	21 9.1%
NO RESPONSE	16 1.6%		2 1.0%	5 2.5%	4 1.8%	4 1.6%	3 4.3%	3 1.2%	3 1.7%	2 1.2%		5 2.0%		2 1.3%	7 3.2%	4 1.6%	3 1.3%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	283 28.6%	37 31.9%	62 30.8%	60 30.0%	62 27.6%	61 25.0%	26 37.1%	79 30.5%	38 22.0%	51 30.2%	14 32.6%	71 28.1%	10 8.4%	22 13.8%	33 14.9%	109 42.2%	109 47.2%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	554 56.0%	64 55.2%	109 54.2%	106 53.0%	129 57.3%	144 59.0%	34 48.6%	150 57.9%	114 65.9%	90 53.3%	23 53.5%	130 51.4%	99 83.2%	121 75.6%	151 68.0%	102 39.5%	81 35.1%
Average rating	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.9	3.4	3.2	3.5	4.4	4.2	4.0	3.0	2.9

TABLE 23: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 k. Additional growth in Boise County should be required to pay for itself through impact fees on developers.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	33 3.3%	9 7.8%	4 2.0%	5 2.5%	10 4.4%	5 2.0%	3 4.3%	10 3.9%	6 3.5%	3 1.8%	1 2.3%	9 3.6%	12 10.1%	4 2.5%	9 4.1%	1 .4%	7 3.0%
Somewhat Disagree	40 4.0%	4 3.4%	7 3.5%	5 2.5%	13 5.8%	11 4.5%	1 1.4%	8 3.1%	6 3.5%	10 5.9%	3 7.0%	12 4.7%	14 11.8%	4 2.5%	11 5.0%	1 .4%	10 4.3%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	60 6.1%	10 8.6%	10 5.0%	14 7.0%	10 4.4%	16 6.6%	4 5.7%	17 6.6%	13 7.5%	12 7.1%	2 4.7%	10 4.0%	14 11.8%	8 5.0%	19 8.6%	9 3.5%	10 4.3%
Somewhat Agree	276 27.9%	32 27.6%	57 28.4%	51 25.5%	74 32.9%	61 25.0%	14 20.0%	68 26.3%	45 26.0%	55 32.5%	12 27.9%	74 29.2%	39 32.8%	21 13.1%	76 34.2%	52 20.2%	88 38.1%
Strongly Agree	573 57.9%	61 52.6%	122 60.7%	125 62.5%	116 51.6%	147 60.2%	47 67.1%	154 59.5%	100 57.8%	89 52.7%	24 55.8%	147 58.1%	40 33.6%	123 76.9%	100 45.0%	194 75.2%	116 50.2%
NO RESPONSE	8 .8%		1 .5%		2 .9%	4 1.6%	1 1.4%	2 .8%	3 1.7%		1 2.3%	1 .4%			7 3.2%	1 .4%	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	73 7.4%	13 11.2%	11 5.5%	10 5.0%	23 10.2%	16 6.6%	4 5.7%	18 6.9%	12 6.9%	13 7.7%	4 9.3%	21 8.3%	26 21.8%	8 5.0%	20 9.0%	2 .8%	17 7.4%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	849 85.8%	93 80.2%	179 89.1%	176 88.0%	190 84.4%	208 85.2%	61 87.1%	222 85.7%	145 83.8%	144 85.2%	36 83.7%	221 87.4%	79 66.4%	144 90.0%	176 79.3%	246 95.3%	204 88.3%
Average rating	4.4	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	3.7	4.6	4.3	4.7	4.3

TABLE 24: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 1. Boise County should have multiple zones (i.e., agricultural, rural, business, and residential areas) and allow different areas to be classified as different zones (similar to Ada and Gem Counties).

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	151 15.3%	8 6.9%	30 14.9%	26 13.0%	35 15.6%	50 20.5%	20 28.6%	43 16.6%	24 13.9%	24 14.2%	4 9.3%	33 13.0%	50 42.0%	46 28.8%	22 9.9%	18 7.0%	15 6.5%
Somewhat Disagree	165 16.7%	16 13.8%	23 11.4%	36 18.0%	41 18.2%	49 20.1%	7 10.0%	31 12.0%	38 22.0%	30 17.8%	7 16.3%	47 18.6%	26 21.8%	36 22.5%	50 22.5%	17 6.6%	36 15.6%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	129 13.0%	19 16.4%	28 13.9%	31 15.5%	21 9.3%	30 12.3%	12 17.1%	36 13.9%	19 11.0%	23 13.6%	8 18.6%	26 10.3%	14 11.8%	23 14.4%	39 17.6%	29 11.2%	24 10.4%
Somewhat Agree	298 30.1%	46 39.7%	63 31.3%	54 27.0%	72 32.0%	62 25.4%	17 24.3%	73 28.2%	54 31.2%	56 33.1%	12 27.9%	82 32.4%	17 14.3%	30 18.8%	72 32.4%	92 35.7%	87 37.7%
Strongly Agree	231 23.3%	26 22.4%	57 28.4%	50 25.0%	51 22.7%	47 19.3%	12 17.1%	73 28.2%	33 19.1%	36 21.3%	12 27.9%	59 23.3%	9 7.6%	21 13.1%	32 14.4%	102 39.5%	67 29.0%
NO RESPONSE	16 1.6%	1 .9%		3 1.5%	5 2.2%	6 2.5%	2 2.9%	3 1.2%	5 2.9%			6 2.4%	3 2.5%	4 2.5%	7 3.2%		2 .9%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	316 31.9%	24 20.7%	53 26.4%	62 31.0%	76 33.8%	99 40.6%	27 38.6%	74 28.6%	62 35.8%	54 32.0%	11 25.6%	80 31.6%	76 63.9%	82 51.3%	72 32.4%	35 13.6%	51 22.1%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	529 53.4%	72 62.1%	120 59.7%	104 52.0%	123 54.7%	109 44.7%	29 41.4%	146 56.4%	87 50.3%	92 54.4%	24 55.8%	141 55.7%	26 21.8%	51 31.9%	104 46.8%	194 75.2%	154 66.7%
Average rating	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.2	3.1	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.5	3.5	2.4	2.8	3.4	3.9	3.7

TABLE 25: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 m. Given the opportunity, I would volunteer my time and effort to get more involved in county issues.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	95 9.6%	5 4.3%	19 9.5%	11 5.5%	27 12.0%	33 13.5%	6 8.6%	27 10.4%	19 11.0%	16 9.5%	5 11.6%	19 7.5%	14 11.8%	13 8.1%	29 13.1%	22 8.5%	17 7.4%
Somewhat Disagree	80 8.1%	9 7.8%	11 5.5%	27 13.5%	13 5.8%	20 8.2%	4 5.7%	20 7.7%	14 8.1%	19 11.2%	5 11.6%	16 6.3%	8 6.7%	15 9.4%	23 10.4%	20 7.8%	14 6.1%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	361 36.5%	27 23.3%	75 37.3%	83 41.5%	85 37.8%	89 36.5%	17 24.3%	107 41.3%	61 35.3%	65 38.5%	17 39.5%	85 33.6%	43 36.1%	59 36.9%	89 40.1%	96 37.2%	74 32.0%
Somewhat Agree	296 29.9%	49 42.2%	71 35.3%	58 29.0%	63 28.0%	55 22.5%	27 38.6%	70 27.0%	46 26.6%	47 27.8%	11 25.6%	90 35.6%	30 25.2%	38 23.8%	56 25.2%	82 31.8%	90 39.0%
Strongly Agree	122 12.3%	26 22.4%	19 9.5%	20 10.0%	30 13.3%	27 11.1%	14 20.0%	27 10.4%	21 12.1%	18 10.7%	4 9.3%	35 13.8%	20 16.8%	25 15.6%	15 6.8%	31 12.0%	31 13.4%
NO RESPONSE	36 3.6%		6 3.0%	1 .5%	7 3.1%	20 8.2%	2 2.9%	8 3.1%	12 6.9%	4 2.4%	1 2.3%	8 3.2%	4 3.4%	10 6.3%	10 4.5%	7 2.7%	5 2.2%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	175 17.7%	14 12.1%	30 14.9%	38 19.0%	40 17.8%	53 21.7%	10 14.3%	47 18.1%	33 19.1%	35 20.7%	10 23.3%	35 13.8%	22 18.5%	28 17.5%	52 23.4%	42 16.3%	31 13.4%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	418 42.2%	75 64.7%	90 44.8%	78 39.0%	93 41.3%	82 33.6%	41 58.6%	97 37.5%	67 38.7%	65 38.5%	15 34.9%	125 49.4%	50 42.0%	63 39.4%	71 32.0%	113 43.8%	121 52.4%
Average rating	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.3	3.4	3.6	3.7	3.4	3.6	3.3	3.2	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.3	3.5	3.6

TABLE 26: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 n. Idaho State laws dictate very specific and complex actions that must be completed to legally implement impact fees; the County should initiate and fund those actions immediately.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	96 9.7%	13 11.2%	12 6.0%	13 6.5%	29 12.9%	29 11.9%	12 17.1%	28 10.8%	13 7.5%	7 4.1%	8 18.6%	27 10.7%	35 29.4%	22 13.8%	24 10.8%	5 1.9%	10 4.3%
Somewhat Disagree	91 9.2%	12 10.3%	7 3.5%	18 9.0%	23 10.2%	31 12.7%	3 4.3%	25 9.7%	24 13.9%	14 8.3%	5 11.6%	20 7.9%	25 21.0%	19 11.9%	29 13.1%	8 3.1%	10 4.3%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	245 24.7%	27 23.3%	54 26.9%	48 24.0%	49 21.8%	66 27.0%	17 24.3%	57 22.0%	54 31.2%	40 23.7%	11 25.6%	59 23.3%	28 23.5%	46 28.8%	64 28.8%	60 23.3%	47 20.3%
Somewhat Agree	286 28.9%	33 28.4%	65 32.3%	55 27.5%	79 35.1%	53 21.7%	19 27.1%	66 25.5%	48 27.7%	58 34.3%	10 23.3%	79 31.2%	19 16.0%	20 12.5%	65 29.3%	90 34.9%	92 39.8%
Strongly Agree	252 25.5%	30 25.9%	62 30.8%	60 30.0%	40 17.8%	59 24.2%	17 24.3%	79 30.5%	29 16.8%	44 26.0%	8 18.6%	66 26.1%	10 8.4%	49 30.6%	32 14.4%	91 35.3%	70 30.3%
NO RESPONSE	20 2.0%	1 .9%	1 .5%	6 3.0%	5 2.2%	6 2.5%	2 2.9%	4 1.5%	5 2.9%	6 3.6%	1 2.3%	2 .8%	2 1.7%	4 2.5%	8 3.6%	4 1.6%	2 .9%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	187 18.9%	25 21.6%	19 9.5%	31 15.5%	52 23.1%	60 24.6%	15 21.4%	53 20.5%	37 21.4%	21 12.4%	13 30.2%	47 18.6%	60 50.4%	41 25.6%	53 23.9%	13 5.0%	20 8.7%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	538 54.3%	63 54.3%	127 63.2%	115 57.5%	119 52.9%	112 45.9%	36 51.4%	145 56.0%	77 44.5%	102 60.4%	18 41.9%	145 57.3%	29 24.4%	69 43.1%	97 43.7%	181 70.2%	162 70.1%
Average rating	3.6	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.9	3.3	3.6	2.6	3.5	3.5	4.1	3.9

TABLE 27: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 o. Boise County would be better off with fewer restrictions, regardless of the rate of growth and its impact on the county.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	429 43.3%	54 46.6%	101 50.2%	98 49.0%	92 40.9%	83 34.0%	33 47.1%	121 46.7%	64 37.0%	75 44.4%	13 30.2%	116 45.8%	3 2.5%	40 25.0%	51 23.0%	180 69.8%	155 67.1%
Somewhat Disagree	261 26.4%	31 26.7%	51 25.4%	52 26.0%	58 25.8%	68 27.9%	13 18.6%	61 23.6%	50 28.9%	45 26.6%	16 37.2%	69 27.3%	17 14.3%	40 25.0%	87 39.2%	58 22.5%	59 25.5%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	98 9.9%	6 5.2%	19 9.5%	19 9.5%	25 11.1%	29 11.9%	4 5.7%	26 10.0%	24 13.9%	16 9.5%	4 9.3%	21 8.3%	18 15.1%	25 15.6%	34 15.3%	12 4.7%	9 3.9%
Somewhat Agree	106 10.7%	15 12.9%	18 9.0%	16 8.0%	31 13.8%	26 10.7%	8 11.4%	27 10.4%	18 10.4%	22 13.0%	3 7.0%	25 9.9%	33 27.7%	33 20.6%	31 14.0%	4 1.6%	5 2.2%
Strongly Agree	85 8.6%	10 8.6%	10 5.0%	15 7.5%	17 7.6%	32 13.1%	11 15.7%	22 8.5%	12 6.9%	10 5.9%	6 14.0%	21 8.3%	48 40.3%	18 11.3%	14 6.3%	4 1.6%	1 .4%
NO RESPONSE	11 1.1%		2 1.0%		2 .9%	6 2.5%	1 1.4%	2 .8%	5 2.9%	1 .6%	1 2.3%	1 .4%		4 2.5%	5 2.3%		2 .9%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	690 69.7%	85 73.3%	152 75.6%	150 75.0%	150 66.7%	151 61.9%	46 65.7%	182 70.3%	114 65.9%	120 71.0%	29 67.4%	185 73.1%	20 16.8%	80 50.0%	138 62.2%	238 92.2%	214 92.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	191 19.3%	25 21.6%	28 13.9%	31 15.5%	48 21.3%	58 23.8%	19 27.1%	49 18.9%	30 17.3%	32 18.9%	9 20.9%	46 18.2%	81 68.1%	51 31.9%	45 20.3%	8 3.1%	6 2.6%
Average rating	2.2	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.5	2.1	3.9	2.8	2.5	1.4	1.5

TABLE 28: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 p. Public safety information, which is presented to the Commissioners to obtain approval for property to be subdivided (i.e., potential for wildfires, road conditions and limitations, potential wildlife depredation, etc.), should be recorded on public documents so that buyers will be aware of such conditions.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	31 3.1%	5 4.3%	3 1.5%	3 1.5%	9 4.0%	10 4.1%	3 4.3%	12 4.6%	5 2.9%	2 1.2%	1 2.3%	7 2.8%	8 6.7%	4 2.5%	9 4.1%	7 2.7%	3 1.3%
Somewhat Disagree	22 2.2%	4 3.4%	5 2.5%		8 3.6%	5 2.0%	1 1.4%	5 1.9%	5 2.9%	2 1.2%	1 2.3%	7 2.8%	12 10.1%	3 1.9%	2 .9%	2 .8%	3 1.3%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	53 5.4%	2 1.7%	6 3.0%	11 5.5%	19 8.4%	15 6.1%	4 5.7%	14 5.4%	9 5.2%	10 5.9%		11 4.3%	10 8.4%	6 3.8%	19 8.6%	9 3.5%	9 3.9%
Somewhat Agree	266 26.9%	26 22.4%	47 23.4%	55 27.5%	56 24.9%	82 33.6%	17 24.3%	75 29.0%	52 30.1%	45 26.6%	13 30.2%	60 23.7%	35 29.4%	39 24.4%	85 38.3%	57 22.1%	50 21.6%
Strongly Agree	604 61.0%	79 68.1%	138 68.7%	129 64.5%	130 57.8%	126 51.6%	44 62.9%	150 57.9%	98 56.6%	107 63.3%	28 65.1%	166 65.6%	52 43.7%	105 65.6%	101 45.5%	182 70.5%	164 71.0%
NO RESPONSE	14 1.4%		2 1.0%	2 1.0%	3 1.3%	6 2.5%	1 1.4%	3 1.2%	4 2.3%	3 1.8%		2 .8%	2 1.7%	3 1.9%	6 2.7%	1 .4%	2 .9%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	53 5.4%	9 7.8%	8 4.0%	3 1.5%	17 7.6%	15 6.1%	4 5.7%	17 6.6%	10 5.8%	4 2.4%	2 4.7%	14 5.5%	20 16.8%	7 4.4%	11 5.0%	9 3.5%	6 2.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	870 87.9%	105 90.5%	185 92.0%	184 92.0%	186 82.7%	208 85.2%	61 87.1%	225 86.9%	150 86.7%	152 89.9%	41 95.3%	226 89.3%	87 73.1%	144 90.0%	186 83.8%	239 92.6%	214 92.6%
Average rating	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.6

TABLE 29: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 q. Because deer and elk migrate in the winter to the lower elevations (where most of the County's private land is located), the county should try to reserve private land for deer and elk feeding areas.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	139 14.0%	12 10.3%	14 7.0%	19 9.5%	42 18.7%	50 20.5%	11 15.7%	37 14.3%	27 15.6%	21 12.4%	4 9.3%	36 14.2%	51 42.9%	24 15.0%	35 15.8%	9 3.5%	20 8.7%
Somewhat Disagree	125 12.6%	18 15.5%	21 10.4%	25 12.5%	23 10.2%	38 15.6%	3 4.3%	35 13.5%	30 17.3%	19 11.2%	4 9.3%	32 12.6%	22 18.5%	15 9.4%	44 19.8%	14 5.4%	30 13.0%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	127 12.8%	12 10.3%	21 10.4%	34 17.0%	33 14.7%	27 11.1%	5 7.1%	30 11.6%	27 15.6%	26 15.4%	1 2.3%	33 13.0%	15 12.6%	25 15.6%	30 13.5%	21 8.1%	36 15.6%
Somewhat Agree	266 26.9%	21 18.1%	69 34.3%	51 25.5%	60 26.7%	65 26.6%	20 28.6%	65 25.1%	42 24.3%	47 27.8%	17 39.5%	68 26.9%	16 13.4%	37 23.1%	72 32.4%	72 27.9%	69 29.9%
Strongly Agree	320 32.3%	53 45.7%	75 37.3%	70 35.0%	64 28.4%	57 23.4%	30 42.9%	87 33.6%	41 23.7%	56 33.1%	17 39.5%	83 32.8%	14 11.8%	57 35.6%	35 15.8%	139 53.9%	75 32.5%
NO RESPONSE	13 1.3%		1 .5%	1 .5%	3 1.3%	7 2.9%	1 1.4%	5 1.9%	6 3.5%			1 .4%	1 .8%	2 1.3%	6 2.7%	3 1.2%	1 .4%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	264 26.7%	30 25.9%	35 17.4%	44 22.0%	65 28.9%	88 36.1%	14 20.0%	72 27.8%	57 32.9%	40 23.7%	8 18.6%	68 26.9%	73 61.3%	39 24.4%	79 35.6%	23 8.9%	50 21.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	586 59.2%	74 63.8%	144 71.6%	121 60.5%	124 55.1%	122 50.0%	50 71.4%	152 58.7%	83 48.0%	103 60.9%	34 79.1%	151 59.7%	30 25.2%	94 58.8%	107 48.2%	211 81.8%	144 62.3%
Average rating	3.6	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.4	3.3	3.9	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.9	3.5	2.4	3.6	3.3	4.3	3.7

TABLE 30: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 r. Boise County should establish fees on real estate transactions.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	303 30.6%	37 31.9%	49 24.4%	58 29.0%	76 33.8%	81 33.2%	30 42.9%	81 31.3%	47 27.2%	47 27.8%	8 18.6%	82 32.4%	79 66.4%	62 38.8%	89 40.1%	31 12.0%	42 18.2%
Somewhat Disagree	121 12.2%	16 13.8%	32 15.9%	21 10.5%	25 11.1%	26 10.7%	4 5.7%	30 11.6%	34 19.7%	17 10.1%	6 14.0%	29 11.5%	14 11.8%	12 7.5%	42 18.9%	20 7.8%	33 14.3%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	219 22.1%	23 19.8%	41 20.4%	58 29.0%	57 25.3%	40 16.4%	19 27.1%	40 15.4%	30 17.3%	46 27.2%	16 37.2%	60 23.7%	13 10.9%	32 20.0%	44 19.8%	72 27.9%	58 25.1%
Somewhat Agree	200 20.2%	27 23.3%	51 25.4%	38 19.0%	37 16.4%	47 19.3%	9 12.9%	59 22.8%	32 18.5%	38 22.5%	6 14.0%	52 20.6%	6 5.0%	27 16.9%	27 12.2%	72 27.9%	68 29.4%
Strongly Agree	126 12.7%	13 11.2%	27 13.4%	22 11.0%	23 10.2%	41 16.8%	6 8.6%	45 17.4%	22 12.7%	20 11.8%	6 14.0%	25 9.9%	6 5.0%	24 15.0%	12 5.4%	60 23.3%	24 10.4%
NO RESPONSE	21 2.1%		1 .5%	3 1.5%	7 3.1%	9 3.7%	2 2.9%	4 1.5%	8 4.6%	1 .6%	1 2.3%	5 2.0%	1 .8%	3 1.9%	8 3.6%	3 1.2%	6 2.6%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	424 42.8%	53 45.7%	81 40.3%	79 39.5%	101 44.9%	107 43.9%	34 48.6%	111 42.9%	81 46.8%	64 37.9%	14 32.6%	111 43.9%	93 78.2%	74 46.3%	131 59.0%	51 19.8%	75 32.5%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	326 32.9%	40 34.5%	78 38.8%	60 30.0%	60 26.7%	88 36.1%	15 21.4%	104 40.2%	54 31.2%	58 34.3%	12 27.9%	77 30.4%	12 10.1%	51 31.9%	39 17.6%	132 51.2%	92 39.8%
Average rating	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.6	2.9	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.8	1.8	2.7	2.5	3.5	3.2

TABLE 31: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 s. Despite the increased costs, Boise County notices should be published in The Idaho Statesman and distributed as public service announcements to television and radio stations in Treasure Valley.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	234 23.6%	22 19.0%	35 17.4%	53 26.5%	54 24.0%	69 28.3%	29 41.4%	69 26.6%	40 23.1%	37 21.9%	10 23.3%	47 18.6%	44 37.0%	49 30.6%	55 24.8%	47 18.2%	39 16.9%
Somewhat Disagree	203 20.5%	23 19.8%	30 14.9%	42 21.0%	55 24.4%	52 21.3%	17 24.3%	56 21.6%	34 19.7%	32 18.9%	12 27.9%	47 18.6%	29 24.4%	33 20.6%	43 19.4%	50 19.4%	48 20.8%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	165 16.7%	19 16.4%	34 16.9%	35 17.5%	42 18.7%	35 14.3%	9 12.9%	45 17.4%	30 17.3%	29 17.2%	4 9.3%	44 17.4%	11 9.2%	25 15.6%	35 15.8%	57 22.1%	37 16.0%
Somewhat Agree	225 22.7%	26 22.4%	67 33.3%	36 18.0%	46 20.4%	50 20.5%	4 5.7%	60 23.2%	44 25.4%	40 23.7%	8 18.6%	61 24.1%	26 21.8%	28 17.5%	53 23.9%	57 22.1%	61 26.4%
Strongly Agree	150 15.2%	26 22.4%	34 16.9%	33 16.5%	25 11.1%	31 12.7%	10 14.3%	26 10.0%	21 12.1%	29 17.2%	9 20.9%	51 20.2%	7 5.9%	24 15.0%	29 13.1%	44 17.1%	46 19.9%
NO RESPONSE	13 1.3%		1 .5%	1 .5%	3 1.3%	7 2.9%	1 1.4%	3 1.2%	4 2.3%	2 1.2%		3 1.2%	2 1.7%	1 .6%	7 3.2%	3 1.2%	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	437 44.1%	45 38.8%	65 32.3%	95 47.5%	109 48.4%	121 49.6%	46 65.7%	125 48.3%	74 42.8%	69 40.8%	22 51.2%	94 37.2%	73 61.3%	82 51.3%	98 44.1%	97 37.6%	87 37.7%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	375 37.9%	52 44.8%	101 50.2%	69 34.5%	71 31.6%	81 33.2%	14 20.0%	86 33.2%	65 37.6%	69 40.8%	17 39.5%	112 44.3%	33 27.7%	52 32.5%	82 36.9%	101 39.1%	107 46.3%
Average rating	2.9	3.1	3.2	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.4	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.2	2.5	2.7	3.0	3.1	3.1

TABLE 32: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
t. Boise County should require building inspections and increase building permit fees to cover the costs of administering the program.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	212 21.4%	15 12.9%	34 16.9%	42 21.0%	44 19.6%	76 31.1%	22 31.4%	57 22.0%	42 24.3%	27 16.0%	10 23.3%	50 19.8%	69 58.0%	93 58.1%	35 15.8%	10 3.9%	5 2.2%
Somewhat Disagree	143 14.4%	19 16.4%	25 12.4%	31 15.5%	29 12.9%	39 16.0%	7 10.0%	34 13.1%	33 19.1%	22 13.0%	3 7.0%	44 17.4%	32 26.9%	26 16.3%	44 19.8%	26 10.1%	15 6.5%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	95 9.6%	9 7.8%	19 9.5%	24 12.0%	24 10.7%	19 7.8%	6 8.6%	23 8.9%	17 9.8%	13 7.7%	4 9.3%	28 11.1%	8 6.7%	13 8.1%	36 16.2%	28 10.9%	10 4.3%
Somewhat Agree	282 28.5%	42 36.2%	67 33.3%	51 25.5%	59 26.2%	62 25.4%	16 22.9%	71 27.4%	46 26.6%	61 36.1%	16 37.2%	64 25.3%	9 7.6%	18 11.3%	72 32.4%	90 34.9%	93 40.3%
Strongly Agree	248 25.1%	30 25.9%	56 27.9%	52 26.0%	66 29.3%	43 17.6%	17 24.3%	72 27.8%	30 17.3%	46 27.2%	10 23.3%	66 26.1%		9 5.6%	29 13.1%	102 39.5%	108 46.8%
NO RESPONSE	10 1.0%	1 .9%			3 1.3%	5 2.0%	2 2.9%	2 .8%	5 2.9%			1 .4%	1 .8%	1 .6%	6 2.7%	2 .8%	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	355 35.9%	34 29.3%	59 29.4%	73 36.5%	73 32.4%	115 47.1%	29 41.4%	91 35.1%	75 43.4%	49 29.0%	13 30.2%	94 37.2%	101 84.9%	119 74.4%	79 35.6%	36 14.0%	20 8.7%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	530 53.5%	72 62.1%	123 61.2%	103 51.5%	125 55.6%	105 43.0%	33 47.1%	143 55.2%	76 43.9%	107 63.3%	26 60.5%	130 51.4%	9 7.6%	27 16.9%	101 45.5%	192 74.4%	201 87.0%
Average rating	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.2	3.4	2.9	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.5	3.3	3.2	1.7	1.9	3.2	4.0	4.2

TABLE 33: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 u. I don't know enough about zoning options to make an informed decision about which type of zoning ordinance Boise County should implement.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	173 17.5%	29 25.0%	30 14.9%	33 16.5%	46 20.4%	34 13.9%	15 21.4%	47 18.1%	25 14.5%	32 18.9%	5 11.6%	47 18.6%	42 35.3%	34 21.3%	19 8.6%	42 16.3%	36 15.6%
Somewhat Disagree	176 17.8%	25 21.6%	38 18.9%	39 19.5%	30 13.3%	43 17.6%	10 14.3%	38 14.7%	42 24.3%	24 14.2%	7 16.3%	50 19.8%	28 23.5%	24 15.0%	30 13.5%	45 17.4%	49 21.2%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	225 22.7%	20 17.2%	38 18.9%	57 28.5%	52 23.1%	58 23.8%	18 25.7%	64 24.7%	36 20.8%	37 21.9%	5 11.6%	57 22.5%	25 21.0%	44 27.5%	48 21.6%	55 21.3%	53 22.9%
Somewhat Agree	262 26.5%	27 23.3%	57 28.4%	50 25.0%	58 25.8%	70 28.7%	15 21.4%	65 25.1%	49 28.3%	49 29.0%	13 30.2%	66 26.1%	16 13.4%	25 15.6%	79 35.6%	79 30.6%	63 27.3%
Strongly Agree	126 12.7%	12 10.3%	36 17.9%	17 8.5%	34 15.1%	27 11.1%	11 15.7%	34 13.1%	16 9.2%	20 11.8%	13 30.2%	30 11.9%	5 4.2%	26 16.3%	38 17.1%	33 12.8%	24 10.4%
NO RESPONSE	28 2.8%	3 2.6%	2 1.0%	4 2.0%	5 2.2%	12 4.9%	1 1.4%	11 4.2%	5 2.9%	7 4.1%		3 1.2%	3 2.5%	7 4.4%	8 3.6%	4 1.6%	6 2.6%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	349 35.3%	54 46.6%	68 33.8%	72 36.0%	76 33.8%	77 31.6%	25 35.7%	85 32.8%	67 38.7%	56 33.1%	12 27.9%	97 38.3%	70 58.8%	58 36.3%	49 22.1%	87 33.7%	85 36.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	388 39.2%	39 33.6%	93 46.3%	67 33.5%	92 40.9%	97 39.8%	26 37.1%	99 38.2%	65 37.6%	69 40.8%	26 60.5%	96 37.9%	21 17.6%	51 31.9%	117 52.7%	112 43.4%	87 37.7%
Average rating	3.2	2.9	3.2	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.0	2.4	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.1

TABLE 34: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 v. Property owners who allow junk to pile up on their property should be required to build a fence or plant vegetation so that neighbors won't see it.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	86 8.7%	9 7.8%	9 4.5%	10 5.0%	23 10.2%	35 14.3%	12 17.1%	25 9.7%	17 9.8%	10 5.9%	5 11.6%	17 6.7%	31 26.1%	46 28.8%	2 .9%	2 .8%	5 2.2%
Somewhat Disagree	67 6.8%	3 2.6%	8 4.0%	9 4.5%	21 9.3%	26 10.7%	3 4.3%	15 5.8%	24 13.9%	4 2.4%	1 2.3%	16 6.3%	24 20.2%	29 18.1%	8 3.6%	3 1.2%	3 1.3%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	85 8.6%	9 7.8%	16 8.0%	15 7.5%	19 8.4%	25 10.2%	4 5.7%	26 10.0%	12 6.9%	14 8.3%	1 2.3%	25 9.9%	28 23.5%	18 11.3%	10 4.5%	19 7.4%	10 4.3%
Somewhat Agree	218 22.0%	27 23.3%	39 19.4%	39 19.5%	49 21.8%	63 25.8%	9 12.9%	61 23.6%	45 26.0%	43 25.4%	9 20.9%	48 19.0%	23 19.3%	28 17.5%	76 34.2%	50 19.4%	41 17.7%
Strongly Agree	516 52.1%	67 57.8%	128 63.7%	121 60.5%	110 48.9%	89 36.5%	40 57.1%	129 49.8%	70 40.5%	96 56.8%	27 62.8%	142 56.1%	13 10.9%	37 23.1%	120 54.1%	177 68.6%	169 73.2%
NO RESPONSE	18 1.8%	1 .9%	1 .5%	6 3.0%	3 1.3%	6 2.5%	2 2.9%	3 1.2%	5 2.9%	2 1.2%		5 2.0%		2 1.3%	6 2.7%	7 2.7%	3 1.3%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	153 15.5%	12 10.3%	17 8.5%	19 9.5%	44 19.6%	61 25.0%	15 21.4%	40 15.4%	41 23.7%	14 8.3%	6 14.0%	33 13.0%	55 46.2%	75 46.9%	10 4.5%	5 1.9%	8 3.5%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	734 74.1%	94 81.0%	167 83.1%	160 80.0%	159 70.7%	152 62.3%	49 70.0%	190 73.4%	115 66.5%	139 82.2%	36 83.7%	190 75.1%	36 30.3%	65 40.6%	196 88.3%	227 88.0%	210 90.9%
Average rating	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.0	3.7	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.3	4.2	4.2	2.7	3.0	4.5	4.7	4.7

TABLE 35: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
w. Boise County's zoning ordinance should discourage population growth.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	191 19.3%	22 19.0%	40 19.9%	28 14.0%	50 22.2%	50 20.5%	15 21.4%	44 17.0%	32 18.5%	43 25.4%	7 16.3%	45 17.8%	54 45.4%	3 1.9%	33 14.9%	5 1.9%	96 41.6%
Somewhat Disagree	251 25.4%	42 36.2%	63 31.3%	55 27.5%	56 24.9%	35 14.3%	13 18.6%	52 20.1%	45 26.0%	47 27.8%	12 27.9%	77 30.4%	34 28.6%	11 6.9%	78 35.1%	34 13.2%	94 40.7%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	154 15.6%	19 16.4%	37 18.4%	24 12.0%	32 14.2%	41 16.8%	10 14.3%	36 13.9%	32 18.5%	24 14.2%	9 20.9%	36 14.2%	16 13.4%	16 10.0%	54 24.3%	38 14.7%	30 13.0%
Somewhat Agree	183 18.5%	17 14.7%	28 13.9%	38 19.0%	49 21.8%	50 20.5%	12 17.1%	54 20.8%	30 17.3%	30 17.8%	4 9.3%	49 19.4%	9 7.6%	32 20.0%	39 17.6%	94 36.4%	9 3.9%
Strongly Agree	196 19.8%	16 13.8%	33 16.4%	50 25.0%	35 15.6%	62 25.4%	19 27.1%	69 26.6%	30 17.3%	24 14.2%	11 25.6%	41 16.2%	4 3.4%	98 61.3%	9 4.1%	84 32.6%	1 .4%
NO RESPONSE	15 1.5%			5 2.5%	3 1.3%	6 2.5%	1 1.4%	4 1.5%	4 2.3%	1 .6%		5 2.0%	2 1.7%		9 4.1%	3 1.2%	1 .4%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	442 44.6%	64 55.2%	103 51.2%	83 41.5%	106 47.1%	85 34.8%	28 40.0%	96 37.1%	77 44.5%	90 53.3%	19 44.2%	122 48.2%	88 73.9%	14 8.8%	111 50.0%	39 15.1%	190 82.3%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	379 38.3%	33 28.4%	61 30.3%	88 44.0%	84 37.3%	112 45.9%	31 44.3%	123 47.5%	60 34.7%	54 32.0%	15 34.9%	90 35.6%	13 10.9%	130 81.3%	48 21.6%	178 69.0%	10 4.3%
Average rating	3.0	2.7	2.8	3.3	2.9	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	2.1	4.3	2.9	3.9	1.8

TABLE 36: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 x. Mobile homes should be allowed only in specified areas, such as mobile home parks or other designated county areas.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	262 26.5%	23 19.8%	44 21.9%	44 22.0%	49 21.8%	100 41.0%	27 38.6%	58 22.4%	67 38.7%	35 20.7%	9 20.9%	58 22.9%	70 58.8%	99 61.9%	51 23.0%	15 5.8%	27 11.7%
Somewhat Disagree	149 15.1%	17 14.7%	29 14.4%	20 10.0%	42 18.7%	41 16.8%	6 8.6%	40 15.4%	36 20.8%	18 10.7%	10 23.3%	35 13.8%	24 20.2%	23 14.4%	50 22.5%	14 5.4%	38 16.5%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	95 9.6%	7 6.0%	15 7.5%	23 11.5%	17 7.6%	33 13.5%	3 4.3%	21 8.1%	17 9.8%	19 11.2%	6 14.0%	28 11.1%	9 7.6%	6 3.8%	30 13.5%	32 12.4%	18 7.8%
Somewhat Agree	165 16.7%	24 20.7%	36 17.9%	33 16.5%	45 20.0%	27 11.1%	7 10.0%	46 17.8%	20 11.6%	32 18.9%	10 23.3%	47 18.6%	9 7.6%	10 6.3%	43 19.4%	50 19.4%	53 22.9%
Strongly Agree	312 31.5%	45 38.8%	76 37.8%	80 40.0%	71 31.6%	40 16.4%	26 37.1%	94 36.3%	32 18.5%	63 37.3%	7 16.3%	83 32.8%	7 5.9%	21 13.1%	45 20.3%	147 57.0%	92 39.8%
NO RESPONSE	7 .7%		1 .5%		1 .4%	3 1.2%	1 1.4%		1 .6%	2 1.2%	1 2.3%	2 .8%		1 .6%	3 1.4%		3 1.3%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	411 41.5%	40 34.5%	73 36.3%	64 32.0%	91 40.4%	141 57.8%	33 47.1%	98 37.8%	103 59.5%	53 31.4%	19 44.2%	93 36.8%	94 79.0%	122 76.3%	101 45.5%	29 11.2%	65 28.1%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	477 48.2%	69 59.5%	112 55.7%	113 56.5%	116 51.6%	67 27.5%	33 47.1%	140 54.1%	52 30.1%	95 56.2%	17 39.5%	130 51.4%	16 13.4%	31 19.4%	88 39.6%	197 76.4%	145 62.8%
Average rating	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.2	2.5	3.1	3.3	2.5	3.5	3.0	3.3	1.8	2.0	3.0	4.2	3.7

TABLE 37: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
y. The county should encourage the development of land that is already subdivided before approving new subdivisions.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	89 9.0%	8 6.9%	9 4.5%	15 7.5%	25 11.1%	32 13.1%	7 10.0%	21 8.1%	14 8.1%	15 8.9%	5 11.6%	25 9.9%	47 39.5%	7 4.4%	15 6.8%	5 1.9%	15 6.5%
Somewhat Disagree	103 10.4%	13 11.2%	19 9.5%	20 10.0%	19 8.4%	30 12.3%	9 12.9%	27 10.4%	22 12.7%	14 8.3%	3 7.0%	24 9.5%	25 21.0%	9 5.6%	24 10.8%	6 2.3%	39 16.9%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	120 12.1%	12 10.3%	25 12.4%	19 9.5%	32 14.2%	32 13.1%	6 8.6%	23 8.9%	21 12.1%	23 13.6%	4 9.3%	38 15.0%	15 12.6%	16 10.0%	25 11.3%	30 11.6%	34 14.7%
Somewhat Agree	268 27.1%	39 33.6%	52 25.9%	56 28.0%	67 29.8%	53 21.7%	17 24.3%	75 29.0%	39 22.5%	49 29.0%	12 27.9%	69 27.3%	23 19.3%	32 20.0%	81 36.5%	59 22.9%	73 31.6%
Strongly Agree	395 39.9%	44 37.9%	94 46.8%	90 45.0%	77 34.2%	90 36.9%	30 42.9%	109 42.1%	74 42.8%	66 39.1%	18 41.9%	93 36.8%	9 7.6%	93 58.1%	69 31.1%	156 60.5%	68 29.4%
NO RESPONSE	15 1.5%		2 1.0%		5 2.2%	7 2.9%	1 1.4%	4 1.5%	3 1.7%	2 1.2%	1 2.3%	4 1.6%		3 1.9%	8 3.6%	2 .8%	2 .9%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	192 19.4%	21 18.1%	28 13.9%	35 17.5%	44 19.6%	62 25.4%	16 22.9%	48 18.5%	36 20.8%	29 17.2%	8 18.6%	49 19.4%	72 60.5%	16 10.0%	39 17.6%	11 4.3%	54 23.4%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	663 67.0%	83 71.6%	146 72.6%	146 73.0%	144 64.0%	143 58.6%	47 67.1%	184 71.0%	113 65.3%	115 68.0%	30 69.8%	162 64.0%	32 26.9%	125 78.1%	150 67.6%	215 83.3%	141 61.0%
Average rating	3.9	3.8	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.8	2.3	4.3	4.0	4.4	3.7

TABLE 38: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 z. I choose to live here because there's lots of nature and few people, and I want it to stay that way.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	35 3.5%	4 3.4%	3 1.5%	4 2.0%	11 4.9%	13 5.3%		10 3.9%	9 5.2%	9 5.3%	2 4.7%	4 1.6%	19 16.0%		2 .9%	1 .4%	13 5.6%
Somewhat Disagree	65 6.6%	8 6.9%	11 5.5%	15 7.5%	13 5.8%	18 7.4%	6 8.6%	14 5.4%	17 9.8%	12 7.1%	1 2.3%	11 4.3%	11 9.2%		12 5.4%		42 18.2%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	134 13.5%	19 16.4%	23 11.4%	25 12.5%	36 16.0%	30 12.3%	10 14.3%	30 11.6%	21 12.1%	30 17.8%	5 11.6%	35 13.8%	29 24.4%	4 2.5%	36 16.2%	6 2.3%	59 25.5%
Somewhat Agree	251 25.4%	31 26.7%	61 30.3%	49 24.5%	60 26.7%	50 20.5%	14 20.0%	54 20.8%	41 23.7%	48 28.4%	18 41.9%	68 26.9%	32 26.9%	12 7.5%	82 36.9%	42 16.3%	83 35.9%
Strongly Agree	496 50.1%	54 46.6%	102 50.7%	106 53.0%	102 45.3%	130 53.3%	39 55.7%	148 57.1%	83 48.0%	69 40.8%	17 39.5%	133 52.6%	28 23.5%	144 90.0%	85 38.3%	209 81.0%	30 13.0%
NO RESPONSE	9 .9%		1 .5%	1 .5%	3 1.3%	3 1.2%	1 1.4%	3 1.2%	2 1.2%	1 .6%		2 .8%			5 2.3%		4 1.7%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	100 10.1%	12 10.3%	14 7.0%	19 9.5%	24 10.7%	31 12.7%	6 8.6%	24 9.3%	26 15.0%	21 12.4%	3 7.0%	15 5.9%	30 25.2%		14 6.3%	1 .4%	55 23.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	747 75.5%	85 73.3%	163 81.1%	155 77.5%	162 72.0%	180 73.8%	53 75.7%	202 78.0%	124 71.7%	117 69.2%	35 81.4%	201 79.4%	60 50.4%	156 97.5%	167 75.2%	251 97.3%	113 48.9%
Average rating	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.3	3.3	4.9	4.2	4.8	3.4

TABLE 39: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 aa. Tourism and recreational use of Boise County should pay for itself through permit fees.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	35 3.5%	5 4.3%	6 3.0%	6 3.0%	14 6.2%	4 1.6%	4 5.7%	3 1.2%	3 1.7%	11 6.5%	1 2.3%	12 4.7%	13 10.9%	8 5.0%	4 1.8%	6 2.3%	4 1.7%
Somewhat Disagree	32 3.2%	7 6.0%	13 6.5%	2 1.0%	4 1.8%	6 2.5%		10 3.9%	4 2.3%	10 5.9%	1 2.3%	7 2.8%	7 5.9%	1 .6%	13 5.9%	4 1.6%	7 3.0%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	98 9.9%	8 6.9%	20 10.0%	20 10.0%	26 11.6%	23 9.4%	6 8.6%	19 7.3%	17 9.8%	18 10.7%	6 14.0%	28 11.1%	16 13.4%	9 5.6%	20 9.0%	24 9.3%	29 12.6%
Somewhat Agree	281 28.4%	45 38.8%	57 28.4%	57 28.5%	64 28.4%	56 23.0%	14 20.0%	65 25.1%	49 28.3%	64 37.9%	12 27.9%	70 27.7%	34 28.6%	22 13.8%	74 33.3%	57 22.1%	94 40.7%
Strongly Agree	529 53.4%	48 41.4%	104 51.7%	115 57.5%	115 51.1%	147 60.2%	44 62.9%	159 61.4%	94 54.3%	66 39.1%	23 53.5%	132 52.2%	46 38.7%	118 73.8%	104 46.8%	165 64.0%	96 41.6%
NO RESPONSE	15 1.5%	3 2.6%	1 .5%		2 .9%	8 3.3%	2 2.9%	3 1.2%	6 3.5%			4 1.6%	3 2.5%	2 1.3%	7 3.2%	2 .8%	1 .4%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	67 6.8%	12 10.3%	19 9.5%	8 4.0%	18 8.0%	10 4.1%	4 5.7%	13 5.0%	7 4.0%	21 12.4%	2 4.7%	19 7.5%	20 16.8%	9 5.6%	17 7.7%	10 3.9%	11 4.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	810 81.8%	93 80.2%	161 80.1%	172 86.0%	179 79.6%	203 83.2%	58 82.9%	224 86.5%	143 82.7%	130 76.9%	35 81.4%	202 79.8%	80 67.2%	140 87.5%	178 80.2%	222 86.0%	190 82.3%
Average rating	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.3	4.3	3.9	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.2

TABLE 40: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 bb. Boise County's zoning ordinance should encourage population growth.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	328 33.1%	29 25.0%	56 27.9%	71 35.5%	71 31.6%	101 41.4%	23 32.9%	113 43.6%	58 33.5%	38 22.5%	14 32.6%	78 30.8%	13 10.9%	136 85.0%	36 16.2%	141 54.7%	2 .9%
Somewhat Disagree	244 24.6%	27 23.3%	53 26.4%	60 30.0%	52 23.1%	51 20.9%	15 21.4%	55 21.2%	37 21.4%	46 27.2%	12 27.9%	74 29.2%	26 21.8%	15 9.4%	67 30.2%	91 35.3%	45 19.5%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	191 19.3%	23 19.8%	45 22.4%	36 18.0%	46 20.4%	41 16.8%	12 17.1%	47 18.1%	36 20.8%	36 21.3%	6 14.0%	47 18.6%	39 32.8%	5 3.1%	66 29.7%	20 7.8%	61 26.4%
Somewhat Agree	156 15.8%	28 24.1%	36 17.9%	27 13.5%	35 15.6%	29 11.9%	14 20.0%	30 11.6%	30 17.3%	31 18.3%	9 20.9%	38 15.0%	24 20.2%	1 .6%	36 16.2%	3 1.2%	92 39.8%
Strongly Agree	48 4.8%	8 6.9%	9 4.5%	3 1.5%	18 8.0%	10 4.1%	4 5.7%	10 3.9%	3 1.7%	15 8.9%	2 4.7%	11 4.3%	14 11.8%		6 2.7%	1 .4%	27 11.7%
NO RESPONSE	23 2.3%	1 .9%	2 1.0%	3 1.5%	3 1.3%	12 4.9%	2 2.9%	4 1.5%	9 5.2%	3 1.8%		5 2.0%	3 2.5%	3 1.9%	11 5.0%	2 .8%	4 1.7%
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	572 57.8%	56 48.3%	109 54.2%	131 65.5%	123 54.7%	152 62.3%	38 54.3%	168 64.9%	95 54.9%	84 49.7%	26 60.5%	152 60.1%	39 32.8%	151 94.4%	103 46.4%	232 89.9%	47 20.3%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	204 20.6%	36 31.0%	45 22.4%	30 15.0%	53 23.6%	39 16.0%	18 25.7%	40 15.4%	33 19.1%	46 27.2%	11 25.6%	49 19.4%	38 31.9%	1 .6%	42 18.9%	4 1.6%	119 51.5%
Average rating	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.2	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.4	3.2	1.3	2.9	1.6	3.5

TABLE 41: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
cc. Property owners who allow junk to pile up on their property don't bother me; after all, one man's junk is another man's treasure.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	572 57.8%	78 67.2%	141 70.1%	123 61.5%	130 57.8%	98 40.2%	41 58.6%	151 58.3%	80 46.2%	103 60.9%	26 60.5%	159 62.8%	9 7.6%	32 20.0%	125 56.3%	205 79.5%	201 87.0%
Somewhat Disagree	164 16.6%	21 18.1%	25 12.4%	33 16.5%	37 16.4%	48 19.7%	3 4.3%	43 16.6%	34 19.7%	32 18.9%	7 16.3%	41 16.2%	19 16.0%	19 11.9%	66 29.7%	35 13.6%	25 10.8%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	75 7.6%	2 1.7%	9 4.5%	14 7.0%	14 6.2%	35 14.3%	6 8.6%	21 8.1%	14 8.1%	11 6.5%	4 9.3%	16 6.3%	23 19.3%	23 14.4%	15 6.8%	10 3.9%	4 1.7%
Somewhat Agree	84 8.5%	8 6.9%	12 6.0%	9 4.5%	24 10.7%	31 12.7%	6 8.6%	25 9.7%	23 13.3%	12 7.1%	5 11.6%	12 4.7%	36 30.3%	34 21.3%	9 4.1%	4 1.6%	1 .4%
Strongly Agree	89 9.0%	7 6.0%	14 7.0%	21 10.5%	19 8.4%	28 11.5%	13 18.6%	19 7.3%	19 11.0%	10 5.9%	1 2.3%	24 9.5%	32 26.9%	51 31.9%	3 1.4%	3 1.2%	
NO RESPONSE	6 .6%				1 .4%	4 1.6%	1 1.4%		3 1.7%	1 .6%		1 .4%		1 .6%	4 1.8%	1 .4%	
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	736 74.3%	99 85.3%	166 82.6%	156 78.0%	167 74.2%	146 59.8%	44 62.9%	194 74.9%	114 65.9%	135 79.9%	33 76.7%	200 79.1%	28 23.5%	51 31.9%	191 86.0%	240 93.0%	226 97.8%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	173 17.5%	15 12.9%	26 12.9%	30 15.0%	43 19.1%	59 24.2%	19 27.1%	44 17.0%	42 24.3%	22 13.0%	6 14.0%	36 14.2%	68 57.1%	85 53.1%	12 5.4%	7 2.7%	1 .4%
Average rating	2.0	1.7	1.7	1.9	2.0	2.5	2.3	1.9	2.3	1.8	1.8	1.8	3.5	3.4	1.8	1.3	1.2

TABLE 42: 13. For each statement, please select a number on the scale that shows how much you agree or disagree with that statement.
 dd. Boise County government should be proactive in economic development and seek to attract new businesses and industry to the county.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Strongly Disagree	191 19.3%	19 16.4%	34 16.9%	46 23.0%	42 18.7%	50 20.5%	18 25.7%	61 23.6%	27 15.6%	20 11.8%	9 20.9%	52 20.6%	9 7.6%	86 53.8%	6 2.7%	89 34.5%	1 .4%
Somewhat Disagree	136 13.7%	14 12.1%	29 14.4%	26 13.0%	28 12.4%	39 16.0%	5 7.1%	44 17.0%	21 12.1%	21 12.4%	6 14.0%	37 14.6%	7 5.9%	31 19.4%	27 12.2%	66 25.6%	5 2.2%
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	167 16.9%	19 16.4%	32 15.9%	49 24.5%	30 13.3%	36 14.8%	9 12.9%	49 18.9%	28 16.2%	30 17.8%	9 20.9%	38 15.0%	17 14.3%	20 12.5%	45 20.3%	59 22.9%	26 11.3%
Somewhat Agree	252 25.5%	27 23.3%	59 29.4%	43 21.5%	63 28.0%	59 24.2%	21 30.0%	49 18.9%	45 26.0%	41 24.3%	14 32.6%	75 29.6%	43 36.1%	17 10.6%	80 36.0%	32 12.4%	80 34.6%
Strongly Agree	237 23.9%	37 31.9%	46 22.9%	36 18.0%	60 26.7%	57 23.4%	16 22.9%	55 21.2%	49 28.3%	56 33.1%	5 11.6%	50 19.8%	43 36.1%	5 3.1%	58 26.1%	12 4.7%	119 51.5%
NO RESPONSE	7 .7%		1 .5%		2 .9%	3 1.2%	1 1.4%	1 .4%	3 1.7%	1 .6%		1 .4%		1 .6%	6 2.7%		
Bottom 2 Box (1,2)	327 33.0%	33 28.4%	63 31.3%	72 36.0%	70 31.1%	89 36.5%	23 32.9%	105 40.5%	48 27.7%	41 24.3%	15 34.9%	89 35.2%	16 13.4%	117 73.1%	33 14.9%	155 60.1%	6 2.6%
Top 2 Box (4,5)	489 49.4%	64 55.2%	105 52.2%	79 39.5%	123 54.7%	116 47.5%	37 52.9%	104 40.2%	94 54.3%	97 57.4%	19 44.2%	125 49.4%	86 72.3%	22 13.8%	138 62.2%	44 17.1%	199 86.1%
Average rating	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.0	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.0	3.5	3.6	3.0	3.2	3.9	1.9	3.9	2.3	4.3

TABLE 43: 14. In which precinct are you registered to vote?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Centerville / Placerville	70 7.1%	11 9.5%	13 6.5%	14 7.0%	11 4.9%	21 8.6%	70 100.0%						10 8.4%	18 11.3%	10 4.5%	13 5.0%	19 8.2%
Garden Valley	259 26.2%	34 29.3%	56 27.9%	46 23.0%	64 28.4%	59 24.2%		259 100.0%					22 18.5%	52 32.5%	53 23.9%	88 34.1%	44 19.0%
Horseshoe Bend	173 17.5%	19 16.4%	26 12.9%	19 9.5%	23 10.2%	85 34.8%			173 100.0%				29 24.4%	36 22.5%	51 23.0%	28 10.9%	29 12.6%
Idaho City	169 17.1%	18 15.5%	30 14.9%	45 22.5%	40 17.8%	35 14.3%				169 100.0%			17 14.3%	12 7.5%	44 19.8%	39 15.1%	57 24.7%
Lowman	43 4.3%	5 4.3%	10 5.0%	11 5.5%	7 3.1%	10 4.1%					43 100.0%		3 2.5%	6 3.8%	12 5.4%	10 3.9%	12 5.2%
Mores Creek	253 25.6%	24 20.7%	62 30.8%	63 31.5%	76 33.8%	27 11.1%						253 100.0%	36 30.3%	32 20.0%	45 20.3%	77 29.8%	63 27.3%
Don't know / Can't recall	9 .9%	2 1.7%	2 1.0%	2 1.0%	1 .4%	2 .8%							1 .8%	1 .6%	2 .9%	1 .4%	4 1.7%
NO RESPONSE	14 1.4%	3 2.6%	2 1.0%		3 1.3%	5 2.0%							1 .8%	3 1.9%	5 2.3%	2 .8%	3 1.3%

TABLE 44: 15. Into which category does your age fall?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
18 to 24	27 2.7%	4 3.4%	6 3.0%	3 1.5%	8 3.6%	6 2.5%	1 1.4%	5 1.9%	8 4.6%	5 3.0%		7 2.8%	4 3.4%	9 5.6%	5 2.3%	8 3.1%	1 .4%
25 to 34	104 10.5%	26 22.4%	30 14.9%	21 10.5%	10 4.4%	17 7.0%	5 7.1%	13 5.0%	26 15.0%	21 12.4%	3 7.0%	31 12.3%	13 10.9%	11 6.9%	25 11.3%	23 8.9%	32 13.9%
35 to 44	243 24.5%	28 24.1%	54 26.9%	64 32.0%	57 25.3%	40 16.4%	11 15.7%	65 25.1%	40 23.1%	44 26.0%	13 30.2%	69 27.3%	30 25.2%	46 28.8%	49 22.1%	66 25.6%	52 22.5%
45 to 54	246 24.8%	32 27.6%	48 23.9%	52 26.0%	51 22.7%	63 25.8%	22 31.4%	59 22.8%	40 23.1%	49 29.0%	4 9.3%	68 26.9%	31 26.1%	45 28.1%	43 19.4%	76 29.5%	51 22.1%
55 to 64	173 17.5%	18 15.5%	42 20.9%	34 17.0%	41 18.2%	35 14.3%	11 15.7%	58 22.4%	20 11.6%	32 18.9%	8 18.6%	41 16.2%	19 16.0%	22 13.8%	34 15.3%	43 16.7%	55 23.8%
65 or older	186 18.8%	7 6.0%	19 9.5%	25 12.5%	55 24.4%	79 32.4%	20 28.6%	59 22.8%	39 22.5%	17 10.1%	15 34.9%	36 14.2%	22 18.5%	24 15.0%	62 27.9%	40 15.5%	38 16.5%
NO RESPONSE	11 1.1%	1 .9%	2 1.0%	1 .5%	3 1.3%	4 1.6%				1 .6%		1 .4%		3 1.9%	4 1.8%	2 .8%	2 .9%

TABLE 45: 16. Including yourself, how many people reside in this household?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
One	121 12.2%	10 8.6%	16 8.0%	29 14.5%	32 14.2%	32 13.1%	7 10.0%	30 11.6%	22 12.7%	30 17.8%	6 14.0%	24 9.5%	17 14.3%	17 10.6%	35 15.8%	26 10.1%	26 11.3%
Two	475 48.0%	67 57.8%	103 51.2%	94 47.0%	92 40.9%	117 48.0%	36 51.4%	138 53.3%	84 48.6%	70 41.4%	24 55.8%	116 45.8%	54 45.4%	76 47.5%	101 45.5%	136 52.7%	108 46.8%
Three	145 14.6%	18 15.5%	33 16.4%	23 11.5%	35 15.6%	36 14.8%	14 20.0%	40 15.4%	22 12.7%	16 9.5%	7 16.3%	44 17.4%	19 16.0%	22 13.8%	35 15.8%	44 17.1%	25 10.8%
Four	152 15.4%	11 9.5%	27 13.4%	34 17.0%	41 18.2%	39 16.0%	9 12.9%	30 11.6%	30 17.3%	32 18.9%	1 2.3%	48 19.0%	20 16.8%	22 13.8%	32 14.4%	32 12.4%	46 19.9%
Five or more	85 8.6%	9 7.8%	21 10.4%	19 9.5%	22 9.8%	14 5.7%	4 5.7%	21 8.1%	14 8.1%	19 11.2%	5 11.6%	21 8.3%	7 5.9%	20 12.5%	15 6.8%	19 7.4%	24 10.4%
NO RESPONSE	12 1.2%	1 .9%	1 .5%	1 .5%	3 1.3%	6 2.5%			1 .6%	2 1.2%			2 1.7%	3 1.9%	4 1.8%	1 .4%	2 .9%

TABLE 46: 17. Which statement best describes the home in which you live?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
You built the home yourself (or with the help of family and	209 21.1%	16 13.8%	34 16.9%	28 14.0%	63 28.0%	67 27.5%	14 20.0%	47 18.1%	23 13.3%	25 14.8%	15 34.9%	80 31.6%	30 25.2%	36 22.5%	37 16.7%	67 26.0%	39 16.9%
You rebuilt an existing structure	132 13.3%	11 9.5%	27 13.4%	35 17.5%	28 12.4%	31 12.7%	12 17.1%	42 16.2%	26 15.0%	24 14.2%		27 10.7%	22 18.5%	18 11.3%	27 12.2%	27 10.5%	38 16.5%
You had a builder build your home	191 19.3%	19 16.4%	52 25.9%	45 22.5%	45 20.0%	30 12.3%	15 21.4%	51 19.7%	30 17.3%	22 13.0%	3 7.0%	68 26.9%	12 10.1%	10 6.3%	43 19.4%	63 24.4%	63 27.3%
You are in the process of building the home	28 2.8%	5 4.3%	5 2.5%	6 3.0%	4 1.8%	8 3.3%	3 4.3%	11 4.2%	1 .6%	3 1.8%	1 2.3%	9 3.6%	5 4.2%	8 5.0%	4 1.8%	8 3.1%	3 1.3%
You have no idea who built the home	152 15.4%	29 25.0%	30 14.9%	38 19.0%	24 10.7%	31 12.7%	11 15.7%	39 15.1%	37 21.4%	30 17.8%	4 9.3%	28 11.1%	16 13.4%	24 15.0%	32 14.4%	44 17.1%	36 15.6%
You have a mobile home	92 9.3%	6 5.2%	21 10.4%	16 8.0%	18 8.0%	28 11.5%	8 11.4%	19 7.3%	26 15.0%	13 7.7%	7 16.3%	18 7.1%	12 10.1%	32 20.0%	28 12.6%	11 4.3%	9 3.9%
You have a manufactured home	88 8.9%	11 9.5%	14 7.0%	8 4.0%	25 11.1%	30 12.3%	3 4.3%	23 8.9%	19 11.0%	24 14.2%	3 7.0%	14 5.5%	14 11.8%	11 6.9%	31 14.0%	12 4.7%	20 8.7%
Other	51 5.2%	6 5.2%	13 6.5%	13 6.5%	11 4.9%	8 3.3%	2 2.9%	14 5.4%	5 2.9%	16 9.5%	9 20.9%	5 2.0%	5 4.2%	7 4.4%	11 5.0%	17 6.6%	11 4.8%
Bought from builder	35 3.5%	12 10.3%	3 1.5%	8 4.0%	5 2.2%	7 2.9%	2 2.9%	12 4.6%	6 3.5%	9 5.3%	1 2.3%	4 1.6%	2 1.7%	11 6.9%	4 1.8%	8 3.1%	10 4.3%
NO RESPONSE	12 1.2%	1 .9%	2 1.0%	3 1.5%	2 .9%	4 1.6%		1 .4%		3 1.8%			1 .8%	3 1.9%	5 2.3%	1 .4%	2 .9%

TABLE 47: 18. Which statement best describes the reason you located in Boise County?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Grew up here / Born here	143 14.4%	6 5.2%	1 .5%	7 3.5%	17 7.6%	112 45.9%	8 11.4%	27 10.4%	56 32.4%	22 13.0%	4 9.3%	21 8.3%	28 23.5%	39 24.4%	32 14.4%	31 12.0%	13 5.6%
Moved here because I got a job in the area	142 14.3%	23 19.8%	25 12.4%	31 15.5%	23 10.2%	39 16.0%	5 7.1%	29 11.2%	35 20.2%	33 19.5%	14 32.6%	26 10.3%	17 14.3%	13 8.1%	38 17.1%	30 11.6%	44 19.0%
Moved here to retire	238 24.0%	33 28.4%	60 29.9%	59 29.5%	62 27.6%	23 9.4%	28 40.0%	90 34.7%	21 12.1%	27 16.0%	19 44.2%	52 20.6%	17 14.3%	27 16.9%	56 25.2%	67 26.0%	71 30.7%
Moved here to find a job in the area	24 2.4%	2 1.7%	6 3.0%	7 3.5%	6 2.7%	3 1.2%	1 1.4%	7 2.7%	7 4.0%	4 2.4%		5 2.0%	4 3.4%	5 3.1%	6 2.7%	6 2.3%	3 1.3%
Moved here to start a business in the area	64 6.5%	5 4.3%	15 7.5%	11 5.5%	20 8.9%	12 4.9%	1 1.4%	23 8.9%	12 6.9%	15 8.9%		13 5.1%	18 15.1%	6 3.8%	12 5.4%	10 3.9%	18 7.8%
Other	81 8.2%	5 4.3%	15 7.5%	13 6.5%	26 11.6%	22 9.0%	8 11.4%	19 7.3%	18 10.4%	16 9.5%	5 11.6%	13 5.1%	8 6.7%	20 12.5%	23 10.4%	13 5.0%	17 7.4%
Affordable	8 .8%	2 1.7%	3 1.5%	2 1.0%	1 .4%			1 .4%	1 .6%	1 .6%		5 2.0%	3 2.5%	1 .6%	1 .5%		3 1.3%
Close to / Commute to Boise	17 1.7%	2 1.7%	7 3.5%	4 2.0%	4 1.8%			5 1.9%		1 .6%		10 4.0%	1 .8%	1 .6%	6 2.7%	5 1.9%	4 1.7%
Quality of Life	258 26.1%	35 30.2%	67 33.3%	64 32.0%	63 28.0%	29 11.9%	17 24.3%	57 22.0%	22 12.7%	49 29.0%	1 2.3%	107 42.3%	23 19.3%	46 28.8%	39 17.6%	94 36.4%	56 24.2%
NO RESPONSE	15 1.5%	3 2.6%	2 1.0%	2 1.0%	3 1.3%	4 1.6%	2 2.9%	1 .4%	1 .6%	1 .6%		1 .4%		2 1.3%	9 4.1%	2 .8%	2 .9%

TABLE 48: 19. Do you think things in Boise County have gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same in the past five years?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Better	217 21.9%	23 19.8%	52 25.9%	37 18.5%	56 24.9%	47 19.3%	25 35.7%	61 23.6%	22 12.7%	48 28.4%	11 25.6%	48 19.0%	30 25.2%	13 8.1%	63 28.4%	28 10.9%	83 35.9%
Worse	388 39.2%	19 16.4%	64 31.8%	92 46.0%	84 37.3%	128 52.5%	22 31.4%	115 44.4%	72 41.6%	55 32.5%	14 32.6%	104 41.1%	33 27.7%	121 75.6%	67 30.2%	120 46.5%	47 20.3%
Stayed same	322 32.5%	43 37.1%	80 39.8%	65 32.5%	75 33.3%	58 23.8%	21 30.0%	69 26.6%	68 39.3%	58 34.3%	14 32.6%	85 33.6%	49 41.2%	22 13.8%	75 33.8%	92 35.7%	84 36.4%
NO RESPONSE	63 6.4%	31 26.7%	5 2.5%	6 3.0%	10 4.4%	11 4.5%	2 2.9%	14 5.4%	11 6.4%	8 4.7%	4 9.3%	16 6.3%	7 5.9%	4 2.5%	17 7.7%	18 7.0%	17 7.4%

TABLE 49: 20. If you live on or own property in an existing Boise County subdivision, please select the statement that best describes the possibility of subdividing your property.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Property cannot be subdivided again	507 51.2%	62 53.4%	132 65.7%	122 61.0%	122 54.2%	68 27.9%	37 52.9%	137 52.9%	63 36.4%	80 47.3%	26 60.5%	159 62.8%	43 36.1%	54 33.8%	110 49.5%	152 58.9%	148 64.1%
Can be subdivided - but I do not intend in the next five yea	77 7.8%	6 5.2%	18 9.0%	23 11.5%	11 4.9%	19 7.8%	4 5.7%	18 6.9%	14 8.1%	9 5.3%		31 12.3%	8 6.7%	15 9.4%	13 5.9%	20 7.8%	21 9.1%
Can be subdivided - I do intend in the next five years	7 .7%	2 1.7%		1 .5%	4 1.8%		3 4.3%	1 .4%				2 .8%	1 .8%	1 .6%	3 1.4%		2 .9%
Don't know at the present time	117 11.8%	22 19.0%	18 9.0%	14 7.0%	26 11.6%	37 15.2%	10 14.3%	27 10.4%	23 13.3%	19 11.2%	5 11.6%	28 11.1%	26 21.8%	15 9.4%	36 16.2%	22 8.5%	18 7.8%
NO RESPONSE	282 28.5%	24 20.7%	33 16.4%	40 20.0%	62 27.6%	120 49.2%	16 22.9%	76 29.3%	73 42.2%	61 36.1%	12 27.9%	33 13.0%	41 34.5%	75 46.9%	60 27.0%	64 24.8%	42 18.2%

TABLE 50: 21. If you live on or own Boise County property that is not presently subdivided, please select the statement that best describes the possibility of subdividing your property.

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct					Clusters					
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
I do not intend to subdivide my property in the next five ye	331 33.4%	25 21.6%	51 25.4%	67 33.5%	68 30.2%	120 49.2%	22 31.4%	97 37.5%	69 39.9%	49 29.0%	13 30.2%	76 30.0%	34 28.6%	76 47.5%	60 27.0%	95 36.8%	66 28.6%
I do intend to subdivide my property in the next five years	26 2.6%	1 .9%	2 1.0%	3 1.5%	10 4.4%	10 4.1%		6 2.3%	5 2.9%	11 6.5%		4 1.6%	9 7.6%	5 3.1%	4 1.8%	6 2.3%	2 .9%
Don't know at the present time	124 12.5%	19 16.4%	20 10.0%	11 5.5%	31 13.8%	43 17.6%	10 14.3%	28 10.8%	34 19.7%	22 13.0%	3 7.0%	22 8.7%	35 29.4%	10 6.3%	45 20.3%	16 6.2%	18 7.8%
NO RESPONSE	509 51.4%	71 61.2%	128 63.7%	119 59.5%	116 51.6%	71 29.1%	38 54.3%	128 49.4%	65 37.6%	87 51.5%	27 62.8%	151 59.7%	41 34.5%	69 43.1%	113 50.9%	141 54.7%	145 62.8%

TABLE 51: 22. Into which category does your total family household income fall?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Less than \$15,000	78 7.9%	9 7.8%	13 6.5%	12 6.0%	17 7.6%	26 10.7%	10 14.3%	13 5.0%	18 10.4%	12 7.1%	9 20.9%	14 5.5%	17 14.3%	15 9.4%	23 10.4%	13 5.0%	10 4.3%
At least \$15,000 but less than \$25,000	164 16.6%	14 12.1%	31 15.4%	29 14.5%	36 16.0%	53 21.7%	16 22.9%	49 18.9%	35 20.2%	30 17.8%	7 16.3%	26 10.3%	27 22.7%	31 19.4%	41 18.5%	34 13.2%	31 13.4%
At least \$25,000 but less than \$50,000	376 38.0%	49 42.2%	79 39.3%	76 38.0%	79 35.1%	92 37.7%	29 41.4%	105 40.5%	67 38.7%	63 37.3%	22 51.2%	85 33.6%	35 29.4%	67 41.9%	88 39.6%	99 38.4%	87 37.7%
At least \$50,000 but less than \$75,000	191 19.3%	20 17.2%	36 17.9%	53 26.5%	52 23.1%	29 11.9%	7 10.0%	42 16.2%	26 15.0%	37 21.9%	5 11.6%	71 28.1%	16 13.4%	23 14.4%	28 12.6%	59 22.9%	65 28.1%
\$75,000 or more	102 10.3%	20 17.2%	29 14.4%	19 9.5%	25 11.1%	9 3.7%		29 11.2%	11 6.4%	17 10.1%		43 17.0%	10 8.4%	6 3.8%	21 9.5%	36 14.0%	29 12.6%
NO RESPONSE	79 8.0%	4 3.4%	13 6.5%	11 5.5%	16 7.1%	35 14.3%	8 11.4%	21 8.1%	16 9.2%	10 5.9%		14 5.5%	14 11.8%	18 11.3%	21 9.5%	17 6.6%	9 3.9%

TABLE 52: 23. Which category best describes your primary occupation?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Builder / contractor	59 6.0%	7 6.0%	14 7.0%	9 4.5%	15 6.7%	14 5.7%	4 5.7%	21 8.1%	5 2.9%	11 6.5%	1 2.3%	15 5.9%	9 7.6%	8 5.0%	14 6.3%	12 4.7%	16 6.9%
City, county, or state government employee	65 6.6%	7 6.0%	9 4.5%	10 5.0%	21 9.3%	18 7.4%	1 1.4%	11 4.2%	13 7.5%	14 8.3%		25 9.9%	7 5.9%	9 5.6%	12 5.4%	14 5.4%	23 10.0%
Farmer /rancher	19 1.9%	1 .9%	2 1.0%		6 2.7%	10 4.1%	1 1.4%	8 3.1%	8 4.6%	1 .6%		1 .4%	7 5.9%	6 3.8%	3 1.4%	2 .8%	1 .4%
Federal government employee	48 4.8%	5 4.3%	5 2.5%	15 7.5%	9 4.0%	14 5.7%	2 2.9%	3 1.2%	4 2.3%	19 11.2%	12 27.9%	8 3.2%	5 4.2%	7 4.4%	12 5.4%	13 5.0%	11 4.8%
Teacher / School administrator	34 3.4%	4 3.4%	10 5.0%	13 6.5%	4 1.8%	3 1.2%	1 1.4%	2 .8%	11 6.4%	10 5.9%		10 4.0%		2 1.3%	6 2.7%	8 3.1%	18 7.8%
Other professional / technical field	283 28.6%	44 37.9%	70 34.8%	66 33.0%	62 27.6%	39 16.0%	11 15.7%	71 27.4%	41 23.7%	46 27.2%	3 7.0%	103 40.7%	34 28.6%	37 23.1%	55 24.8%	93 36.0%	64 27.7%
Laborer	94 9.5%	9 7.8%	12 6.0%	15 7.5%	20 8.9%	37 15.2%	6 8.6%	26 10.0%	27 15.6%	10 5.9%	2 4.7%	22 8.7%	17 14.3%	31 19.4%	21 9.5%	18 7.0%	7 3.0%
Retired	282 28.5%	23 19.8%	57 28.4%	54 27.0%	67 29.8%	81 33.2%	31 44.3%	88 34.0%	45 26.0%	40 23.7%	23 53.5%	53 20.9%	31 26.1%	35 21.9%	71 32.0%	74 28.7%	71 30.7%
Homemaker	38 3.8%	5 4.3%	9 4.5%	7 3.5%	6 2.7%	10 4.1%	6 8.6%	13 5.0%	6 3.5%	4 2.4%	2 4.7%	7 2.8%	5 4.2%	8 5.0%	7 3.2%	13 5.0%	5 2.2%
Self-employed	21 2.1%	5 4.3%	5 2.5%	3 1.5%	4 1.8%	4 1.6%		9 3.5%	4 2.3%	3 1.8%		5 2.0%	1 .8%	3 1.9%	6 2.7%	4 1.6%	7 3.0%
Student	6 .6%	1 .9%	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .9%	1 .4%			3 1.7%	2 1.2%		1 .4%	1 .8%	1 .6%	1 .5%	2 .8%	1 .4%
Arts/Crafts	6 .6%	3 2.6%	1 .5%	1 .5%		1 .4%		3 1.2%	1 .6%	1 .6%		1 .4%		2 1.3%	2 .9%	2 .8%	2 .4%
Other	16 1.6%		2 1.0%	4 2.0%	5 2.2%	5 2.0%	4 5.7%	2 .8%	3 1.7%	4 2.4%		2 .8%	2 1.7%	6 3.8%	5 2.3%	2 .8%	1 .4%
NO RESPONSE	19 1.9%	2 1.7%	4 2.0%	2 1.0%	4 1.8%	7 2.9%	3 4.3%	2 .8%	2 1.2%	4 2.4%				5 3.1%	7 3.2%	1 .4%	6 2.6%

TABLE 53: 24. What is your gender?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
Female	448 45.3%	51 44.0%	91 45.3%	95 47.5%	97 43.1%	113 46.3%	33 47.1%	106 40.9%	82 47.4%	82 48.5%	22 51.2%	117 46.2%	42 35.3%	71 44.4%	95 42.8%	121 46.9%	119 51.5%
Male	521 52.6%	62 53.4%	105 52.2%	103 51.5%	123 54.7%	125 51.2%	36 51.4%	148 57.1%	91 52.6%	84 49.7%	21 48.8%	132 52.2%	74 62.2%	85 53.1%	120 54.1%	133 51.6%	109 47.2%
NO RESPONSE	21 2.1%	3 2.6%	5 2.5%	2 1.0%	5 2.2%	6 2.5%	1 1.4%	5 1.9%		3 1.8%		4 1.6%	3 2.5%	4 2.5%	7 3.2%	4 1.6%	3 1.3%

TABLE 12: 12. If you could make one change or improvement in the way Boise County handles growth, what would it be?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
(Base)	(990)	(448)	(521)	(131)	(243)	(246)	(173)	(186)	(78)	(164)	(376)	(191)	(102)	(59)	(113)	(317)	(113)	(43)	(282)
CONTROL GROWTH / LIMIT SUBDIVISIONS	179	89	87	21	58	50	21	27	11	24	78	28	24	8	23	60	24	9	39
	18.1%	19.9%	16.7%	16.0%	23.9%	20.3%	12.1%	14.5%	14.1%	14.6%	20.7%	14.7%	23.5%	13.6%	20.4%	18.9%	21.2%	20.9%	13.8%
Control Growth / Limit Subdivisions	143	71	69	19	44	39	15	24	11	22	58	21	18	7	18	48	19	8	32
	14.4%	15.8%	13.2%	14.5%	18.1%	15.9%	8.7%	12.9%	14.1%	13.4%	15.4%	11.0%	17.6%	11.9%	15.9%	15.1%	16.8%	18.6%	11.3%
Limit Lot Size	36	18	18	2	14	11	6	3		2	20	7	6	1	5	12	5	1	7
	3.6%	4.0%	3.5%	1.5%	5.8%	4.5%	3.5%	1.6%		1.2%	5.3%	3.7%	5.9%	1.7%	4.4%	3.8%	4.4%	2.3%	2.5%
MORE PLANNING & ENFORCEMENT	153	71	80	27	40	36	33	16	5	19	60	39	24	9	16	72	12	5	31
	15.5%	15.8%	15.4%	20.6%	16.5%	14.6%	19.1%	8.6%	6.4%	11.6%	16.0%	20.4%	23.5%	15.3%	14.2%	22.7%	10.6%	11.6%	11.0%
More / Better Planning and Zoning	67	32	34	7	18	22	13	6	2	9	25	18	8	2	7	32	8	4	12
	6.8%	7.1%	6.5%	5.3%	7.4%	8.9%	7.5%	3.2%	2.6%	5.5%	6.6%	9.4%	7.8%	3.4%	6.2%	10.1%	7.1%	9.3%	4.3%
Enforce / Stricter Building Codes	43	16	26	7	12	7	14	3	1	5	18	11	8	6	6	16	2		11
	4.3%	3.6%	5.0%	5.3%	4.9%	2.8%	8.1%	1.6%	1.3%	3.0%	4.8%	5.8%	7.8%	10.2%	5.3%	5.0%	1.8%		3.9%
Clean Up Trash / Junk	17	8	9	5	4	3	3	2		2	7	4	4			12			3
	1.7%	1.8%	1.7%	3.8%	1.6%	1.2%	1.7%	1.1%		1.2%	1.9%	2.1%	3.9%			3.8%			1.1%
Enforce Ordinances	14	9	5	3	3	1	2	5	2	3	5		3		1	4	1	1	5
	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%	2.3%	1.2%	.4%	1.2%	2.7%	2.6%	1.8%	1.3%		2.9%		.9%	1.3%	.9%	2.3%	1.8%
Establish Minimum Standards for Dwellings	12	6	6	5	3	3	1				5	6	1	1	2	8	1		
	1.2%	1.3%	1.2%	3.8%	1.2%	1.2%	.6%				1.3%	3.1%	1.0%	1.7%	1.8%	2.5%	.9%		
CHARGE IMPACT FEES / GROWTH PAY FOR SELF	79	27	50	3	16	32	13	12	3	11	36	17	5	6	6	26	9	8	21
	8.0%	6.0%	9.6%	2.3%	6.6%	13.0%	7.5%	6.5%	3.8%	6.7%	9.6%	8.9%	4.9%	10.2%	5.3%	8.2%	8.0%	18.6%	7.4%
Charge Impact Fees	57	22	35	3	10	20	12	11	2	9	30	10	3	4	3	19	5	6	19
	5.8%	4.9%	6.7%	2.3%	4.1%	8.1%	6.9%	5.9%	2.6%	5.5%	8.0%	5.2%	2.9%	6.8%	2.7%	6.0%	4.4%	14.0%	6.7%
Let Growth Pay for Itself	22	5	15	6	12	1	1	1	1	2	6	7	2	2	3	7	4	2	2
	2.2%	1.1%	2.9%		2.5%	4.9%	.6%	.5%	1.3%	1.2%	1.6%	3.7%	2.0%	3.4%	2.7%	2.2%	3.5%	4.7%	.7%
IMPROVE COMMISSION / LISTEN TO RESIDENTS	41	14	26	8	6	15	5	5	4	5	13	7	7	3	4	14	7	1	10
	4.1%	3.1%	5.0%	6.1%	2.5%	6.1%	2.9%	2.7%	5.1%	3.0%	3.5%	3.7%	6.9%	5.1%	3.5%	4.4%	6.2%	2.3%	3.5%
Listen and Work with Residents	24	8	16	6	2	9	3	4	3	3	9	4	4	2		8	6	1	6
	2.4%	1.8%	3.1%	4.6%	.8%	3.7%	1.7%	2.2%	3.8%	1.8%	2.4%	2.1%	3.9%	3.4%		2.5%	5.3%	2.3%	2.1%
Change / Improve Commission	12	4	7	1	2	5	2		1	2	3	1	2	1	2	4	1		3
	1.2%	.9%	1.3%	.8%	.8%	2.0%	1.2%		1.3%	1.2%	.8%	.5%	2.0%	1.7%	1.8%	1.3%	.9%		1.1%
Consider Newcomers	5	2	3	1	2	1		1			1	2	1		2	2			1
	.5%	.4%	.6%	.8%	.8%	.4%		.5%			.3%	1.0%	1.0%		1.8%	.6%			.4%

(continued)

TABLE 12: 12. If you could make one change or improvement in the way Boise County handles growth, what would it be?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Gender		Age					Household Income					Occupation					
		Female	Male	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<15K	15-25K	25-50K	50-75K	75k+	Blder/ Ctrctr	Govt Empl	Prof/ Tchr	Farm/ Rnch/ Labrr	Other	Not Empl
IMPROVE INFRASTRUCTURE / SERVICES	82	42	39	17	25	17	7	16	10	15	30	12	7	5	13	27	3	3	24
	8.3%	9.4%	7.5%	13.0%	10.3%	6.9%	4.0%	8.6%	12.8%	9.1%	8.0%	6.3%	6.9%	8.5%	11.5%	8.5%	2.7%	7.0%	8.5%
Maintain/Upgrade Water, Roads, Waste and Protect Environment	61	28	33	11	17	15	4	14	10	11	21	7	7	4	9	20	2	3	18
	6.2%	6.3%	6.3%	8.4%	7.0%	6.1%	2.3%	7.5%	12.8%	6.7%	5.6%	3.7%	6.9%	6.8%	8.0%	6.3%	1.8%	7.0%	6.4%
Increase / Improve Law Enforcement	14	9	4	4	3	2	3	2		2	6	3			4	1	1	6	
	1.4%	2.0%	.8%	3.1%	1.2%	.8%	1.7%	1.1%		1.2%	1.6%	1.6%			3.5%	.3%	.9%	2.1%	
School Concerns	7	5	2	2	5					2	3	2		1		6			
	.7%	1.1%	.4%	1.5%	2.1%					1.2%	.8%	1.0%		1.7%		1.9%			
DON'T REGULATE LAND USE	20	11	9	2	3	7	5	3	4	3	6	3	4	2	1	9	3	5	
	2.0%	2.5%	1.7%	1.5%	1.2%	2.8%	2.9%	1.6%	5.1%	1.8%	1.6%	1.6%	3.9%	3.4%	.9%	2.8%	2.7%	1.8%	
Don't Regulate Land Use / Allow Personal Freedom	20	11	9	2	3	7	5	3	4	3	6	3	4	2	1	9	3	5	
	2.0%	2.5%	1.7%	1.5%	1.2%	2.8%	2.9%	1.6%	5.1%	1.8%	1.6%	1.6%	3.9%	3.4%	.9%	2.8%	2.7%	1.8%	
OTHER	73	26	46	9	17	17	17	13	5	20	26	15	4	7	6	22	10	21	
	7.4%	5.8%	8.8%	6.9%	7.0%	6.9%	9.8%	7.0%	6.4%	12.2%	6.9%	7.9%	3.9%	11.9%	5.3%	6.9%	8.8%	11.6%	
Other	37	15	21	5	9	12	6	5	2	10	13	9	1	6	5	12	3	6	
	3.7%	3.3%	4.0%	3.8%	3.7%	4.9%	3.5%	2.7%	2.6%	6.1%	3.5%	4.7%	1.0%	10.2%	4.4%	3.8%	2.7%	7.0%	
Maintain Boise County Character / Heritage	9	2	7	1	3	1	3	1	1	3	2	2	1			2	4	3	
	.9%	.4%	1.3%	.8%	1.2%	.4%	1.7%	.5%	1.3%	1.8%	.5%	1.0%	1.0%			.6%	3.5%	1.1%	
Do Not Change	8	4	4				1	7	2	1	3	1						7	
	.8%	.9%	.8%				.6%	3.8%	2.6%	.6%	.8%	.5%						2.3%	
Adjust Property Tax	7	3	4	1		2	4			3	2	1	1		2	1		4	
	.7%	.7%	.8%	.8%		.8%	2.3%			1.8%	.5%	.5%	1.0%		.6%	.9%		1.4%	
Improve Economic Employment Opportunity	7		7	2	3	1	1			2	5			1		3	2	1	
	.7%		1.3%	1.5%	1.2%	.4%	.6%			1.2%	1.3%			1.7%		.9%	1.8%	.4%	
Encourage Growth	5	2	3		2	1	2			1	1	2	1		1	3		1	
	.5%	.4%	.6%		.8%	.4%	1.2%			.6%	.3%	1.0%	1.0%		.9%	.9%		2.3%	
DON'T KNOW / NO RESPONSE	363	168	184	44	78	72	72	94	36	67	127	70	27	19	44	87	45	131	
	36.7%	37.5%	35.3%	33.6%	32.1%	29.3%	41.6%	50.5%	46.2%	40.9%	33.8%	36.6%	26.5%	32.2%	38.9%	27.4%	39.8%	27.9%	

TABLE 12: 12. If you could make one change or improvement in the way Boise County handles growth, what would it be?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Cntrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
(Base)	(990)	(116)	(201)	(200)	(225)	(244)	(70)	(259)	(173)	(169)	(43)	(253)	(119)	(160)	(222)	(258)	(231)
CONTROL GROWTH / LIMIT SUBDIVISIONS	179 18.1%	23 19.8%	24 11.9%	41 20.5%	36 16.0%	54 22.1%	16 22.9%	62 23.9%	30 17.3%	23 13.6%	10 23.3%	36 14.2%	8 6.7%	55 34.4%	24 10.8%	74 28.7%	18 7.8%
Control Growth / Limit Subdivisions	143 14.4%	18 15.5%	21 10.4%	32 16.0%	29 12.9%	43 17.6%	14 20.0%	49 18.9%	29 16.8%	20 11.8%	8 18.6%	22 8.7%	7 5.9%	48 30.0%	18 8.1%	60 23.3%	10 4.3%
Limit Lot Size	36 3.6%	5 4.3%	3 1.5%	9 4.5%	7 3.1%	11 4.5%	2 2.9%	13 5.0%	1 .6%	3 1.8%	2 4.7%	14 5.5%	1 .8%	7 4.4%	6 2.7%	14 5.4%	8 3.5%
MORE PLANNING & ENFORCEMENT	153 15.5%	20 17.2%	37 18.4%	36 18.0%	39 17.3%	21 8.6%	8 11.4%	39 15.1%	23 13.3%	33 19.5%	4 9.3%	41 16.2%	11 9.2%	7 4.4%	20 9.0%	46 17.8%	69 29.9%
More / Better Planning and Zoning	67 6.8%	3 2.6%	17 8.5%	8 4.0%	25 11.1%	14 5.7%	4 5.7%	21 8.1%	14 8.1%	14 8.3%	1 2.3%	12 4.7%	7 5.9%	3 1.9%	6 2.7%	20 7.8%	31 13.4%
Enforce / Stricter Building Codes	43 4.3%	7 6.0%	13 6.5%	10 5.0%	9 4.0%	4 1.6%		14 5.4%	4 2.3%	8 4.7%	1 2.3%	13 5.1%	3 2.5%	1 .6%	6 2.7%	12 4.7%	21 9.1%
Clean Up Trash / Junk	17 1.7%	4 3.4%	3 1.5%	9 4.5%	1 .4%		2 2.9%	3 1.2%	1 .6%	5 3.0%	1 2.3%	5 2.0%	1 .8%	2 1.3%	1 .5%	5 1.9%	8 3.5%
Enforce Ordinances	14 1.4%	4 3.4%	3 1.5%	2 1.0%	2 .9%	3 1.2%	2 2.9%	1 .4%	1 .6%	5 3.0%	1 2.3%	4 1.6%			4 1.8%	4 1.6%	6 2.6%
Establish Minimum Standards for Dwellings	12 1.2%	2 1.7%	1 .5%	7 3.5%	2 .9%				3 1.7%	1 .6%		7 2.8%		1 .6%	3 1.4%	5 1.9%	3 1.3%
CHARGE IMPACT FEES / GROWTH PAY FOR SELF	79 8.0%	6 5.2%	12 6.0%	19 9.5%	17 7.6%	25 10.2%	6 8.6%	26 10.0%	9 5.2%	10 5.9%		24 9.5%	4 3.4%	22 13.8%	7 3.2%	31 12.0%	15 6.5%
Charge Impact Fees	57 5.8%	6 5.2%	9 4.5%	14 7.0%	11 4.9%	17 7.0%	6 8.6%	19 7.3%	7 4.0%	7 4.1%		17 6.7%	3 2.5%	16 10.0%	5 2.3%	21 8.1%	12 5.2%
Let Growth Pay for Itself	22 2.2%		3 1.5%	5 2.5%	6 2.7%	8 3.3%		7 2.7%	2 1.2%	3 1.8%		7 2.8%	1 .8%	6 3.8%	2 .9%	10 3.9%	3 1.3%
IMPROVE COMMISSION / LISTEN TO RESIDENTS	41 4.1%	7 6.0%	9 4.5%	6 3.0%	14 6.2%	5 2.0%	3 4.3%	3 1.2%	9 5.2%	4 2.4%	2 4.7%	18 7.1%	10 8.4%	4 2.5%	11 5.0%	6 2.3%	10 4.3%
Listen and Work with Residents	24 2.4%	4 3.4%	5 2.5%	4 2.0%	8 3.6%	3 1.2%	2 2.9%	1 .4%	6 3.5%	2 1.2%	1 2.3%	11 4.3%	5 4.2%	3 1.9%	6 2.7%	3 1.2%	7 3.0%
Change / Improve Commission	12 1.2%		3 1.5%	2 1.0%	6 2.7%	1 .4%		1 .4%	2 1.2%	1 .6%	1 2.3%	6 2.4%	3 2.5%	1 .6%	4 1.8%	2 .8%	2 .9%
Consider Newcomers	5 .5%	3 2.6%	1 .5%			1 .4%	1 1.4%	1 .4%	1 .6%	1 .6%		1 .4%	2 1.7%		1 .5%	1 .4%	1 .4%

(continued)

TABLE 12: 12. If you could make one change or improvement in the way Boise County handles growth, what would it be?

BASE: Total Sample	Total	Live in Boise County (Years)					Voting Precinct						Clusters				
		<2	2-5	5-10	10-20	20+	Centrvl/ Plcrvl	Garden Valley	Hrsesh Bend	Idaho City	Lowman	Mores Creek	Unrestd Liberty	Indivi- dualist	Ambiv- alent	Govt-No Growth	Govt- Stimula te
IMPROVE INFRASTRUCTURE / SERVICES	82 8.3%	8 6.9%	18 9.0%	18 9.0%	15 6.7%	23 9.4%	7 10.0%	11 4.2%	11 6.4%	16 9.5%	3 7.0%	33 13.0%	14 11.8%	11 6.9%	18 8.1%	17 6.6%	22 9.5%
Maintain/Upgrade Water, Roads, Waste and Protect Environment	61 6.2%	8 6.9%	15 7.5%	12 6.0%	12 5.3%	14 5.7%	6 8.6%	4 1.5%	9 5.2%	14 8.3%	1 2.3%	27 10.7%	11 9.2%	10 6.3%	10 4.5%	11 4.3%	19 8.2%
Increase / Improve Law Enforcement	14 1.4%		2 1.0%	3 1.5%	1 .4%	8 3.3%	1 1.4%	3 1.2%	2 1.2%	1 .6%	2 4.7%	4 1.6%	3 2.5%	1 .6%	5 2.3%	3 1.2%	2 .9%
School Concerns	7 .7%		1 .5%	3 1.5%	2 .9%	1 .4%		4 1.5%		1 .6%		2 .8%			3 1.4%	3 1.2%	1 .4%
DON'T REGULATE LAND USE	20 2.0%		7 3.5%	3 1.5%	3 1.3%	7 2.9%	3 4.3%	1 .4%	4 2.3%	5 3.0%	2 4.7%	5 2.0%	11 9.2%	5 3.1%	3 1.4%		1 .4%
Don't Regulate Land Use / Allow Personal Freedom	20 2.0%		7 3.5%	3 1.5%	3 1.3%	7 2.9%	3 4.3%	1 .4%	4 2.3%	5 3.0%	2 4.7%	5 2.0%	11 9.2%	5 3.1%	3 1.4%		1 .4%
OTHER	73 7.4%	9 7.8%	18 9.0%	15 7.5%	11 4.9%	20 8.2%	4 5.7%	16 6.2%	21 12.1%	20 11.8%	4 9.3%	8 3.2%	10 8.4%	16 10.0%	18 8.1%	12 4.7%	17 7.4%
Other	37 3.7%	4 3.4%	10 5.0%	5 2.5%	7 3.1%	11 4.5%	2 2.9%	6 2.3%	11 6.4%	9 5.3%	3 7.0%	6 2.4%	5 4.2%	5 3.1%	12 5.4%	5 1.9%	10 4.3%
Maintain Boise County Character / Heritage	9 .9%	3 2.6%	1 .5%	3 1.5%	1 .4%	1 .4%	1 1.4%	1 .4%	4 2.3%	2 1.2%		1 .4%		4 2.5%	1 .5%	3 1.2%	1 .4%
Do Not Change	8 .8%		1 .5%	2 1.0%		5 2.0%		5 1.9%	3 1.7%				2 1.7%	2 1.3%	2 .9%	2 .8%	
Adjust Property Tax	7 .7%		1 .5%	4 2.0%	1 .4%	1 .4%		1 .4%		6 3.6%				4 2.5%	2 .9%	1 .4%	
Improve Economic Employment Opportunity	7 .7%	2 1.7%	4 2.0%			1 .4%	1 1.4%		3 1.7%	2 1.2%		1 .4%		1 .6%	1 .5%	1 .4%	4 1.7%
Encourage Growth	5 .5%		1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .9%	1 .4%		3 1.2%		1 .6%	1 2.3%		3 2.5%				2 .9%
DON'T KNOW / NO RESPONSE	363 36.7%	43 37.1%	76 37.8%	62 31.0%	90 40.0%	89 36.5%	23 32.9%	101 39.0%	66 38.2%	58 34.3%	18 41.9%	88 34.8%	51 42.9%	40 25.0%	121 54.5%	72 27.9%	79 34.2%